Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews xxx (2016) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/addr



IN.PACT™ Admiral™ drug-coated balloon: Durable, consistent and safe treatment for femoropopliteal peripheral artery disease*

Susan Peterson *, Melissa Hasenbank, Claudio Silvestro, Shashank Raina

Medtronic Vascular, 3850 Brickway Blvd., Santa Rosa, CA 95403, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 March 2016

Received in revised form 9 October 2016

10 Accepted 17 October 2016

Available online xxxx

29 Kevwords:

5

12

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

Peripheral vascular disease

31 Peripheral stenosis

32 Percutaneous transluminal balloon angioplasty

33 Drug coating 34

35 Arterial lesion revascularization

36 Anti-proliferative drug

ABSTRACT

Endovascular management of peripheral artery disease was until recently limited to percutaneous balloon 17 angioplasty, atherectomy, stent grafts, and bare-metal stents. These therapies have been valuable, but plagued 18 by high restenosis and revascularization rates. Important progress has been made with the introduction of com- 19 bination devices, including drug-eluting stents and drug-coated balloons (DCB), designed to combat restenosis by 20 locally delivering anti-proliferative drugs. In particular, promising clinical performance has been seen with the 21 Medtronic IN.PACT™ Admiral™ DCB, with durable, consistent and safe results. Rigorous, randomized controlled 22 trials have directly compared this and other drug-delivering devices to their non-drug-coated counterparts with 23 data available through two years. Additionally, trials are ongoing to assess use of drug-coated technologies 24 in combination with traditional therapies in hope of synergistic effects. This review gathers data from currently 25 published clinical trials with the IN.PACT Admiral DCB for the treatment of femoropopliteal peripheral artery 26 disease and explores the possible impact on continuing clinical practice.

© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. 28

Contents

43	1.	ntroduction	0
44	2.	evice overview	0
45	3.	linical data	0
46		.1. IN.PACT SFA Trial	0
47		.2. IN.PACT global study	0
48		3. In-stent restenosis studies	0
49		4. Other stand-alone studies	0
50		.5. Combined treatment studies	0
51	4.	onclusions	0
52	Unci	d references	0
53	Refe	nces	0

1. Introduction

Peripheral artery disease (PAD) remains one of the often unrecognized manifestations of systemic arteriosclerosis, symptomatically affecting 3% to 10% of the population and 15% to 20% of persons over 70 years of age [1-3]. PAD has a major detrimental impact on quality of life and is a marker of multisystem vascular disease [4,5]. Chronic

☆ This review is part of the Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews theme issue on "Drug Device

E-mail address: susan.peterson@medtronic.com (S. Peterson).

atherosclerotic disease of the pelvic and lower limb arteries, leading to 61 lower extremity ischemia, is associated with morbidity and mortality 62 [6,7], with a prevalence of intermittent claudication of approximately 63 4.5% and an incidence of approximately 15.5 per 1000 person-years [8]. 64 Some patients even progress to chronic limb ischemia (CLI). It has been 65 shown that 40% to 50% of people failing peripheral revascularization 66 with CLI will undergo amputation and 20% will die within 6 months [9]. 67

Options for PAD treatment include lifestyle modification, medical 68 therapy, supervised exercise, surgical revascularization, and, more re- 69 cently, endovascular therapies to restore arterial perfusion to the limb. 70 Endovascular revascularization has evolved over the past two decades, 71 from percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) to therapies such 72

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2016.10.003 0169-409X/© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Corresponding author.

2

73 74

75 76

77

78 79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89 90

91

92

93 94

95

96

97

102

103 104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113 114

115

116

117

118 119

120

121

122

123 124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

as self-expanding stents, atherectomy, laser angioplasty, stent grafts, cutting balloons and drug-eluting stents. Catheter-based techniques are now established as the preferred means of revascularization for ischemic lower limbs, with lower morbidity and mortality rates and shorter hospital stays compared with surgical bypass [10–12]. While PTA is often regarded as the standard of care, restenosis rates of 40–50% by 12 months are reported [13–15].

To address the problem of elastic recoil encountered with balloon angioplasty, stents have been employed to maintain vessel lumen patency [16]. However, the presence of a foreign body apposing the vessel wall can initiate an immunologic cascade, stimulating the proliferation and migration of vascular smooth muscle cells to create neointimal hyperplasia, exacerbating in-stent restenosis (ISR). As a result, restenosis in the femoropopliteal arteries after stenting reaches up to 40% within the first 12 months of intervention [14,17].

Drug-eluting stents (DES) were developed to address the restenosis problems associated with bare metal stents (BMS). In DES, the metal stent structure releases a drug to inhibit cell proliferation and hence inhibit restenosis. The first DES, for coronary application, was launched in 2003 and many others followed over the ensuing years [18], with differences in the anti-restenotic drug used and the associated release characteristics (the quantity of drug released and how rapidly this release occurs) [19]. DES, however, carry additional drawbacks. The anti-proliferative effect that prevents migration of vascular smooth muscle cells and development of neointimal hyperplasia also interferes with re-endothelialization of the vessel lumen. Hence, the stent struts remain exposed to blood flow and can serve as a focus for thrombus formation, necessitating anticoagulant therapy [20]. Studies have also shown that the absorption of the anti-proliferative agent coated onto the stent can be limited to the sites of physical contact between the stent struts and vessel wall, leaving inter-strut areas of the vessel wall untreated [21-23].

