

the C–V law. It sums up many previous macroscopic models for nanoscale heat transport [9] as special cases, allowing a comparison between their respective physical consequences in the propagation of heat waves. Usually, heat wave propagation was studied around equilibrium states [11–16,19–22]. Propagation of heat waves in nonequilibrium steady states has been only considered for some particular cases [23–28]. However, the nonlinear terms often neglected in generalized heat transport models have an intimate relevance to wave propagation in nonequilibrium steady states [26–28]. Thus the present work generalizes much the few previous works on this issue, and gives rise to some new features of heat waves along nonequilibrium steady states based on the proposed generalized equation. In addition, nanotechnology opens new perspectives to this problem, because it becomes possible to study the speed of thermal perturbations along carbon nanotube or graphene ribbons with their ends kept at different temperatures, thus imposing a controlled non-vanishing average heat flux along them.

The remainder of this article is organized below. In Section 2, the generalized heat transport equation is introduced, with a summary of how one may recover from it diverse heat transport equations of existing macroscopic models. Besides, the kinetic theory and thermodynamic foundations are also discussed for the generalized heat transport equation. In Section 3, the influences of nonlinear and nonlocal terms in the generalized equation are systematically studied on the phase speed of heat waves or front speed of heat pulse perturbations around nonequilibrium steady state. In Sections 4 and 5, discussions and concluding remarks are made.

2. A generalized heat transport equation

A generalized heat transport equation including nonlinear and nonlocal terms as well as a relaxation term is proposed as:

$$\tau \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} = -\lambda \nabla T + m_1 \mathbf{q} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} + m_2 \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q} + m_3 \nabla \mathbf{q}^2 + m_4 \nabla^2 \mathbf{q} + m_5 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}) + m_6 \mathbf{q} (\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla T) + m_7 \mathbf{q}^2 \nabla T, \quad (2)$$

where τ is the relaxation time of heat flux, λ is the thermal conductivity, and $m_i(T)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$) are temperature dependent coefficients to be identified below. In physical views, the main motivation in incorporating these terms originates in the analysis of nanosystems, where the spatial gradients of physical quantities such as temperature and heat flux may be extremely large due to the minute size of the system. On the other hand, the temporal derivative of heat flux may be extremely high in the fast local heating of a sample by intense and narrow laser beams. Eq. (2) contains particular cases of many previous macroscopic models for nanoscale heat transport, and provides a common ground for a comparison between them.

To recover the classical Fourier’s law, all the terms in τ and m_i are vanishing whereas for the C–V law only the relaxation term is kept. The coefficients m_i are identified through comparing Eq. (2) to the heat transport equations respectively in dual-phase-lag (DPL) model [10]:

$$\tau_q \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} = -\lambda \nabla T - \lambda \tau_T \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla T), \quad (3)$$

with τ_q , τ_T the phase lags of heat flux and temperature gradient, in Guyer–Krumhansl (G–K) model [29] (phonon hydrodynamics model [30]):

$$\tau_R \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} = -\lambda \nabla T + l^2 [\nabla^2 \mathbf{q} + 2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q})], \quad (4)$$

with $l^2 = \tau_N \tau_R v_g^2 / 5$, τ_N , τ_R the relaxation times of phonon normal (N) and resistive (R) processes and v_g the average phonon group

speed, in the nonlinear G–K model [28]:

$$\tau_R \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} = -\lambda \nabla T + \frac{2}{T} \frac{\tau_R}{C_V} \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q} + l^2 [\nabla^2 \mathbf{q} + 2 \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q})], \quad (5)$$

with C_V the heat capacity per unit volume, and in the therrmon gas model [31,32]:

$$\tau_T \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} = -\lambda \nabla T - \frac{\tau_T}{C_V T} \mathbf{q} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} - \frac{\tau_T}{C_V T} \mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q} + \frac{\tau_T}{C_V T^2} \mathbf{q} (\mathbf{q} \cdot \nabla T), \quad (6)$$

with τ_T the relaxation time of therrmon gas. Note that to recover Eq. (3) in the DPL model, the energy balance equation is supplemented [6]:

$$C_V \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}, \quad (7)$$

and the mixed partial derivative of temperature in Eq. (3) is reformulated as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla T) = \nabla \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \right) = -\frac{1}{C_V} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}). \quad (8)$$

The relations between the coefficients in Eq. (2) and those in the previous heat transport models are thoroughly summarized in Table 1. Besides, the terms in Eq. (2) with coefficients m_3 and m_7 not explicitly correlated to previous models could be got from a nonequilibrium temperature θ dependent on heat flux. The nonequilibrium temperature is obtained in extended irreversible thermodynamics as $\theta^{-1} \equiv \partial s / \partial u$ with $s \equiv s(u, \mathbf{q})$ a generalized entropy dependent on u and \mathbf{q} , and becomes [6]:

$$\theta^{-1} = T^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{\tau}{\rho \lambda T^2} \right) \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{q}. \quad (9)$$

Substitution of Eq. (9) into an extended Fourier’s law $\mathbf{q} = -\lambda \nabla \theta$ with an approximation $\theta \approx T + \xi(T) \mathbf{q}^2$ ($\xi(T) \equiv \frac{1}{2} T^2 \partial (\tau / \rho \lambda T^2) / \partial u$ for brevity) gives rise to:

$$\mathbf{q} = -\lambda \left(1 + \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial T} \mathbf{q}^2 \right) \nabla T - \lambda \xi \nabla \mathbf{q}^2. \quad (10)$$

Thus the coefficients are identified as: $m_7 = -\lambda \partial \xi / \partial T$, and $m_3 = -\lambda \xi$. The terms in m_3 and m_7 could be logically incorporated as additional terms into the nonlinear G–K model equation (5) through the temperature gradient term, but usually they are not considered for simplicity because of their negligible effect.

Therefore the generalized heat transport equation (2) contains in a compact way the heat transport equations of diverse previous macroscopic models. Furthermore, these terms with coefficients m_i in Eq. (2) are not merely written in a phenomenological way, but actually deeply rooted in the kinetic theory of phonons [33,34]. The following heat transport equation has been derived from phonon Boltzmann equation by either maximum entropy [35] or Grad’s type [36] moment methods and Chapman–Enskog expansion within zeroth-order approximation [9,37]:

$$\tau_R \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} = -\lambda \nabla T - \frac{3 \tau_R}{C_V} \nabla \cdot \frac{\langle \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} \rangle}{2T \left[1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{3}{4} (q/v_g C_V T)^2} \right]}, \quad (11)$$

where the deviatoric part of the tensor $\mathbf{q} \mathbf{q}$ denotes $\langle \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} \rangle = \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} - \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{q}^2 \mathbf{I}$, with \mathbf{I} the unit tensor. For relatively small heat flux ($q/v_g C_V T \ll 1$), Eq. (11) is approximated as:

$$\tau_R \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{q} = -\lambda \nabla T - \frac{3 \tau_R}{4 C_V} \nabla \cdot \frac{\langle \mathbf{q} \mathbf{q} \rangle}{T}. \quad (12)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5500324>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5500324>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)