



## Soil protistology rebooted: 30 fundamental questions to start with



Stefan Geisen<sup>a, ag, \*</sup>, Edward A.D. Mitchell<sup>b, c</sup>, David M. Wilkinson<sup>d, aq</sup>, Sina Adl<sup>e</sup>, Michael Bonkowski<sup>f</sup>, Matthew W. Brown<sup>g</sup>, Anna Maria Fiore-Donno<sup>f</sup>, Thierry J. Heger<sup>b, at</sup>, Vincent E.J. Jassey<sup>h, i</sup>, Valentyna Krashevskaya<sup>j</sup>, Daniel J.G. Lahr<sup>k</sup>, Katarzyna Marcisz<sup>l, ar</sup>, Matthieu Mulot<sup>b, as</sup>, Richard Payne<sup>m</sup>, David Singer<sup>b</sup>, O. Roger Anderson<sup>n</sup>, Dan J. Charman<sup>o</sup>, Flemming Ekelund<sup>p</sup>, Bryan S. Griffiths<sup>q</sup>, Regin Rønn<sup>p</sup>, Alexey Smirnov<sup>r</sup>, David Bass<sup>s, t</sup>, Lassaâd Belbahri<sup>b</sup>, Cédric Berney<sup>u, v</sup>, Quentin Blandenier<sup>b</sup>, Antonis Chatzinotas<sup>w, x</sup>, Marianne Clarholm<sup>y</sup>, Micah Dunthorn<sup>z</sup>, Alan Feest<sup>aa</sup>, Leonardo D. Fernández<sup>ab</sup>, Wilhelm Foissner<sup>ac</sup>, Bertrand Fournier<sup>ad</sup>, Eleni Gentekaki<sup>ae</sup>, Michal Hájek<sup>af</sup>, Johannes Helder<sup>ag</sup>, Alexandre Jousset<sup>ah</sup>, Robert Koller<sup>ai</sup>, Santosh Kumar<sup>aj, ak</sup>, Antonietta La Terza<sup>ak</sup>, Mariusz Lamentowicz<sup>l</sup>, Yuri Mazei<sup>al, am</sup>, Susana S. Santos<sup>an</sup>, Christophe V.W. Seppey<sup>b</sup>, Frederick W. Spiegel<sup>ao</sup>, Julia Walochnik<sup>ap</sup>, Anne Winding<sup>an</sup>, Enrique Lara<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> Laboratory of Soil Biodiversity, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Emile-Argand 11, Neuchâtel 2000, Switzerland

<sup>c</sup> Jardin Botanique de Neuchâtel, Chemin du Perthuis-du-Sault 58, Neuchâtel 2000, Switzerland

<sup>d</sup> Natural Science and Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Byrom Street, Liverpool L3 3AF, UK

<sup>e</sup> Department of Soil Sciences, College of Agriculture and Bioresources, University of Saskatchewan, 51 Campus Drive, Saskatoon, Canada

<sup>f</sup> Department of Terrestrial Ecology, University of Cologne, Zulpicher Str. 47b, 50674 Cologne, Germany

<sup>g</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, Mississippi State University, MS, 39762, United States

<sup>h</sup> School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering (ENAC), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne EPFL, Ecological Systems Laboratory (ECOS), Station 2, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>i</sup> Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), Site Lausanne, Station 2, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>j</sup> Georg August University Göttingen, J.F. Blumenbach Institute of Zoology and Anthropology, Berliner Str. 28, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

<sup>k</sup> Department of Zoology, Institute of Biosciences, University of São Paulo, 05508-090, Brazil

<sup>l</sup> Laboratory of Wetland Ecology and Monitoring & Department of Biogeography and Paleocology, Adam Mickiewicz University, Dziegielowa 27, 61-680 Poznań, Poland

<sup>m</sup> Environment, University of York, York YO105DD, United Kingdom

<sup>n</sup> Biology and Paleoenvironment, Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, NY, 10964, United States

<sup>o</sup> Department of Geography, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4RJ, United Kingdom

