



Review

Determination of human reference values for serum total 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D using an extensively validated 2D ID-UPLC–MS/MS method



Niek F. Dirks^a, Frans Martens^a, Dirk Vanderschueren^{b,c}, Jaak Billen^{b,c}, Steven Pauwels^{c,d}, Mariëtte T. Ackermans^e, Erik Endert^e, Martin den Heijer^f, Marinus A. Blankenstein^a, Annemieke C. Heijboer^{a,*}

^a Department of Clinical Chemistry, Endocrine Laboratory, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^b Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine KU Leuven, Laboratory of Clinical and Experimental Endocrinology, Leuven, Belgium

^c Clinical Department of Laboratory Medicine, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^d Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^e Department of Clinical Chemistry, Laboratory of Endocrinology, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^f Department of Internal Medicine, Endocrinology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 May 2015

Received in revised form 15 October 2015

Accepted 6 December 2015

Available online 10 December 2015

Keywords:

LC–MS/MS

1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D

Reference values

Comparison

ABSTRACT

Background: To assess a patient's vitamin D status the precursor metabolite 25-hydroxyvitamin D can be determined. However, measurement of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D is required when disorders of 1 α -hydroxylation, extrarenal 1 α -hydroxylation, or vitamin D receptor defects are suspected.

Methods: The aim of this study was to determine reference values for 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ and D₂ using a 2D ID-UPLC–MS/MS method.

Results: The LC–MS/MS method, able to measure picomolar concentrations of both 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ and D₂ in human serum, was extensively validated. Intra-assay variations were <5% and 8.5% and <7.5% and 11%, for 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ and D₂, respectively, over the whole dynamic range (3.1–376 and 3.1–652 pmol/L). Limit of quantitation was 3.4 pmol/L for both compounds. Our method correlated well with a published LC–MS/MS method ($r=0.87$) and with the average 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ results of the vitamin D External Quality Assessment Scheme (DEQAS) determined with LC–MS/MS ($r=0.93$). Reference ranges, determined in 96 plasma samples of healthy volunteers were 59–159 pmol/L and <17 pmol/L for respectively 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ and D₂. The female part of the reference group showed a statistically significant decrease of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ concentrations with age. The presence of significantly higher average 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ levels in premenopausal women taking oral contraceptive pills compared to postmenopausal women suggests that this effect is estrogen-related, as estrogens lead to a higher vitamin D binding protein.

Conclusions: The major finding of the present study is a reference interval of 59–159 pmol/L for 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D₃ determined with a highly sensitive and precise LC–MS/MS method.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	128
2. Materials and methods	128
2.1. Chemicals and reagents	128

Abbreviations: DEQAS, vitamin D External Quality Assessment Scheme; 25OHD, 25-hydroxyvitamin D; 24,25diOHD, 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; 1,25diOHD, 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D; VDR, vitamin D receptor; RIA, radioimmunoassay; 2D ID-UPLC–MS/MS, two-dimensional isotope dilution ultra pressure liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry; FA, formic acid; BSA, bovine serum albumin; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; PTAD, 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-dione; CV, coefficient of variation; LOQ, limit of quantitation; SNR, signal-to-noise ratio; OCP, oral contraceptive.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Clinical Chemistry, VU University Medical Center, De Boelelaan 1118, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Fax: +31 204443895.

E-mail address: a.heijboer@vumc.nl (A.C. Heijboer).

2.2.	Laboratory procedure	128
2.3.	Instrumentation	129
2.4.	Validation	129
2.4.1.	Recovery and linearity	129
2.4.2.	Precision	129
2.4.3.	Interference	129
2.4.4.	Limit of quantitation	129
2.4.5.	Matrix interference	129
2.4.6.	Method comparison	129
2.5.	Reference values	130
2.6.	Statistical analysis	130
3.	Results	130
3.1.	Validation	130
3.1.1.	Recovery and linearity	130
3.1.2.	Precision	130
3.1.3.	Interference	130
3.1.4.	Limit of quantitation	130
3.1.5.	Matrix interference	130
3.1.6.	Method comparison	130
3.2.	Reference values	130
4.	Discussion	131
	Acknowledgements	132
	References	133

1. Introduction

Considering the importance of vitamin D and its derivatives in calcium homeostasis and bone metabolism, it is pivotal to be able to accurately measure circulating vitamin D metabolites. These include 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25OHD), 24,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (24,25diOHD) and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D (1,25diOHD). 25OHD is the main circulating metabolite and the latter two are its metabolites. 1,25diOHD is the hormonally active form of vitamin D, while there is also evidence about the activity of 24,25diOHD [1–3].

