ARTICLE IN PRESS

Journal of Veterinary Cardiology (2016) ■, ■-■





www.elsevier.com/locate/jvc

Case Report

Pyloric obstruction secondary to epicardial pacemaker implantation: a case report

G. Bedoya Nader, DVM ^a, H.B. Kellihan, DVM ^{a,*}, D.E. Bjorling, DVM, MS ^b, J. McAnulty, DVM, MS, PhD ^b

Received 11 April 2016; received in revised form 11 October 2016; accepted 18 October 2016

KEYWORDS

Atrioventricular block; Pylorus pacemaker cells; Abdominal wall; Vomiting Abstract A 10-year old Lhasa Apso dog was presented for an acute history of exercise intolerance and hind limb weakness. High grade second degree atrioventricular block with an atrial rate of 200 beats per minute, ventricular rate of 40 beats per minute and an intermittent ventricular escape rhythm, was diagnosed on electrocardiograph. A transdiaphragmatic, unipolar, epicardial pacemaker was implanted without immediate surgical complications. Severe vomiting was noted 12 h post-operatively. Abdominal ultrasound and a barium study supported a diagnosis of pyloric outflow obstruction and exploratory abdominal surgery was performed. The pyloric outflow tract appeared normal and no other causes of an outflow obstruction were identified. The epicardial generator was repositioned from the right to the left abdominal wall. Pyloric cell pacing was presumed to be the cause for the pyloric obstruction and severe vomiting, and this was thought to be due to close proximity of the pacemaker generator to the pylorus situated in the right abdominal wall. Repositioning of the pulse generator to the left abdominal wall resulted in resolution of vomiting.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: heidi.kellihan@wisc.edu (H.B. Kellihan).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvc.2016.10.004

1760-2734/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Bedoya Nader G, et al., Pyloric obstruction secondary to epicardial pacemaker implantation: a case report, Journal of Veterinary Cardiology (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvc.2016.10.004

^a Department of Medical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin, Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital, 2015 Linden Dr., Madison, WI 53706, USA

^b Department of Surgical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of Wisconsin, 2015 Linden Dr., Madison, WI 53706, USA

^{*} Corresponding author.

G. Bedoya Nader et al.

Abbreviations

IV intravenously

A 10-year old, 9.6 kg, female Lhasa Apso dog was examined by the Emergency and Cardiology service at the University of Wisconsin Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital with a 3-day history of lethargy, hind limb weakness and exercise intolerance. The dog was unwilling to go on her routine walk, and when posturing to urinate, was not able to get back up. Previous evaluation by the referring specialty center showed no relevant hematologic abnormalities. An electrocardiogram was performed and a tentative diagnosis of third degree atrioventricular block was made.

On examination at the dog was depressed with pale mucus membranes and a capillary refill time of 3 s. Thoracic auscultation revealed a bradycardic regular rhythm (heart rate of 40 beats per minute [bpm]). No heart murmur was present at the time and femoral pulses were strong and synchronous.

Electrocardiographic findings included a sinus tachycardia with an atrial rate of 200 bpm, a predominately ventricular escape rate of 40 bpm and a diagnosis of high grade second degree atrioventricular block. Atropine, 0.1mg/kg, was administered intramuscularly and there was an inappropriate response with no increase in the ventricular heart rate and the high grade second degree atrioventricular block did not resolve. Thoracic radiographs showed a vertebral heart score of 10.5, mild left atrial and mild left ventricular enlargement. All vessels appeared within normal limits and there was no evidence of pulmonary pathology. A transdiaphragmatic epicardial pacemaker was scheduled.

The patient was premedicated with 0.4mg/kg atropine intravenously (IV), 0.14mg/kg hydromorphone IV, 0.1mg/kg midazolam IV, induced using 0.39mg/kg etomidate IV, and anesthesia maintained with isoflurane in oxygen. A multiparameter monitor was used to assess vital parameters. A constant rate infusion of lactated Ringer's solution at 50 mL/h was administered and 22mg/kg cefazolin was given IV every 2 h until the end of surgery. A ventral midline abdominal incision extending from the pubis to the xiphoid was made. The diaphragm was incised and a 3 cm incision was made in the pericardium over the cardiac apex region. A unipolar, button-tip pacemaker lead was secured in the apex of the left ventricular wall. The pacemaker lead was sutured to the epicardium and the pericardial incision was left open. The pleural space was evacuated by placing a 16 French thoracostomy tube through the eighth intercostal space. The diaphragm was closed with absorbable suture in a continuous pattern. The pacemaker generator unit was anchored into a 6 cm created craniocaudal pocket, under the lateral right transverse abdominis muscle, caudal to the liver. The abdominal wall was closed in a standard fashion and the patient recovered uneventfully from anesthesia. The pacemaker was interrogated and programmed with the following settings; ventricular pace, ventricular sense, inhibition response and rate adaptive (VVIR) mode, lower rate: 80 bpm, higher rate: 140 bpm, and average daily living (ADL) rate 100 bpm.

The patient appeared anxious and painful on the day after surgery. A single 25mcg fentanyl patch and intermittent hydromorphone injections were used for pain control. Continuous telemetry showed the pacemaker was adequately pacing at an intermittent artificial pacing rate of 80 bpm. Twitching of the abdominal muscles overlying the pacing unit was noted. Throughout the day, the patient was noted to be vomiting fluid and metaclopramide (0.1 mg/kg/hr constant rate infusion) was administered. An echocardiogram was performed to look for an underlying heart condition that could have caused the observed symptoms, and thoracic radiographs were taken. Echocardiography and thoracic radiographs did not reveal any important findings. The placement of the pacemaker was unchanged from immediately after surgery.

The patient seemed less painful the following day, but vomiting continued at moderate amounts and frequency. The thoracotomy tube was removed and a complete blood count and chemistry panel tests were performed. These tests revealed a mild leukocytosis with a predominant neutrophilia. There were no relevant hematologic abnormalities. Abdominal ultrasonography revealed a very motile and largely distended stomach containing fluid and some food, despite the patient having not eaten in over 48 h. Transit of ingesta through the pylorus was not identifiable. A pyloric outflow obstruction was suspected, and an upper gastrointestinal contrast study was performed, using 130 ml of barium sulfate suspension administered via orogastric tube. Diagnostic interpretation was delayed gastric emptying due to partial pyloric outflow obstruction. Differential diagnoses considered at this time were gastric neoplasia, pyloric hypertrophy or proliferative gastritis.

Two days after pacemaker implantation, the patient was prepared for surgery to explore/relieve the pyloric outflow obstruction. The dog

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5536033

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5536033

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>