



Biology of Blood and Marrow Transplantation

journal homepage: www.bbmt.org



Clinical Research: Analysis

Preemptive Bone Marrow Transplantation and Event-Free Survival in Fanconi Anemia



Nicholas E. Khan¹, Philip S. Rosenberg², Blanche P. Alter^{1,*}

¹ Clinical Genetics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland

² Biostatistics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland

Article history:

Received 16 May 2016

Accepted 17 June 2016

Key Words:

Preemptive transplantation

Fanconi anemia

Markov model

Decision analysis

A B S T R A C T

Fanconi anemia (FA) is a rare inherited bone marrow failure syndrome associated with high risks of severe bone marrow failure (BMF), acute myeloid leukemia (AML), and solid tumors (ST). Bone marrow transplantation (BMT) provides a theoretical cure for hematologic risks (BMF, AML), but it introduces uncertain risks of transplantation-related mortality (TRM) and carcinogenicity. We developed a mathematical (Markov) decision model to estimate event-free survival (EFS) conditional on age based on per-year cause-specific hazard rates. We assumed that preemptive (PE) BMT eliminates the risks of BMF and AML, but it may introduce independent risks of TRM or influence the trajectory to ST. Our model suggested that the expected mean EFS in FA is higher for PE-BMT at young ages, with minimal risk of TRM and with little carcinogenicity. PE-BMT in adults decreased expected EFS because of the greater competing risk of ST in adulthood. Estimates of EFS conditioned on attained age may be used in shared decision-making when clinicians must counsel patients using limited data. Our methods may be used to model early transplantation in other blood disorders for which hematopoietic stem cell transplantation mitigates some but not all of the risks.

Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation.

INTRODUCTION

Fanconi anemia (FA) is a primarily autosomal recessive, bone marrow failure syndrome caused by mutations in 1 of more than 19 genes in the FA/BRCA DNA damage response pathway, which makes individuals with FA prone to cancer. The syndrome is diagnosed at a median age of 7 years, often because of recognition of aplastic anemia and the presence of a characteristic constellation of developmental abnormalities, including short stature, café-au-lait spots, absent radii, and hypoplastic thumbs [1]. Patients with FA are at particularly high risk of severe bone marrow failure (BMF), acute myelogenous leukemia (AML), and solid tumors (ST), usually squamous cell carcinomas. The risk of each event is age dependent. In a competing risks analysis of first major events in FA, the rate of severe BMF peaked in childhood, rate of AML plateaued after adolescence, and rate of ST increased at a greater-than-linear rate in early adulthood [2]. Mild cytopenias may be managed conservatively with androgens and/or transfusions. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation

(HSCT) is the recommended approach for patients with aplastic anemia (hemoglobin < 8g/dL, absolute neutrophil count < 500/uL, or platelet count < 30,000 uL), myelodysplastic syndrome, or AML [3].

Successful allogeneic HSCT may cure the hematologic manifestations of FA but introduces risks of transplantation-related mortality (TRM) and morbidity. Transplantation outcomes have improved in recent years, with a 5-year overall survival in patients with FA who received transplants from matched sibling donors for any indication (90% had aplastic anemia) increasing from 68% to 76% between 1972 and 1999 and 2000 and 2009 in the European registry. The risk of TRM depends on many donor and recipient characteristics. Generally, best results were in patients under age 10 who underwent transplantation for aplastic anemia with bone marrow from matched related donors [4].

Patients or parents of children with FA often face a dilemma about whether or not to undergo HSCT after development of BMF or AML. Families relied heavily in the past on the advice of physicians [5], and the decision was driven by attitudes about uncertainty [6]. Shared decision-making is useful in circumstances of uncertainty, when risks are imprecisely known and the best decision for an individual depends on his or her preferences [7]. In the present study, we used decision-analysis methods and developed a model to facilitate shared decision-making between families with

Financial Disclosures: See Acknowledgments on page 1892.

* Correspondence and reprint requests: Blanche P. Alter, MD, MPH, Clinical Genetics Branch, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, 9609 Medical Center Drive, Room 6E452, Rockville, MD 20850.

E-mail address: alterb@mail.nih.gov (B.P. Alter).




Figure 1. Models of event-free survival. (A) Standard care. (B) PE-BMT. BMF indicates bone marrow failure; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; ST, solid tumor; PE-BMT, preemptive bone marrow transplantation; TRM, treatment related mortality.

FA and their doctors. This model considers bone marrow transplantation (BMT) before the development of any of the symptoms for which transplantation is indicated (termed *pre-emptive* [PE]), and it can be used to quantify the risks after transplantation conditioned on age at the time of the decision. Our model allows the user to evaluate a number of “what if” scenarios obtained by varying the model inputs. In this way, the model outputs can help provide data with which each family with FA can examine their level of risk aversion and risk acceptance regarding various transplantation options.