1,25diOHD is known to circulate in two forms, 1,25diOHD₃ and 1,25diOHD₂, which only differ in a double bond and a methyl group and both hail from their corresponding, unhydroxylated, vitamin D precursors. Vitamin D₂ originates from plants, whereas vitamin D₃ derives from animal material or is synthesized by the body itself converting 7-dehydrocholesterol upon UVB radiation. The two 1,25diOHD forms can both bind the vitamin D receptor (VDR), although 1,25diOHD₃ has proven to be significantly more potent [4].

Preferably, one would measure 1,25diOHD when calcium concentrations are altered significantly and measurement of merely PTH does not provide a proper diagnosis. This indicates the presence of altered 1 α -hydroxylase activity. This enzyme, expressed mainly in the kidney and which hydroxylates 25OHD to form 1,25diOHD, might be absent or malfunctioning, like in renal failure [5], vitamin D-dependent rickets type I [6] and hypophosphatemic rickets [5], or is expressed extrarenally, as can be the case in sarcoidosis [7], tuberculosis [8], inflammatory bowel disease [5], rheumatoid arthritis and lymphoproliferative disease [9]. In these conditions extrarenal hydroxylation occurs in macrophages or granulomas, and is not tightly regulated by feedback control. Moreover, one might wish to measure if 1,25diOHD concentrations have risen when vitamin D resistance or insensitivity is suspected. Finally, inborn errors of the VDR, like vitamin D-dependent rickets type 2 [5], cause extremely high concentrations of 1,25diOHD.

On the contrary, measuring 1,25diOHD to assess a patient's vitamin D status is unsuited, as serum 1,25diOHD levels are tightly regulated by hormonal control as long as possible. This means that 1,25diOHD concentrations can be within the reference range even in the case of vitamin D deficiency [5].

1,25diOHD can be measured using several methods. Today, serum 1,25diOHD is often measured using a radioimmunoassay (RIA). These assays can be very sensitive; yet often lack specificity, as they may suffer from cross reactivity by analogous compounds, like 24,25diOHD and 25OHD or need extensive and time consuming sample pretreatment [10]. Previous studies, however, have demonstrated the possibility to specifically and accurately quantify the very low concentrations of 1,25diOHD in human serum by using very sensitive LC–MS/MS systems, albeit derivatization is still commonly used to increase sensitivity further [11–14]. Here we describe the analytical characteristics of a two-dimensional isotope dilution ultra pressure liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (2D ID-UPLC–MS/MS) method, the comparison with another published LC–MS/MS method for the measurement of both 1,25diOHD₃ and 1,25diOHD₂ and the comparison with the average 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D results of the vitamin D External Quality Assessment Scheme (DEQAS). Additionally, we have established reference values for 1,25diOHD₃ and 1,25diOHD₂ in 96 healthy individuals.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

1,25diOHD₃, 1,25diOHD₂, 1,25diOHD₃-d6 and 1,25diOHD₂-d6 were purchased from Sigma, Cayman Chemical Toronto Research and Santa Cruz Biotech, respectively. Ethanol was purchased from Emsure HPLC grade methanol, acetonitrile and ammonium acetate (Biosolve) formic acid (FA) (Sigma–Aldrich) and reagent grade deionised water (Milli-Q; Millipore) were used to make eluent compositions. 6% Bovine serum albumin (BSA) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, B. Braun Meslungen AG) was prepared by dissolving 15 g of BSA in 250 mL PBS and pH was set to 7.3. 4-Phenyl-1,2,4-triazole-3,5-dione (PTAD) was purchased from Sigma and prepared by dissolving 40 mg in 50 mL acetonitrile. Immunoaffinity columns were purchased from Immundiagnostik AG.

2.2. Laboratory procedure

Stock concentrations were prepared by dissolving 1 mg of 1,25diOHD₃ or 1,25diOHD₂ in 25 mL of ethanol and checked by

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5513233>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5513233>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)