## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Review

1

5

6 7 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/cbpa

# Integrating physiology, behavior, and energetics: Biologging in a free-living arctic hibernator\*

#### Q2 Cory T. Williams<sup>a</sup>, Brian M. Barnes<sup>b</sup>, C. Loren Buck<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Center for Bioengineering Innovation, Department of Biological Sciences, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, AK 99775, USA

#### 8 ARTICLE INFO

9	Article h	istory:		
10	Received 30 December 2015			
11	Receive	d in revised form 26 April 2016		
12	Accepte	ed 26 April 2016		
13	Available online xxxx			
15				
33	Keywor	ds:		
34	Accelerometry			
35	Biologger			
36	Body temperature logger			
37	Circadian rhythms			
38	Ground squirrel			
39	Light logger			
40	Overall dynamic body acceleration Phenology			
41				
42	Thyroid hormone			
43	Torpor			
43				
46				
49	Contents			
50	1.	Introduction		
51	2.	ABIs – practical and method		
52		2.1. Measuring body temp		
53		2.2. Light loggers and acce		
54		2.3 The future of biologgi		

#### ABSTRACT

The use of animal-borne instruments (ABIs), including biologgers and biotransmitters, has played an integral role 19 in advancing our understanding of adjustments made by animals in their physiology and behavior across their 20 annual and daily cycles and in response to weather and environmental changes. Here, we review our research 21 employing body temperature (Tb), light, and acceleration biologgers to measure patterns of physiology and 22 behavior of a free-living, semi-fossorial hibernator, the arctic ground squirrel (*Urocitellus parryii*). We have 23 used these devices to address a variety of physiological, ecological, and evolutionary questions within the fields 24 of hibernation physiology, phenology, behavioral ecology, and chronobiology. We have also combined biologging 25 with other approaches, such as endocrinology and tracking the thermal environment, to provide insights into the 26 physiological mechanisms that underlie fundamental questions in biology including physiological performance 27 trade-offs, timing and functional energetics. Finally, we explore the practical and methodological considerations 28 that need to be addressed in biologging studies of free-living vertebrates and discuss future technological 4-29 vancements that will increase the power and potential of biologging as a tool for assessing physiological function 30 in dynamic and changing environments. 31

© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc. 32

48	conte		
50	1.	Introduction	0
51	2.	ABIs – practical and methodological considerations	0
52		2.1. Measuring body temperature (Tb)	0
53		2.2. Light loggers and accelerometers – quantifying activity and energy expenditure	
54		2.3. The future of biologging	0
55	3.	Biologging in arctic ground squirrels	0
56		3.1. Life history and hibernation physiology	0
57		3.2. Phenology	0
58		3.2.1. Predicting phenology under climate change	0
59		3.3. Circadian rhythms	0
60		3.4. Activity & energetics	0
61		Conclusions	
62	Ack	nowledgments	0
63	Refe	rences	0

64

03

Abbreviations: ABIs, animal-borne instruments; AGS, arctic ground squirrel; ECG, electrocardiogram; Tb, body temperature; SCN, suprachiasmatic nucleus; T3, triiodothyronine; T4, thyroxine.

☆ This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue on Physiology in the Field.

\* Corresponding author at: Center for Bioengineering Innovation, Northern Arizona University, Box: 4185, Flagstaff, AZ 86011, USA.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.04.020 1095-6433/© 2016 Published by Elsevier Inc.

