



# Development of service-oriented architectures using model-driven development: A mapping study



David Ameller<sup>a,\*</sup>, Xavier Burgués<sup>a</sup>, Oriol Collell<sup>a</sup>, Dolors Costal<sup>a</sup>, Xavier Franch<sup>a</sup>, Mike P. Papazoglou<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>b</sup>Tilburg University, Tilburg, The Netherlands

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 5 September 2014  
Received in revised form 17 January 2015  
Accepted 10 February 2015  
Available online 18 February 2015

### Keywords:

Service-oriented architecture  
Model-driven development  
SOA  
MDD  
State of the art  
Mapping study

## ABSTRACT

**Context:** Model-Driven Development (MDD) and Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) are two challenging research areas in software engineering. MDD is about improving software development whilst SOA is a service-based conceptual development style, therefore investigating the available proposals in the literature to use MDD when developing SOA may be insightful. However, no studies have been found with this purpose.

**Objective:** This work aims at assessing the state of the art in MDD for SOA systems. It mainly focuses on: what are the characteristics of MDD approaches that support SOA; what types of SOA are supported; how do they handle non-functional requirements.

**Method:** We conducted a mapping study following a rigorous protocol. We identified the representative set of venues that should be included in the study. We applied a search string over the set of selected venues. As result, 129 papers were selected and analysed (both frequency analysis and correlation analysis) with respect to the defined classification criteria derived from the research questions. Threats to validity were identified and mitigated whenever possible.

**Results:** The analysis allows us to answer the research questions. We highlight: (1) predominance of papers from Europe and written by researchers only; (2) predominance of top-down transformation in software development activities; (3) inexistence of consolidated methods; (4) significant percentage of works without tool support; (5) SOA systems and service compositions more targeted than single services and SOA enterprise systems; (6) limited use of metamodels; (7) very limited use of NFRs; and (8) limited application in real cases.

**Conclusion:** This mapping study does not just provide the state of the art in the topic, but also identifies several issues that deserve investigation in the future, for instance the need of methods for activities other than software development (e.g., migration) or the need of conducting more real case studies.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1. Introduction	43
2. Background	43
2.1. Service-oriented architecture	43
2.2. Elements of SOA-based applications	45
2.3. Model-driven development	45
3. Planning of the mapping study	45
3.1. Research questions	45
3.2. Keyword selection and search string	46
3.3. Selection of digital libraries	46
3.4. Search strategy	46

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [dameller@essi.upc.edu](mailto:dameller@essi.upc.edu) (D. Ameller), [diafebus@essi.upc.edu](mailto:diafebus@essi.upc.edu) (X. Burgués), [ocollell@essi.upc.edu](mailto:ocollell@essi.upc.edu) (O. Collell), [dolors@essi.upc.edu](mailto:dolors@essi.upc.edu) (D. Costal), [franch@essi.upc.edu](mailto:franch@essi.upc.edu) (X. Franch), [m.p.papazoglou@uvt.nl](mailto:m.p.papazoglou@uvt.nl) (M.P. Papazoglou).

