



Research Paper

Use of questionnaire-based data to assess dog personality

Pamela Wiener^{a,*}, Marie J. Haskell^b^a Department of Genetics and Genomics, Roslin Institute, Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh, Midlothian, United Kingdom^b Animal Behaviour & Welfare, Scotland's Rural College, Midlothian, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 October 2015

Received in revised form

14 October 2016

Accepted 18 October 2016

Available online 26 October 2016

Keywords:

questionnaire

personality

canine

dog

heritability

genetic

ABSTRACT

The study of dog personality is of general academic interest and also has applications for the management of both working dogs and pets. However, acquiring direct behavioral assessments of large numbers of animals is both time consuming and logistically difficult. An alternative approach that is becoming increasingly common is the collection of questionnaire-based information directly from dog owners. In this review, we discuss some commonly used questionnaires for dog personality traits and findings that have been published using these tools. We suggest that the use of such questionnaires may contribute to research that is based on estimation of effects from large sample sizes, for example, genomic analyses of dog personality traits.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The study of dog behavior has long been a topic of both academic and general interest. As a result of our long and close relationship with this species, humans are naturally intrigued by man's best friend, and from a practical point of view, dogs serve in various working roles for which an understanding of behavior is beneficial. Furthermore, dogs are viewed as good model organisms for the study of normal animal behavior and behavioral disorders (Scott and Fuller, 1965; Ley and Bennett, 2007; Hall and Wynne, 2012). Personality (individual consistency in behavioral responsiveness to stimuli and situations) is recognized in a wide range of animal species including dogs (Gosling and John, 1999). Assessments of dog personality have been used to identify behavior disorders (Kato et al., 2012) or to determine suitability for specific roles (Wilsson and Sundgren, 1997). Studies of personality generally require assessments of the response of individuals to a range of stimuli. However, carrying out a large number of standardized tests is time consuming and requires skilled assessors, thus making it expensive

and complicated to evaluate a large number of animals, as required for some objectives, such as genetic analyses. Some professional organizations, such as those providing and training assistance or police dogs, use qualified behavioral assessors and have developed in-house age-specific assessment methods (e.g., Svobodova et al., 2008; Asher et al., 2013). In addition, a few countries, like Sweden, have developed national testing schemes to evaluate dog behavior (Wilsson and Sundgren, 1997) and thus have been able to conduct large and effective research studies. However, this ability is unusual and unlikely to spread widely because of the costs. An alternative approach that is growing because of the availability of Internet resources is the use of owner or handler behavioral assessments, generally via questionnaires, in place of standardized tests. This application of citizen science (Hecht and Rice, 2015) or crowdsourcing could have a major effect on this area of research.

Assessment of reliability and validity of dog behavioral questionnaires

Various statistical techniques are used to extract information from questionnaires and to assess its quality. As questionnaires often pose many questions, it is necessary to distil these down to a smaller number of workable constructs. Multivariate statistical techniques such as factor analysis or principal component analysis (PCA) are the standard methods for extracting personality information from

* Address for reprint requests and correspondence: Pamela Wiener, Department of Genetics and Genomics, Roslin Institute, Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush, Midlothian EH25 9RC, United Kingdom. Tel: +44 (0)131 651 9100; Fax: +44 (0)131 651 9105.

E-mail address: pam.wiener@roslin.ed.ac.uk (P. Wiener).

questionnaire-based data. It is assumed that a smaller number of unobserved variables (*components/factors/constructs*) underlie the responses to the questionnaire and that these variables can be estimated using linear combinations of the responses. The aim is to identify a reasonably small number of components that account for a substantial proportion of the variation and that are *interpretable* in terms of our understanding of dog behavior (e.g., in Lofgren et al., 2014, responses from 101 questions were reduced to 12 component scores representing personality characteristics).

Additional statistical tools are used to determine the *reliability* and *validity* of the components assessed by multivariate analysis of questionnaire data in a similar way to their application in canine behavioral testing (Diederich and Giffroy, 2006; Taylor and Mills, 2006). In the context of a questionnaire, *reliability* generally refers to the *internal consistency*, the degree to which individual questions associated with a specific construct are correlated (Jones and Gosling, 2005). Cronbach alpha coefficient (Cronbach, 1951) is often used as an estimate of internal consistency, where measures greater than 0.7 are generally considered acceptable. *Validity* in the context of a questionnaire generally refers to how well a component is correlated with independent measures (e.g., standardized behavioral tests, diagnosed behavioral disorders, or outcomes such as rejection from a service dog program). A common technique is to generate the components on a subset of the data and then assess reliability and validity on this subset and independent subsets (*cross validation*).

Most canine behavioral questionnaires published in the scientific literature have been assessed using this framework. There are many examples, thus it is not possible to review them all; a few of the most commonly used questionnaires are discussed here.