Please cite this article as: Williams, C.T., et al., Integrating physiology, behavior, and energetics: Biologging in a free-living arctic hibernator, Comp. Biochem. Physiol., A (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.04.020

2

## **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

C.T. Williams et al. / Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, Part A xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

#### 65 **1. Introduction**

Determining how individuals function within and interact with their 66 67 environment is critical to understand behavioral and physiological adaptations and plasticity and by extension, limits to organismal re-68 sponses to environmental change. The importance of understanding an-69 70imal-environment interactions and physiological function is evidenced 71by the emergence of a new field in biology, known as "conservation 72physiology", which seeks to incorporate this knowledge into ecological 73models designed to predict population, community and ecosystem-74level responses to environmental and land use changes (Cooke et al., 2013). The physiological mechanisms that underlie phenotypic plastic-75ity remain elusive, however, and therefore represent a significant im-76 77pediment to predicting the ecological effects of a changing climate (Denny and Helmuth, 2009). 78

79 Controlled laboratory experiments will always play an instrumental role in environmental physiology, but only by investigating physiologi-80 81 cal function and behavior in free-living individuals will we be able to fully understand how individuals regulate various aspects of their annu-82 al cycle including, as examples, reproduction, migration, molt, and 83 hibernation (Bartholomew, 1986). It is well known that captivity can re-84 sult in psychological and physiological stresses in animals as evidenced 85 86 by impaired function of the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal axis, depressed immune function, reduced growth rates, and disturbed 87 reproductive cycling (e.g., Romero and Wingfield, 1999; Morgan and 88 Tromborg, 2007; Buehler et al., 2008). Limitations in our ability to rep-89 licate natural habitat and natural diets in captive situations constrain 90 91 the usefulness of data collected in a laboratory setting to develop a 92 thorough understanding of physiological responses to environmental 93 challenges under natural conditions. For these reasons, studies of free-94living animals are central to understanding how individuals alter their 95physiology and behavior across their annual cycle and in response to 96 unpredictable environmental change.

Biologging and biotelemetry, which involve the collection of data 97 98 from animal-borne instruments (hereafter: ABIs), are advancing our fundamental understanding of physiological adaptation and respon-99 100 siveness to environmental change. Specifically, this technology allows 101 us to answer questions regarding physiology, behavior, and ecology of free-living animals under natural conditions that would have previously 102 been limited to tests on model organisms under controlled conditions. 103 To date, the greatest impact of ABIs in ecology and environmental 104 105 physiology has been through the use of devices that enable quantitative measurement of animal movement through space and time, particularly 106 107 via global positioning systems (GPS), satellite telemetry, and geolocators 108 (Rutz and Hays, 2009; Cagnacci et al., 2010). However, a wide variety of ABIs have been developed that measure a range of physiological, behav-109 110 ioral, and environmental parameters including, but not limited to, body temperature (Tb), heart rate, acceleration, pressure (depth), salinity, 111 light, heat flux, EEG, and PO<sub>2</sub> (Butler et al., 2004; Block, 2005; Vyssotski 112 et al., 2006; McDonald and Ponganis, 2013). Some of these parameters, 113 such as heart rate and acceleration, correlate strongly with metabolic 114 115rate which provides insight into how metabolism and daily energy ex-116 penditure are influenced by both intrinsic and extrinsic factors, including weather (Green et al., 2009; Halsey et al., 2011). Combining biologging 117 with other techniques, such as endocrinology and immunology, allows 118 for an integrative approach to understanding how physiology mitigates 119 120the effects of environmental change and influences behavior, performance, and ultimately lifetime fitness (Wingfield et al., 1997; 121 Semeniuk et al., 2009). Thus, the use and further development of ABIs is 122 critical to understanding the complex interactions between physiology, 123behavior, climate, and the environment (Evans et al., 2016). 124

Here, we review our use of ABIs that measure temperature, light, and acceleration in a free-living semi-fossorial hibernator, the arctic ground squirrel (hereafter: AGS; *Urocitellus parryii*). First we provide a brief overview of the practical and methodological issues associated with the use of these particular ABIs. Then, we use our work on AGSs as an example to illustrate the diversity of physiological and ecological130questions that can be addressed using these relatively simple devices.131We also demonstrate how combining biologging with endocrinology132can provide insight into the functional mechanisms that underlie indi-133vidual differences in behavior and physiology. The promise and power134of ABIs is enormous and continued technological advancements of135ABIs will undoubtedly provide for a more integrative understanding of136the physiological and behavioral mechanisms that underlie vertebrate137responses to environmental change.138