<sup>p</sup> Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 15, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>q</sup> SRUC, Crop and Soil Systems Research Group, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JG, United Kingdom

<sup>r</sup> Department of Invertebrate Zoology, Faculty of Biology, Saint Petersburg State University, Universitetskaya nab. 7/9, St. Petersburg, 199034, Russia

<sup>s</sup> Department of Life Sciences, The Natural History Museum, London SW7 5BD, United Kingdom

<sup>t</sup> Cefas, Barrack Road, Weymouth, Dorset DT4 8UB, United Kingdom

<sup>u</sup> EPEP—Evolution des Protistes et des Ecosystèmes Pélagiques—team, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7144, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Roscoff, France

<sup>v</sup> CNRS, UMR 7144, Station Biologique de Roscoff, Roscoff, France

<sup>w</sup> Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Department of Environmental Microbiology, Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany

<sup>x</sup> German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany

<sup>y</sup> Department of Forest Mycology and Plant Pathology, SLU, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>z</sup> Department of Ecology, University of Kaiserslautern, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany

<sup>aa</sup> Faculty of Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TR, United Kingdom

<sup>ab</sup> Centro de Investigación en Recursos Naturales y Sustentabilidad (CIRENYS), Universidad Bernardo O'Higgins, Fábrica 1990, 2° piso, Santiago, Chile

<sup>ac</sup> University of Salzburg, Department of Ecology and Evolution, Hellbrunnerstrasse 34, A-5020 Salzburg, Austria

<sup>ad</sup> Department of Biology, Concordia University, 7141 Sherbrooke Street West, Montreal, QC H4B 1R6, Canada

<sup>ae</sup> School of Science, Mae Fah Luang University, Chiang Rai, 57100, Thailand

<sup>af</sup> Department of Botany and Zoology, Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 60200 Brno, Czech Republic

<sup>ag</sup> Laboratory of Nematology, Wageningen University, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708 PB, Wageningen, The Netherlands

\* Corresponding author. Department of Terrestrial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands.

<sup>ah</sup> Department of Ecology and Biodiversity, Utrecht University, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>ai</sup> Forschungszentrum Jülich, IBG-2: Plant Sciences, 52425 Jülich, Germany

<sup>aj</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, University of Ulsan, Ulsan 44610, South Korea

<sup>ak</sup> School of Bioscience and Veterinary Medicine, University of Camerino, Via Gentile III da Varano, 62032 Camerino (MC), Italy

<sup>al</sup> Department of Hydrobiology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Leninskiye gory, 1, Moscow 119899, Russia

<sup>am</sup> Department of Zoology and Ecology, Penza State University, Krasnaya str. 40, 440026 Penza, Russia

<sup>an</sup> Department of Environmental Science, Aarhus University, Frederiksborgvej 399, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark

<sup>ao</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR, 72701, United States

<sup>ap</sup> Molecular Parasitology, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Medical University, 1090 Vienna, Austria

<sup>aq</sup> School of Life Science, University of Lincoln, United Kingdom

<sup>ar</sup> Institute of Plant Sciences and Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, CH-3013 Bern, Switzerland

<sup>as</sup> Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS UMR 7144, Adaptation et Diversité en Milieu Marin, Equipe EPEP, Station Biologique de Roscoff, 29680 Roscoff, France

<sup>at</sup> The University of Applied Sciences Western Switzerland, Changins, Switzerland

