



Original Research

Menopausal hormone therapy and cancer risk: An overestimated risk?



Johanna Simin ^{a,b}, Rulla Tamimi ^{c,d}, Jesper Lagergren ^{e,f},
Hans-Olov Adami ^{c,g,h}, Nele Brusselaers ^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Centre for Translational Microbiome Research (CTMR), Karolinska Institutet, Nobels Väg 16, SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden

^b Science for Life Laboratory (SciLifeLab), SE-171 21 Stockholm, Sweden

^c Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

^d Channing Division of Network Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

^e Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery, Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Karolinska Institutet, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

^f Division of Cancer Studies, King's College London and Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom

^g Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden

^h Clinical Effectiveness Research Group, Institute of Health and Society, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

Received 12 May 2017; received in revised form 5 July 2017; accepted 11 July 2017

Available online 4 August 2017

KEYWORDS

Menopause;
Hormone replacement therapy;
Oestrogen replacement therapy;
Neoplasms;
Breast neoplasms;
Digestive system neoplasms;
Genital neoplasms;
Female

Abstract *Aim:* We aimed to assess the overall cancer risk among contemporary menopausal hormone therapy (MHT) users in Sweden and the risk for different cancer types.

Methods: A nationwide Swedish population-based cohort study including all 290,186 women aged ≥ 40 years having used systemic MHT during the study period (July 2005 and December 2012), compared with the Swedish female background population. MHT ever-use (all MHT, oestrogen-only MHT [E-MHT] and oestrogen plus progestin MHT [EP-MHT]) was based on the nationwide Prescribed Drug Registry. Cancer diagnoses were grouped into 16 different anatomical locations, for which standardised incidence ratios (SIRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated.

Results: The SIR of any cancer was 1.09 (95% CI: 1.07–1.11) following ever MHT, 1.04 (95% CI: 1.01–1.06) for E-MHT and 1.14 (95% CI: 1.12–1.17) for EP-MHT. The highest SIR was found for EP-MHT among users aged ≥ 70 years (SIR = 1.33, 95% CI: 1.26–1.40). The risk for invasive breast, endometrial or ovarian cancer combined was increased for any MHT (SIR = 1.31, 95% CI: 1.28–1.34). The risk of invasive breast cancer was increased following MHT and increased with age for EP-MHT users. The risk of gastrointestinal cancers

* Corresponding author: Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Centre for Translational Microbiome Research, Nobels Väg 16, SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden.

E-mail address: nele.brusselaers@ki.se (N. Brusselaers).

combined was decreased (SIR = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.86–0.94), particularly the oesophagus (SIR = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.64–1.00), liver (SIR = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.65–0.99) and colon (SIR = 0.90, 95% CI: 0.84–0.95).

Conclusions: MHT, notably EP-MHT, was associated with a limited increase in overall cancer risk. The increased risk of female reproductive organ cancers was almost balanced by a decreased risk of gastrointestinal cancers.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Menopausal hormone therapy (MHT) became available approximately 60 years ago. In Sweden, oral oestrogen-only MHT (E-MHT) was first licenced in 1956 (conjugated oestrogens), and the first oral combination with progestin (EP-MHT) in 1976 (levonorgestrel/oestradiol) [1]. Towards the end of the 1990s, Sweden was among the top consumers of MHT in Europe [2], with approximately 32% users [3,4]. Yet, global MHT use has declined substantially over the past few decades, following studies showing an increased risk of some cancer types and cardiovascular events [5–7]. In the United States, the number of prescriptions of E-MHT and EP-MHT has dropped as much as 80% following the release of Women's Health Initiative trial results in 2002 [5,8]. In Sweden, the use of MHT has dropped 30% to approximately 7% in 2010 [2,9].

Meta-analyses indicate that MHT is associated with an increased risk of breast and possibly ovarian cancer [10,11]. Current evidence associates E-MHT with an increased risk of endometrial cancer, but it is less clear if combined EP-MHT can eliminate this excess risk [12]. A reduced risk has been indicated for some gastrointestinal cancers [13–18], yet for other cancer types the evidence is inconsistent [19–23]. Most studies have examined only one cancer type and grouped different MHT regimens together, sometimes also including non-systemic MHT. Moreover, there has been a great variation in the definition of MHT use and in the age of the study populations across studies [10,24].

Whilst detailed studies of individual cancer sites are crucial for causal inference, data on the net effect of MHT on total cancer risk are relevant for counselling and management of women with menopausal related symptoms [7,8]. To our knowledge, population-based studies assessing the overall risk of cancer in users of contemporary formulations of systemic MHT are non-existent. With the aim to cover this gap of knowledge, we used data from the nationwide registers of dispensed drugs and incident cancers in Sweden.

2. Methods

This study followed an a priori defined study protocol and was based on a large, nationwide cohort, which

has been described in detail elsewhere [13,18]. All 290,186 Swedish women aged ≥ 40 years at first recorded prescription (index date) who received ≥ 1 dispensed prescription of systemic MHT between 1st July 2005 and 31st December 2012, according to the Swedish Prescribed Drug Registry were included. This registry covers all prescribed and dispensed drugs for Swedish residents since July 2005 and was used to extract Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) Classification codes, prescription and dispense dates [25]. The Cancer Registry (initiated in 1958, >96% complete) was used to obtain information on date and anatomical location of all newly diagnosed cancers [26]. The Swedish Causes of Death Registry (initiated in 1952, 100% complete) was used to retrieve the date of death [27]. Women with a history of any malignancy (apart from non-melanoma skin cancer) were excluded. MHT ever-users were compared with the entire Swedish source population (≈ 2.5 million women). Data on the total background population (by age, sex and calendar period) were retrieved from the Swedish Registry of the Total Population and Cancer Registry. The Stockholm Regional Ethical Review Board approved the study (2014/1291-31/4), without need for informed consent.

2.1. Exposure

Ever-use of systemic (oral or transdermal) MHT was defined according to relevant medication codes in the ATC system: oestrogens (G03C), progestins (G03D if combined with G03C) and oestrogens plus progestins (G03F; subdivided to continuous [G03FA] and sequential [G03FB] combinations) and categorised as E-MHT (oestrogen only) or EP-MHT (oestrogen users with ≥ 1 prescription of progestin during the study period). For users of G03F combinations, >99% received oestradiol. For subgroups of E-MHT (oestradiol, oestriol or tibolone) and EP-MHT (continuously or sequentially administered progestin; progesterone- or testosterone-derived progestins), those receiving different MHT types or regimens were excluded. We used the World Health Organisation 'daily-defined dose' per package to estimate the duration, taking into account the potency and prescribed quantities of the drug.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5526202>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5526202>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)