METHODS

The structure of our model is based on the earlier model we created for patients with biallelic mutations in *FANCD1/BRCA2* [8], allowing application to patients with FA of all ages and other genotypes. Specifically, we developed mathematical (Markov) models of event-free survival (EFS) [9] to reflect the natural history of FA, a strategy that we call *standard care* (Figure 1). Patients receiving standard care are at risk of BMF, AML, and ST. BMF in this context means marrow failure severe enough to lead to transplantation or death from aplastic anemia. We then developed a model for the competing strategy of PE-BMT in which the hematologic risks (BMF and AML) were eliminated by transplantation but an independent risk of TRM was introduced. The primary outcome was EFS, defined as freedom from BMF, AML, ST, or TRM. Note that our estimate of TRM means mortality, not morbidity. The models were analyzed using TreeAge Pro 2014 (TreeAge Software, Inc, Williamstown, MA). To obtain mathematically smooth outputs for tabulation and plotting, each model was run for 200 cycles with a cycle length of 3-months, all rates were transformed into 3-month probabilities, and we used a half-cycle correction [10].

Data Sources

We implemented our model using estimates from the literature (Table 1). We incorporated the age-specific hazards of AML, BMF, and ST from an analysis of 4 cohorts: the North American Survey, the German FA Registry, the Israeli FA Registry, and the National Cancer Institute FA Cohort [2,11–13]. Linear extrapolation was used to compute hazards beyond the available data. We explored a range of ages at decision from birth to age 30 years.

We assumed that PE-BMT never failed to engraft, the recipient received bone marrow from an ideal matched related donor, the best available preparative regimen was used, and the risk of TRM occurred at a constant rate over the first year after PE-BMT. We defined TRM as the independent risk of mortality attributable to PE-BMT. The risk of TRM in practice depends

on many factors, including transplantation regimen, age, prior transfusions, donor source, and source of stem cells. Experts may not agree on the precise estimate of TRM for any given patient. Our baseline assumption was the risk of TRM was 10%, but we modeled the decision over a range of TRM values between 0% and 30%, which we considered plausible given the statistical range of mortality reported in the European registry [4].

A retrospective study reported a 4.4-fold increase in the rate of ST in those who received a transplantation compared with those who did not receive a transplantation [14]. That study used data from more than 10 years ago, but no comparable studies have been published to assess the effect of contemporary transplantation regimens on the ST trajectory. We assumed for our base-case that PE-BMT did not increase the risk of ST over the baseline risk in FA, but we did explore plausible hazard ratios including values of 1.0 (no change), 2.0 (modest increase), and 4.4 (the point estimate from Rosenberg et al. [14]). We discounted the value of future years by 0% and did not incorporate decrements in quality of life (QoL), as the model was designed to be descriptive rather than prescriptive, and QoL data for patients with FA in this context are limited.

RESULTS

In a model cohort of children with FA whose decision to receive standard care rather than PE-BMT was made at age 7 years, the estimated 10-year EFS from that age forwards was 57%. Thirty-five percent of those with FA who receive standard care would develop BMF, 5% AML, and 3% ST (Figure 2A). In contrast, the estimated 10-year EFS would be 86% percent if the same cohort instead received PE-BMT with a 10% risk of TRM and subject to the same baseline rate of ST as patients with FA who did not undergo transplantation (Figure 2B). The crude proportion of the PE-BMT cohort that would develop ST was larger than that of the cohort who did not undergo transplantation, because patients whose BMF and AML were prevented by PE-BMT were more likely to survive event free long enough to develop ST. In contrast, 75% of a cohort receiving PE-BMT, in which there was a 4.4-fold increase in the rate of ST, would remain event free after 10 years (Figure 2C). Furthermore, the 20-year EFS after the decision increased from 35% under standard care to 77% for those receiving PE-BMT, with 10% TRM and baseline rate of ST, and to 44% if PE-BMT increased the rate of ST 4.4 fold. Finally, the 30-year EFS increased from 13% for those receiving standard care to 57% for those receiving PE-BMT with 10% TRM and no increase in the rate of ST, but it decreased to 12% if PE-BMT increased the rate of ST 4.4 fold.

We then modeled the decision for a cohort of patients with FA who are age 18 years, the age at which patients are legally adults in the United States. The following results are conditioned on EFS to age 18 years. Ten-year EFS was 61% for those receiving standard care (Figure 3A). PE-BMT with 10% TRM and no increase in the rate of ST increased 10-year EFS to 79% (Figure 3B). However, if PE-BMT increased the rate of ST 4.4 fold, only 51% would remain event free after 10 years (Figure 3C). Furthermore, EFS at 20 years was 61% in those receiving standard care and 57% in those receiving PE-BMT with 10% TRM and a baseline rate of ST. Twenty-year EFS

Table 1
Model Parameters and Ranges Tested in Sensitivity Analysis

Parameter	Values (Range)	Source
Competing risks of BMF, AML, and ST	Age-specific hazard	Alter et al., 2010 [2]
Risk of PE-BMT TRM	10% (0%–30%)	Peiffault de Latour et al., 2013 [4]
Age at decision, yr	7 (birth–30)	Peiffault de Latour et al., 2013 [4]
Increased rate of ST following BMT	HR, 1.0 (1.0–4.4)	Rosenberg et al., 2005 [14]
Annual discount rate for future years*	0%	Sonnenberg et al., 1993 [9]

HR indicates hazard ratio.

* The value of future years is not discounted.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5524562>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5524562>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)