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 25 January 2017

Received in revised form

14 March 2017

Accepted 2 April 2017

Available online 13 April 2017

### Keywords:

Soil protists

Protozoa

Microbial interactions

Food web

Biodiversity

Functional diversity

## ABSTRACT

Protists are the most diverse eukaryotes. These microbes are keystone organisms of soil ecosystems and regulate essential processes of soil fertility such as nutrient cycling and plant growth. Despite this, protists have received little scientific attention, especially compared to bacteria, fungi and nematodes in soil studies. Recent methodological advances, particularly in molecular biology techniques, have made the study of soil protists more accessible, and have created a resurgence of interest in soil protistology. This ongoing revolution now enables comprehensive investigations of the structure and functioning of soil protist communities, paving the way to a new era in soil biology. Instead of providing an exhaustive review, we provide a synthesis of research gaps that should be prioritized in future studies of soil protistology to guide this rapidly developing research area. Based on a synthesis of expert opinion we propose 30 key questions covering a broad range of topics including evolution, phylogenetics, functional ecology, macroecology, paleoecology, and methodologies. These questions highlight a diversity of topics that will establish soil protistology as a hub discipline connecting different fundamental and applied fields such as ecology, biogeography, evolution, plant-microbe interactions, agronomy, and conservation biology. We are convinced that soil protistology has the potential to be one of the most exciting frontiers in biology.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Protists are everywhere, in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems, free-living, and as symbionts (including parasites) of many organisms including humans. These usually single-celled or colonial microorganisms are by far the most diverse eukaryotes (Adl et al., 2012) and their species-numbers might easily exceed 10 million (Global Soil Biodiversity Atlas; [www.globalsoilbiodiversity.org](http://www.globalsoilbiodiversity.org)). Since the term ‘protista’ was introduced (Haeckel, 1866), profound taxonomic re-orderings have taken place. The vast majority of eukaryotic lineages has been shown to be protists, with the exception of the derived monophyletic multicellular lineages: animals, plants, and some fungi (Burki, 2014). Electron microscopy and molecular phylogenies have revealed that both algal and protozoan lineages are intermingled throughout the eukaryote phylogenies (Delwiche, 1999; Burki, 2014), and hence it is less confusing to use Haeckel's broader category of ‘protist’. Similarly, the classical protozoan morphological categories: flagellates, testate and naked amoebae – but not ciliates – are not monophyletic but distributed across the eukaryotic tree of life (Adl et al., 2012). A snapshot of the immense morphological and phylogenetic diversity of soil protists is visualized in Fig. 1. We therefore recommend to use ‘protist’ as a term for all single celled phototrophic, mixotrophic and heterotrophic eukaryotes, with the exception of fungi.

The huge diversity of protist species has only recently become evident as many morphospecies recognizable under the microscope were shown to hide many cryptic species (Boenigk et al., 2012). This ‘dark matter of biodiversity’ suggests that protist taxon richness has been considerably underestimated. A recent

study of environmental eukaryotic diversity based on state-of-the-art high-throughput sequencing (HTS) showed that protists are considerably more diverse than plants and animals in the sunlit zone of oceans (de Vargas et al., 2015). HTS studies of soil protists have shown a wide diversity of non-phagotrophic protists and the diversity of protists in soils is at least as diverse as that in aquatic systems e.g. (Bates et al., 2013; Geisen et al., 2015c). Nevertheless, soil protists are much less well studied than their aquatic counterparts and this gap is increasing (Fig. 2a).

Soil protists have received relatively little attention mainly due to methodological challenges, especially their isolation from the opaque soil matrix. These, however, do not entirely explain why soil protists are relatively less studied than other soil organisms, especially bacteria, fungi and nematodes (Fig. 2b). The volume of work on microbial bacteria and fungi far outweighs protist studies, possibly because of their direct role as primary decomposers, and they represent monophyletic groups that can more easily be studied with various targeted methodological approaches (Foissner, 1987; Mitchell, 2015). Even soil viruses have been subject to more studies than soil protists, despite being extremely challenging to study (Fierer et al., 2007) and their uncertain functional importance in soils. The under-studied nature of soil protists is exemplified by a comparison between research on protists and on soil archaea, a domain erected in 1990 and reported to be functionally important in soil only decade ago (Leininger et al., 2006; Bates et al., 2011). Historically studies mentioning soil protists in the title were eight times more abundant than those including archaea (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Table 2). However, in the last 15 years, this pattern entirely changed; studies on soil protists decreased by 15% while those on other common soil organisms

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5516289>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5516289>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)