### ARTICLE IN PRESS

Physics Letters A ••• (••••) •••-•••

#### [m5G; v1.199; Prn:2/02/2017; 15:05] P.1 (1-8)



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Physics Letters A



www.elsevier.com/locate/pla

# Scheme for swapping two unknown states of a photonic qubit and an electron-spin qubit using simultaneous quantum transmission and teleportation via quantum dots inside single-sided optical cavities

Jino Heo<sup>a</sup>, Min-Sung Kang<sup>b</sup>, Chang-Ho Hong<sup>c</sup>, Seong-Gon Choi<sup>a</sup>, Jong-Phil Hong<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Chungbuk National University, Chungdae-ro 1, Seowon-Gu, Cheongju, Republic of Korea

<sup>b</sup> Center for Quantum Information, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), Seoul, 136-791, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup> National Security Research Institute, P.O.Box 1, Yuseong, Daejeon, 34188, Republic of Korea

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 August 2016 Received in revised form 14 December 2016 Accepted 26 January 2017 Available online xxxx Communicated by P.R. Holland Keywords: Simultaneous quantum transmission and

Simultaneous quantum transmission and teleportation Quantum dot Optical cavity

#### ABSTRACT

We propose a scheme for swapping two unknown states of a photon and electron spin confined to a charged quantum dot (QD) between two users by transferring a single photon. This scheme simultaneously transfers and teleports an unknown state (electron spin) between two users. For this bidirectional quantum communication, we utilize the interactions between a photonic and an electronspin qubits of a QD located inside a single-sided optical cavity. Thus, our proposal using QD-cavity systems can obtain a certain success probability with high fidelity. Furthermore, compared to a previous scheme using cross-Kerr nonlinearities and homodyne detections, our scheme (using QD-cavity systems) can improve the feasibility under the decoherence effect in practice.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V.

#### 1. Introduction

Quantum optics plays a significant role in experimentally realizing quantum information processing, such as quantum communication [1–6], quantum networks [7,8], quantum computing [9–11], quantum operational gates [12–14], and quantum entanglement [15–17].

The cross-Kerr nonlinearity (XKNL) effect is a good resource for realizing quantum information processing schemes. In principle, because the XKNL effect can induce photon interactions, it can implement photonic multi-qubit gates, which can be utilized to handle quantum information [12–14]. For efficiency and feasibility in experimental implementation of the quantum information process, various methods have been studied, such as measurement strategies (homodyne detections [3–6,12,13,16] and photon-number measurement [9,11,15,19,21,22]) and structures of multi-qubit gates (quantum bus beams [9,22] and the coherent superposition state [4,23]). However, the experimental realization of strong XKNL is still a big challenge [24]. Furthermore, the decoherence effect, which gives rise to evolution of the state of photons into a mixed state after homodyne measurement (by loss of photons and dephasing), is also unavoidable when a coherent state is

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jongph@cbnu.ac.kr (J.-P. Hong).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.01.052

0375-9601/© 2017 Published by Elsevier B.V.

transmitted through a fiber in practice [11,15,18–21]. As a result, the fidelity of optical multi-qubit gates will decrease for quantum information processing.

From this point of view, well-isolated qubits might not necessarily suffer from the same decoherence effects in Kerr medium. The quantum dot (QD)-cavity system [25-27], which is one of the candidates for quantum information processing, has recently attracted extensive attention. As we know, photons are ideal resources for transferring quantum information in fast and reliable long-distance communication and for readily manipulating linear optical devices. On the other hand, QDs are suitable for the storage of quantum information in solid-state quantum systems, and can acquire a long coherence time (extending to us) [28-30]. Moreover, the manipulations of QDs have developed as described in [31-33]. Therefore, various photon-photon, electron-photon, and electron-electron quantum information processing schemes have been proposed using a single QD coupling to a microcavity (QDcavity system), such as quantum communication and networks [34-41], quantum operational gates [27,42-45], and the measurement and generation of entanglement [25,26,46,47].

