



## Survey

# Bone morphogenetic protein-2 and tumor growth: Diverse effects and possibilities for therapy



Haijun Tian<sup>a</sup>, Jie Zhao<sup>a,\*</sup>, Elsa J. Brochmann<sup>b,c,d</sup>, Jeffrey C. Wang<sup>e</sup>, Samuel S. Murray<sup>b,c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Shanghai Key Laboratory of Orthopaedic Implants, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

<sup>b</sup> Research Service, VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, North Hills, CA, United States

<sup>c</sup> Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center, VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, North Hills, CA, United States

<sup>d</sup> Department of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, United States

<sup>e</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 1 November 2016

Received in revised form 14 December 2016

Accepted 9 January 2017

Available online 12 January 2017

## Keywords:

Bone morphogenetic protein-2

Tumor

Proliferation study

## ABSTRACT

Concern regarding safety with respect to the clinical use of human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) has become an increasingly controversial topic. The role of BMP-2 in carcinogenesis is of particular concern. Although there have been many studies of this topic, the results have been contradictory and confusing. We conducted a systematic review of articles that are relevant to the relationship or effect of BMP-2 on all types of tumors and a total of 97 articles were included. Studies reported in these articles were classified into three major types: “expression studies”, “in vitro studies”, and “in vivo studies”. An obvious pattern was that those works that hypothesize an inhibitory effect for BMP-2 most often examined only the proliferative properties of the tumor cells. This subset of studies also contained an extraordinary number of contradictory findings which made drawing a reliable general conclusion impossible. In general, we support a pro-tumorigenesis role for BMP-2 based on the data from these in vitro cell studies and in vivo animal studies, however, more clinical studies should be carried out to help make a firm conclusion.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1. Introduction	74
2. Methodologies used to evaluate the effects of BMP-2	75
3. Effects of BMP-2 in different tumor model system	75
3.1. Breast cancer	75
3.1.1. Expression studies	75
3.1.2. In vitro studies	75
3.1.3. In vivo studies	76
3.2. Chondrosarcoma	76
3.2.1. In vitro studies	76
3.3. Colon cancer	76
3.3.1. Expression studies	76
3.3.2. In vitro studies	76
3.3.3. In vivo studies	76
3.4. Epithelial ovarian cancer	76
3.4.1. Expression studies	76

\* Corresponding author at: Shanghai Key Laboratory of Orthopaedic Implants, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, 200011, China.

E-mail address: [profzhaojie@126.com](mailto:profzhaojie@126.com) (J. Zhao).

3.4.2.	In vitro studies	76
3.5.	In vivo studies	76
3.6.	Gastric cancer	77
3.6.1.	Expression studies	77
3.6.2.	In vitro studies	77
3.7.	Gliomas	77
3.7.1.	Expression studies	77
3.8.	Hepatocellular carcinoma	77
3.8.1.	In vitro studies	77
3.9.	Lung cancer	77
3.9.1.	Expression studies	77
3.9.2.	In vitro studies	77
3.9.3.	In vivo studies	78
3.10.	Malignant fibrous histiocytoma	78
3.10.1.	Expression studies	78
3.11.	Malignant melanoma	78
3.11.1.	Expression studies	78
3.12.	Medulloblastoma	78
3.12.1.	In vitro & in vivo studies	78
3.13.	Myeloma	78
3.13.1.	In vitro studies	78
3.14.	Oral squamous cell carcinoma	78
3.14.1.	Expression studies	78
3.14.2.	In vitro studies	78
3.14.3.	In vivo studies	78
3.15.	Osteosarcoma	78
3.15.1.	Expression studies	78
3.15.2.	In vitro studies	78
3.15.3.	In vivo studies	79
3.16.	Pancreatic cancer	79
3.16.1.	Expression studies	79
3.16.2.	In vitro studies	79
3.16.3.	In vivo studies	79
3.17.	Prostate cancer	79
3.17.1.	Expression studies	79
3.17.2.	In vitro studies	79
3.17.3.	In vivo studies	80
3.18.	Renal cell carcinoma	80
3.18.1.	Expression studies	80
3.18.2.	In vitro studies	80
3.18.3.	In vivo studies	80
3.19.	Urothelial bladder carcinoma	80
3.19.1.	Expression studies	80
3.19.2.	In vitro studies	80
4.	Evaluation of the effects of BMP-2	80
5.	Future directions	87
	Conflict of interest	88
	Disclosures	88
	Acknowledgements	88
	References	88

## 1. Introduction

Currently, all clinical situations in which recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2) is employed are orthopaedic in nature. As is evident from the name, “BMP-2”, this material induces a recapitulation of endochondral bone formation when appropriate undifferentiated cells are exposed to it. It should not be forgotten, however, that BMPs, including BMP-2, are found in many tissues and perform physiological functions that have nothing to do with bone formation or skeletal physiology whatsoever [1]. Thus, there are two very different but complementary clinical situations in which BMP-2 is central. First, obviously, BMP-2 is used in orthopaedic procedures such as spinal fusion as an agent that can enhance bone healing [2]. Because of the protean effects of BMPs in diverse tissues, systematic monitoring of treated patients for any type of unintended effect is prudent.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved the clinical use of recombinant human BMP-2 applied to an absorbable collagen sponge (INFUSE, Medtronic, Memphis, TN) for use in anterior lumbar inter-body fusion and the treatment of acute open tibial fractures. Since that time, however, as much as 85% of the product sold has been in “off label” applications, such as posterolateral and cervical spine fusions. In addition, rhBMP-2 has been used at higher doses than those approved by the FDA. Safety issues pertaining to the use of rhBMP-2 have been of concern since the inception of its use. While many animal and clinical studies suggest a good safety profile [3], some studies have found reasons for concern with respect to uncontrolled bone formation [4], soft tissue inflammation [5], and carcinogenesis [6]. The effects of rhBMP-2 on carcinogenesis are of particular concern in light of a recent publication demonstrating a significant 6.75-fold increase in the incidence rate of new cancer two years after single level anterior spinal arthrodesis in patients treated

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5531180>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5531180>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)