Canine Behavioral Assessment and Research Questionnaire

Canine Behavioral Assessment and Research Questionnaire (C-BARQ) is probably the most commonly used canine behavioral questionnaire, involving the owner grading a dog's typical behavior in certain situations (based on either severity or frequency of the behavior, on a 5-point scale). The first versions of the questionnaire were developed in the early 2000s and by 2005, it had stabilized at 101 questions. In the original evaluations, internal consistency of 8 (Serpell and Hsu, 2001) and 11 (Hsu and Serpell, 2003) factors was measured, based on 40 and 68 questions, respectively. The Cronbach alpha values were higher for the latter study with more questions; values exceeded 0.72 for 10 of 11 factors, whereas only 3 of 8 factors exhibited such levels of consistency in the earlier study. Validity in the first study (Serpell and Hsu, 2001) was assessed on candidate dogs from The Seeing Eye® organization (Morristown, NJ, USA), by comparing the factor scores to reasons for rejection from the Seeing Eye® program. Rejection determination was independent of the C-BARQ assessment, which had been done at an earlier stage by puppy raisers. There was general agreement between the questionnaire-based assessment and the rejection criteria with 7 of the 8 primary reasons for rejection significantly associated with at least 1 C-BARQ factor score; distraction was not significantly associated with any of the factor scores. The latter study (Hsu and Serpell, 2003) was validated using dogs with clinically diagnosed behavioral problems. Dogs with particular diagnoses had significantly higher scores for questionnaire factors corresponding to those disorders compared with dogs with unrelated diagnoses.

Since 2005, more than 25 published studies have used C-BARQ (or subsets of it). Svartberg (2005) compared C-BARQ results to those from the dog mentality assessment, the standardized behavioral test developed by the Swedish Working Dog Association to assist their breeding program. Based on a sample of approximately 700 dogs, he found that 4 of the behavioral test traits

(playfulness, curiosity/fearlessness, sociability, and distance playfulness) were significantly correlated with their corresponding questionnaire component scores. Subsequent studies have also found correlations between C-BARQ scores and behavioral test results (for aggression-related traits, van den Berg et al., 2006; for sociability-related traits, De Meester et al., 2008; and for stranger-directed fear and trainability, Kutsumi et al., 2013). Inter-rater reliability has been shown to be variable: 0.53–0.90 across 13 traits (Jakuba et al., 2013). Studies have also demonstrated correspondence between C-BARQ scores and various outcomes, including success as guide dogs or service dogs (Duffy and Serpell, 2012; Foyer et al., 2014) and adoption versus euthanasia for dogs relinquished to shelters (Duffy et al., 2014), but the predictive power is not overwhelmingly high; for example, the highest (absolute value of) correlation between early life C-BARQ traits and a later-assessed index value (an assessment of the suitability of a dog for becoming a working dog) was 0.36 in a study of German shepherd military dogs (Foyer et al., 2014).

C-BARQ has been used in multiple countries and translated into multiple languages. The factor structure observed in independent analyses from different countries shows some differences. Hsu and Sun (2010) found few differences for Taiwanese dogs, mainly related to fear and aggression components. Tamimi et al. (2015) found some differences in factor structure for Iranian dogs when compared with other studies. In their study, owner-directed aggression, dog-directed aggression, and touch/pain sensitivity did not feature as separate factors, and fear was a single factor (rather than 3 separate factors for some other studies). Hsu and Sun (2010) recommended that the factor structure of C-BARQ should be re-examined for each new language and culture.

In a study of Rough Collies, Arvelius et al. (2014) found that the heritabilities (proportion of the variance explained by additive genetic factors) for 18 behavioral components based on C-BARQ were of similar magnitude (0.06–0.36) to those estimated by the dog mentality assessment. The authors suggest that the reason for this somewhat surprising finding is that owners may compensate for lack of training and standardization with their greater knowledge of the individual dog compared with the opinion of a test judge who observes the dog for less than an hour. Our own research has estimated moderate-to-high heritabilities for several C-BARQ-based traits in Labrador retrievers (unpublished results), in line with the estimates of Arvelius et al. (2014).

Monash Canine Personality Questionnaire

The Monash Canine Personality Questionnaire-revised is a questionnaire based on owners rating their dogs on a 6-point score for 67 (later reduced to 41 and subsequently 26) personality adjectives (Ley et al., 2008, 2009a). PCA was used to derive 5 personality components for which Cronbach alpha ranged from 0.74 to 0.87 (Ley et al., 2009a). A later study (Ley et al., 2009b) evaluated moderate-to-high inter-rater reliability based on assessments of the same dog from couples (0.75–0.86, for the 5 components) and moderate-to-high test-retest reliability when the test was completed again after a 6-month interval (0.79–0.93). Since 2009, at least 2 studies have been published based on the MCPQ-R (Carrier et al., 2013; Smith, 2014) with several significant correlations shown between personality factors and behavior of dogs in a dog park (Carrier et al., 2013).

Other questionnaires

Use of another adjective-based questionnaire, the Dog Personality Questionnaire (Mirko et al., 2012), identified 4 personality components based on PCA. Internal validity was assessed by theta

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5535886>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5535886>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)