Drug-coated balloon (DCB) development began in the late 1990s as many studied new technologies to influence the process of restenosis, without involving stent-based local drug delivery. DCB devices also required different drug characteristics than for DES. While -limus drugs are effective in DES applications where their susceptibility to oxidation can be countered by combination with antioxidant compounds and protection within a polymer matrix, allowing their inhibition of cell growth to continue for a prolonged period, they did not prove effective for DCB treatment. Paclitaxel was also known to be an effective antirestenotic drug from DES applications, and became the drug of choice for DCB application due to its high stability which allows it to function alone without a durable implant over an extended period of time, and its long-term biologic effect, fully blocking cell division and triggering apoptosis. However, studies have emphasized the importance of hydrophilic component(s) on DCB technology to increase the transfer efficiency of paclitaxel [24]. Without a hydrophilic excipient, the drug may not be released at a sufficient rate to prevent neointimal proliferation [25–27] as the drug may bind to both itself and the balloon surface, thereby reducing its ability to release from the balloon and transfer to the vessel wall during the time the balloon is inflated. Experiments by Speck and Scheller [25] initially focused on the addition of antiproliferative drugs to a small amount of the hydrophilic X-ray contrast medium iopromide (Ultravist) as excipient. Supported by similar investigations [28,29], promising outcomes were seen during early animal trials in 2002 [25]. Consequently, the Paccocath® ISR I/II randomized study was initiated in patients with coronary ISR at the end of 2003. Feasibility of paclitaxel-coated balloon catheters to inhibit restenosis following balloon angioplasty was further shown in animal models [25] and clinical trials[30,31], with the first-in-human data on DCB angioplasty of the leg from the Local Taxane with Short Exposure for Reduction of Restenosis in Distal Arteries (THUNDER) trial [32]. Subsequent DCB improvements have focused on limiting drug loss before reaching the treatment site, and maximizing drug transfer to the arterial wall, with many clinical trials performed to study in-vivo DCB efficacy for the treatment of occlusive lesions in the coronary and 139 peripheral vasculature [33].

The THUNDER and FEMPAC trials, in particular, opened the way to 141 randomized, multicenter evaluations of primary endpoints by a blinded 142 core lab. These trials compared DCB and PTA with regards to efficacy 143 and tolerance in inhibiting restenosis in the peripheral arteries, both in-144 cluding a two-year follow-up. Based on the results obtained through 145 these initial trials, development of paclitaxel-coated balloon catheters 146 further expanded [33], including development work by Invatec S.p.a., 147 an Italian medical device company later acquired by Medtronic. 148 Early adopters also included Aachen Resonance and the Cotavance 149 catheter system (controlled by Bayer), both based in Germany, along 150 with USA-based Lutonix (later acquired by Bard) and Cook Medical.

Main improvements associated with DCB technology compared to 152 DES are a more homogenous distribution of the anti-proliferative com- 153 pound and no need for a permanent implant. DCB devices are combina- 154 tion products: a standard PTA balloon catheter coated with the antirestenotic drug paclitaxel (C₄₇H₅₁NO₁₄). Paclitaxel, a mitotic inhibitor, 156 was first approved by the FDA in 1992 for the treatment of multiple cancers including breast and ovarian cancer. It is also the same drug sub- 158 stance used in the TAXUS™ family of DES for the treatment of stenotic 159 coronary artery offered by Boston Scientific, and the Zilver® DES for 160 the treatment of stenotic superficial femoral artery offered by Cook 161 Medical. This drug is effective for local delivery due to its lipophilic 162 properties, short absorption and prolonged duration of effect, stabilizing 163 microtubules to reduce cell proliferation. The primary mode of action 164 for drug-coated balloons is physical dilatation of the vessel lumen by 165 PTA, while the drug coating is intended to secondarily reduce the proliferative response that is associated with restenosis. As the balloon is 167 inflated and unwraps, the coating is fully exposed and presented to 168 the vessel wall, where the combination of paclitaxel's hydrophobicity, 169 lipophilic nature and the increased solubility conferred by the excipient 170 allows for rapid diffusion into the vessel tissue (Fig. 1; detail shown for 171 the IN.PACT™ Admiral™ DCB, which uses urea as an excipient). Once in 172 contact with the vessel wall, the paclitaxel adheres to the vessel; due 173 to its solid-state form, only a fraction of the drug is immediately bio- 174 available, with the remainder serving as a depot to control neointimal 175 proliferation over time. Randomized controlled clinical trials of balloons 176 coated with different formulations of paclitaxel have demonstrated 177 more durable efficacy and safety than PTA [34–36].

Currently, more than ten DCB devices have received CE Mark approval for peripheral vascular treatment (Table 1). Among these, the 180 IN.PACT Admiral (Medtronic Vascular; Galway, Ireland) and Lutonix 181 (Bard; Tempe, USA) DCB devices are also approved and available 182 in the United States for treatment of femoropopliteal artery lesions. 183 This article focuses mainly on the IN.PACT Admiral DCB which has demonstrated strong results in clinical testing. Specifically, statistically superior safety and effectiveness compared to PTA was seen through two 186 years, with positive 12-month outcomes also reported in real-world patients with long lesions, chronic total occlusions, and in-stent restenosis 188 lesions [36–44]. Favorable comparison of results from IN.PACT Admiral 189 have been seen across other available therapies as well (Fig. 2; model 190 derived using pooled target lesion revascularization (TLR) rates from 191 cited references, following the meta-analysis methodologies described 192 by Pietzsch et al., 2014 [45]).

2. Device overview

The development of the IN.PACT Admiral DCB focused on incorporating a drug coating onto an established and commercially available transluminal angioplasty balloon catheter technology to improve the clinical outcome from peripheral interventions by reducing the restensis rate in the superficial femoral artery (SFA) patient population. The IN.PACT Admiral catheter body is based on the uncoated Admiral Xtreme PTA balloon catheter, with the addition of a proprietary FreePacTM 201 coating. This coating consists of paclitaxel as the active pharmaceutical 202

194

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5520124

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5520124

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>