3.5.	Classification criteria . . . . .	47
3.5.1.	AQ1. How publications in the topic are demographically distributed? . . . . .	47
3.5.2.	RQ1. What are the characteristics of MDD approaches that support SOA? . . . . .	48
3.5.3.	RQ2. What SOA types are supported? . . . . .	48
3.5.4.	RQ3. Do these approaches deal with NFRs? . . . . .	49
3.6.	Threats to validity . . . . .	49
4.	Analysis of the results . . . . .	50
4.1.	AQ1. How publications in the topic are demographically distributed? . . . . .	50
4.1.1.	AQ1.1. In which type of venue are articles mostly published? . . . . .	50
4.1.2.	AQ1.2. How the number of publications has evolved over the years? . . . . .	50
4.1.3.	AQ1.3. Which is the geographical distribution of published articles related to the topic? . . . . .	51
4.1.4.	AQ1.4. How are publications distributed between academy and industry? . . . . .	51
4.2.	RQ1. What are the characteristics of MDD approaches that support SOA? . . . . .	51
4.2.1.	RQ1.1. Which methods are applied by these approaches? . . . . .	51
4.2.2.	RQ1.2. What are the main characteristics of the MDD process? . . . . .	52
4.2.3.	RQ1.3. What are the input and the output of the MDD approach? . . . . .	52
4.2.4.	RQ1.4. Do the approaches report tools? . . . . .	53
4.2.5.	RQ1.5. Have the approaches been applied to industrial cases? . . . . .	53
4.3.	RQ2. What SOA systems are supported? . . . . .	54
4.3.1.	RQ2.1. What types of SOA are generated by the MDD approach? . . . . .	54
4.3.2.	RQ2.2. What SOA elements are explicitly modeled in the MDD process? . . . . .	56
4.4.	RQ3. Do these approaches deal with NFRs? . . . . .	57
4.4.1.	RQ3.1. What types of NFRs are supported by the MDD approach? . . . . .	57
4.4.2.	RQ3.2. What notations are used to specify NFRs? . . . . .	57
5.	Discussion . . . . .	58
6.	Conclusions . . . . .	58
6.1.	AQ1. How publications in the topic are demographically distributed? . . . . .	58
6.2.	RQ1. What are the characteristics of MDD approaches that support SOA? . . . . .	58
6.3.	RQ2. What SOA types are supported? . . . . .	59
6.4.	RQ3. Do these approaches deal with NFRs? . . . . .	59
6.5.	Future work . . . . .	59
	Acknowledgments . . . . .	59
	Appendix A. Sources and databases . . . . .	59
	Appendix B. Criteria definition . . . . .	60
	Appendix C. Other mapping studies . . . . .	61
	C.1. References of Appendix C . . . . .	61
	Appendix D. Papers selected for the mapping study . . . . .	61
	Appendix E. Significant correlations ( $\chi^2$ ) . . . . .	65
	References . . . . .	66

## 1. Introduction

In the last decade we have witnessed the emergence of Service-Oriented Computing (SOC). Papazoglou and Georgakopoulos defined SOC as the computing paradigm that utilizes services as fundamental elements for developing applications [1]. The adoption of SOC impacted over the full application development lifecycle, from requirements engineering to implementation, maintenance and testing. Service-oriented applications are structured using a Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) whose foundation includes basic services, their descriptions, and basic operations (publication, discovery, selection, and binding) that produce or utilize such descriptions [1].

The study of SOA has attracted a lot of attention from researchers and practitioners. Principles, metamodels, languages, technologies, methods, patterns, etc., for SOA have been and are being proposed continuously and have yielded to an extensive body of knowledge. A particular issue has to do with the relationship of SOA with other orthogonal software engineering streams. One of such streams is Model-Driven Development (MDD). According to Mellor et al., MDD pushes the vision that we can construct a model of a system and then transform it into *the real thing* [2]. It is clear that the use of MDD in the context of SOC may deliver powerful software engineering methods. In fact, OMG released in 2012 a standard named SOA Modeling Language (SoaML [3]) which links SOA and MDD. A question that may arise naturally is: to what extent MDD is currently used for developing SOA-based applications? The goal of this paper is to answer this question in detail.

For attaining this goal, we search, analyse and discuss the different approaches that have been proposed in the scientific literature related to this question by performing a mapping study. A mapping study (MS) is a form of systematic literature review (SLR) that aims at identifying and categorizing the available research on a broad software engineering topic [4]. MS are intended to provide an overview of a topic area and identify whether there are sub-topics with sufficient primary studies to conduct conventional SLRs and also to identify sub-topics where more primary studies are needed. MS use the same basic methodology as SLRs.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we summarize the key points of both SOA and MDD. In Section 3, we describe the protocol used for developing the mapping study. Section 4 is the core of the paper, where we show the observations that emerge from conducting the study, and provide some interpretations of these observations. In Section 5 we include some discussion and, finally, Section 6 presents the conclusions and future work.

## 2. Background

### 2.1. Service-oriented architecture

Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) is a software architectural style that uses services as the main building component [5]. A service, as a software component, is a mechanism to enable access to one or more capabilities [6].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/551657>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/551657>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)