139

#### 2. ABIs - practical and methodological considerations

According to "Moore's law", which is actually an empirical observa- 140 tion, the number of transistors on an integrated circuit doubles approx-141 imately every 18 months and this has important implications for 142 processing speeds and memory (Schaller, 1997). Battery technology is 143 also improving, though at a much slower rate, such that the battery 144 has become the greatest limiting technical factor in terms of continuing 145 to reduce the size, mass and lifespan of ABIs. Nevertheless, the 146 combined evolution of processing speed, storage capacity and battery 147 technology has resulted in modern devices that are much smaller and 148 better performing than their predecessors of just a few years ago. As a 149 result, technologies that were once only suitable for deployment on 150 relatively large animals, such as accelerometry, are now being used on 151 increasingly smaller organisms (Rutz and Hays, 2009; Brown et al., 152 2013). This has led to widespread use of ABIs, but there are a variety 153 of practical and methodological considerations that need to be ad- 154 dressed when initiating an ABI-study. These considerations include 155 cost and robustness of the device, sampling resolution, method of 156 attachment, capture/handling effects, effects of the device itself, and 157 methods of analysis. Despite the continued progress in miniaturizing 158 and improving ABI technology, the commercial demand is relatively 159 small and thus further development/refinement of this technology 160 requires continued support from funding agencies. The high cost of 161 cutting-edge ABIs also means that many researchers continue to use 162 older, more affordable technologies. Selection of an appropriate sam- 163 pling interval, resolution and method of analyses is also very important 164 and dependent on the question being addressed; this subject is too 165 lengthy to discuss here, but see Ropert-Coudert and Wilson (2004). 166

Whether an ABI is worn externally or implanted is an important con-167 sideration. In general, we suggest following the taxon-specific guide- 168 lines provided by various societies (birds: Fair and Jones, 2010; fish: 0405 Nickum, 2004; mammals: Sikes and Gannon, 2011; reptiles and am- 06 phibians: Beaupre et al., 2004). Physical characteristics of the device 171 such as its size, shape, mass, and buoyancy require important consider- 172 ation and are dependent upon the size and ecology of the species; drag 173 and buoyancy, for example, are much more important than mass for 174 aquatic animals (Ponganis, 2007). Mass is much more important for fly-175 ing birds and bats than it is for animals that use terrestrial locomotion 176 (Barron et al., 2010; Rojas et al., 2010; O'Mara et al., 2014). It should 177 also be noted that even within a particular taxonomic group, some spe-178 cies may be more sensitive to capture/handling stress and/or carrying 179 the ABIs, and therefore attempts should be made to validate a lack of 180 deleterious effects on natural physiology and behavior of a given species 181 (e.g., Whidden et al., 2007; Jepsen et al., 2015). In some cases the deci- 182 sion regarding implantation or external-mounting will depend on the 183 ABI itself. ABIs that measure environmental parameters (e.g., light) 184 will obviously need to be mounted externally whereas some ABIs that 185 measure physiological variables (e.g., PO2) must be implanted; howev- 186 er, many ABIs can be either mounted externally or implanted. One 187 should not assume that external devices are necessarily preferred sim- 188 ply because they avoid invasive surgeries as some externally-affixed 189 ABIs may be more likely to cause negative behavioral effects (Saraux 190 et al., 2011). In a recent meta-analysis of biologging effects in birds, 191 White et al. (2013) found that externally attached, but not implanted 192 ABIs, were consistently detrimental to the birds. They concluded that 193

Please cite this article as: Williams, C.T., et al., Integrating physiology, behavior, and energetics: Biologging in a free-living arctic hibernator, Comp. Biochem. Physiol., A (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.04.020

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5510351

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5510351

Daneshyari.com