In this paper, we propose a deterministic simultaneous quantum transmission and teleportation (SQTTP) scheme for exchanging two unknown states between two users (Alice and Bob) by transmitting only one photon, which is Alice's unknown state, and the probe photon via linear optical devices and three QD-cavity systems. To obtain controlled operations (realized by multi-qubit

Please cite this article in press as: J. Heo et al., Scheme for swapping two unknown states of a photonic qubit and an electron-spin qubit using simultaneous quantum transmission and teleportation via quantum dots inside single-sided optical cavities, Phys. Lett. A (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.01.052

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

[m5G; v1.199; Prn:2/02/2017; 15:05] P.2 (1-8)

gates) for this bidirectional communication scheme, we exploit the interaction between the photon and a single electron spin in a single OD inside a microcavity [25–27]. This interaction generates different phase shifts according to the polarization (right-circular and left-circular) of the reflected photons from the QD-cavity system induced by a single electron spin confined to a charged QD (negatively charged exciton) with spin-dependent optical transitions strongly coupled to a microcavity.

9 In the existing SQTTP scheme, Heo et al. [4] utilized the 10 weak XKNLs, coherent superposition states (probe beams) and P-11 homodyne detections for bidirectional communication. However, 12 this scheme [4] has some difficulties for experimental implemen-13 tation in a laboratory, as follows. First, it is experimentally difficult 14 to make the large-magnitude phase shift induced by XKNL [24]. 15 In addition, Kok [48] showed that it is generally not possible to 16 change the sign of the conditional phase shift  $(-\theta)$ . Second, the 17 pure quantum state evolving into a mixed state (dephasing the co-18 herent parameters) induced by the decoherence effect is inevitable 19 in the existing SQTTP scheme [4] by using the coherent superposi-20 tion state and P-homodyne. Fortunately, realization of the strong 21 coupled QD-cavity system has been demonstrated in microcavi-22 ties and nanocavities [49–53] for quantum information processing. 23 And then, various quantum communication and network schemes 24 [34–41] based on the QD-cavity system have been proposed to 25 achieve a nontrivial nonlinearity between two individual qubits. 26 Consequently, we demonstrate how to enhance the experimental 27 feasibility of the SQTTP scheme by using QD-cavity systems, com-28 pared with Heo et al.'s scheme [4], which employed XKNLs and 29 P-homodyne detections and was vulnerable to the decoherence ef-30 fect. 31

#### 32 2. A singly charged quantum dot inside single-sided optical 33 cavity 34

35 We introduce a singly charged QD [25-27], which is a self-36 assembled In(Ga)As QD or a GaAs interface QD embedded in an 37 optical resonant microcavity, utilized in our SQTTP scheme. A mi-38 cropillar cavity in Fig. 1(a) is composed of two GaAs/Al(Ga)As 39 distributed Bragg reflectors (DBRs) and a transverse index guid-40 ing for three-dimensional confinement of light. We consider that one (bottom) DBR is partially reflective of the light into and out of 41 42 the cavity, while another (top) DBR is 100% reflective, the single-43 sided cavity, and the QD is located in the center of the cavity for 44 maximal light-matter coupling [25–27].  $\hat{a}_{in}$  and  $\hat{a}_{out}$  are the input and output field operators. Fig. 1(b) shows the spin selection rule 45 46 for spin-dependent optical transitions of a negatively charged ex-47 citon (X<sup>-</sup>) in a QD due to the Pauli exclusion principle. When an 48 excess electron is injected into the QD (singly charged) [25-27], 49 optical excitation can create X<sup>-</sup> (consisting of two electrons bound 50 to one hole [54]). If the spin state of the excess electron is in the 51 state  $|\uparrow\rangle \equiv |+1/2\rangle(|\downarrow\rangle \equiv |-1/2\rangle)$ , the left-circularly polarized  $|L\rangle$ 52 (the right-circularly polarized  $|R\rangle$ ) photon can be resonantly ab-53 sorbed to create the state  $|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle(|\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle)$  of X<sup>-</sup> where  $|\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle$ 54  $(J_z = +3/2 \text{ and } -3/2)$  represent hole-spin states.

55 The above process for the interaction between a photon and a 56 QD-cavity system means that the circularly polarized photon di-57 rected into the QD-cavity system can be coupled with the electron 58 spin and feels a hot cavity  $(|L\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle \text{or} |R\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle)$ , or can be decoupled 59 and feels a cold cavity  $(|R\rangle, |\uparrow\rangle$  or  $|L\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle)$  when the dipole selec-60 tion rule is fulfilled. Due to this spin selection rule, the coupled 61 photon (feeling a hot cavity) and uncoupled photon (feeling a cold 62 cavity) acquire different phases and amplitudes after they are re-63 flected from the cavity. The reflection coefficient of this QD-cavity 64 system can be attained by solving the Heisenberg equation of mo-65 tion for the cavity field operator  $(\hat{a})$  and the dipole operator  $(\hat{\sigma}_{-})$ 66 of X<sup>-</sup> with the input-output relation [55]:



Fig. 1. (a) A singly charged QD inside a single-sided micropillar cavity interacting with a photon. (b) Spin selection rule for optical transitions of X<sup>-</sup> in the QD.  $|\uparrow\rangle$  $\rightarrow |\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle \rightarrow |\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$  are driven by the photons  $|L\rangle$  and  $|R\rangle$ , respectively. (c) A schematic structure of a single-sided micropillar cavity.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{a}}{dt} &= -\left[i(\omega_c - \omega) + \frac{\kappa}{2} + \frac{\kappa_s}{2}\right]\hat{a} - g\hat{\sigma}_- - \sqrt{\kappa}\hat{a}_{\rm in},\\ \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_-}{dt} &= -\left[i(\omega_{\rm X^-} - \omega) + \frac{\gamma}{2}\right]\hat{\sigma}_- - g\hat{\sigma}_Z\hat{a}, \quad \hat{a}_{\rm out} = \hat{a}_{\rm in} + \sqrt{\kappa}\hat{a}, \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where  $\omega$ ,  $\omega_c$ , and  $\omega_{X^-}$ , respectively, are the frequencies of the external field, cavity mode, and the dipole transition of X<sup>-</sup>; g is the coupling strength between X<sup>-</sup> and the cavity mode;  $\kappa/2$ ,  $\kappa_s/2$ , and  $\gamma/2$  are the decay rate, the side leakage rate of the cavity mode, and the decay rate of X<sup>-</sup>, respectively. In the approximation of weak excitation where the charged QD is predominantly in the ground state,  $\langle \hat{\sigma}_Z \rangle \approx -1$ . In the steady state, the reflection coefficient for the QD-cavity system is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hat{a}_{\text{out}}}{\hat{a}_{\text{in}}} &= r(\omega) \equiv \left| r(\omega) \right| e^{i\varphi(\omega)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\kappa [i(\omega_{X^-} - \omega) + \gamma/2]}{[i(\omega_{X^-} - \omega) + \gamma/2][i(\omega_c - \omega) + \kappa/2 + \kappa_s/2] + g^2}, \end{aligned}$$
(2)

where  $|r(\omega)|$  is the reflectance, and  $\varphi(\omega) = \arg[r(\omega)]$  is the phase shift. For the requirement of the weak-excitation approximation, let us consider that the intracavity photon number should be less than the critical photon number  $n_0 = \gamma^2/2g^2$  (the number of photons in the cavity required to saturate the QD response [46,56], and also the spectral width of single photons should be much smaller than the linewidth of the cavity mode for remaining the charged 114 QD in the ground state. The spin selection rule is not perfect for a realistic QD due to the heavy-light hole mixing. This can reduce the fidelity of the QD-cavity system by the hole mixing in the valence band. Fortunately, the hole mixing could be reduced by 118 engineering the shape and size of QDs or choosing different types 119 of QDs.

Let us assume the resonant interaction with  $\omega_{X^-} = \omega_c$  [25–27, 49,50] instead of the dispersive interaction, when the spin state of the excess electron is in the state  $|\uparrow\rangle(|\downarrow\rangle)$ , the state  $|R\rangle(|L\rangle)$  of a photon feels a cold cavity (the QD is uncoupled to the cavity). While the state  $|L\rangle(|R\rangle)$  of a photon feels a hot cavity (the QD is coupled to the cavity). For the cold cavity, we can obtain reflection coefficient  $r_0(\omega)$  from Eq. (2), as follows:

$$r_0(\omega) \equiv |r_0(\omega)|e^{i\varphi_0(\omega)} = \frac{i(\omega_c - \omega) - \kappa/2 + \kappa_s/2}{i(\omega_c - \omega) + \kappa/2 + \kappa_s/2},$$
(3)
128
129
130

where g = 0 (cold cavity) due to the uncoupled QD with cavity. When  $\kappa_s$  is negligible, as described elsewhere [25–27,49,50], we

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

115

116

117

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

131

132

Please cite this article in press as: J. Heo et al., Scheme for swapping two unknown states of a photonic qubit and an electron-spin qubit using simultaneous quantum transmission and teleportation via quantum dots inside single-sided optical cavities, Phys. Lett. A (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2017.01.052

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5496446

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5496446

Daneshyari.com