



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Vaccine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/vaccine

Pertussis vaccination in pregnancy: State of the art

Elke Leuridan

Center for the Evaluation of Vaccinations, Vaccine & Infectious Diseases Institute, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Available online xxx

Keywords:
Pertussis
Vaccine
Pregnancy
Infants
Maternal antibodies

ABSTRACT

Pertussis vaccination in pregnancy has been introduced by several national advisory bodies, mostly in industrialized countries, as a means to protect young infants from disease by high titers of maternal antibodies. Most recommendations derive from epidemiological needs, but many knowledge gaps remained after implementation. This report aims to overview the solved and unsolved aspects of prenatal vaccination with a pertussis containing vaccine.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Part of protection against infectious diseases at birth, is provided by maternal antibodies transported via the placenta during pregnancy, and via lactation afterwards. These maternal antibodies wane during the first months of life: the interval between the loss of maternal protection and the onset of infant vaccine-induced protection should be as narrow as possible for all vaccine-preventable diseases [1,2].

In regard to pertussis, neonates are most prone to severe disease and death. Despite high coverages in globally introduced vaccination programs, there are increasingly outbreaks of pertussis. Cause of the recent resurgence in high income countries, is multi-factorial [3], including the switch from whole cell Pertussis (wP) to acellular Pertussis (aP) vaccines with consequences on T helper-1 (Th1)-Th17 versus Th2 cellular immune responses, a faster waning of immunity after aP vaccination in paediatric, adolescent and adult non-pregnant populations, reduced impact on infection and transmission by the aP vaccines, etc. The recent resurgence in wP using countries relies on low coverage and possibly poor vaccine quality [4]. In either situation, neonates are most prone to severe disease and death. Better vaccines, inducing longer protection, would be an asset in the combat of pertussis disease.

During recent epidemics, national advisory bodies (e.g. United States of America (US) (2011) [5], United Kingdom (UK) (2012) [6], Belgium (2013) [7]) had no other option than to recommend pertussis vaccination with a tetanus, diphtheria and acellular pertussis (Tdap) vaccine during pregnancy, to offer passive protection from immediately at birth, thus closing the neonatal susceptibility gap. There were, however, major gaps in the scientific knowledge

at the moment of recommendation: on safety, immunogenicity, interference of maternal antibodies with aP or wP infant vaccine responses, breast milk composition, etc. The present review offers an overview on new insights and still existing knowledge gaps in this quickly evolving research domain.

2. Safety of pertussis vaccination during pregnancy

Maternal and obstetrical safety, as well as safety for the foetus and after birth during infancy, have to be considered and monitored when immunizing during pregnancy. The first large prospective study on safety of Tdap administration in pregnant women, was performed after implementation of the recommendation in the UK [8]. A number of outcomes were monitored, showing no increased risk of stillbirth, maternal or neonatal death, (pre-) eclampsia, and other predefined conditions. US data [9] assessed also the risk of infants born small for gestational age (SGA), prematurity, hypertensive disorders and chorioamnionitis after Tdap during pregnancy, in a large cohort of 123,494 women. No increased risk was found, except for a small relative risk (RR) increase of 1.19 for chorioamnionitis. Chorioamnionitis data were therefore further investigated in the VAERS database (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System, Centers for Disease Control and prevention (CDC)) and the relationship with Tdap administration during pregnancy could not be confirmed [10]. Very recently, the GAIA (Global Alignment of Immunisation Safety Assessment in Pregnancy) consortium, supported by the Brighton Collaboration, offers a detailed frame for collection and analysis of safety data gathered during pregnancy vaccine trials [11].

In summary, all available safety data on Tdap during pregnancy are certainly reassuring [12], yet the importance of comprehension of background incidence rates and the need for surveillance of

E-mail address: elke.leuridan@uantwerpen.be

adverse events, should be stressed [12,13]; also in low and middle income countries (LMIC) where there is often a higher burden of concomitant diseases and adverse pregnancy outcomes [14,15].

Since Tdap is recommended to be administered during every consecutive pregnancy, in order to have as high a titer of maternal antibodies as possible, the safety of repeat tetanus containing vaccines was recently reviewed and confirmed to be of no harm [16].

3. Immunogenicity of vaccination during pregnancy

The immune system during pregnancy alters in function of the tolerance of the foetus, but humoral immune responses are not different during pregnancy as compared to a non-pregnant immune status [17]. For adults, only combination vaccines are available against pertussis (aP), containing also tetanus, diphtheria, and sometimes polio. Depending on the manufacturer, composition differs in the number and amount of the inactivated pertussis components. AP vaccines induce high concentrations of antibodies during pregnancy [18–23] and similar humoral responses were reported in pregnant as in non-pregnant women [24], yet the stimulation of vaccine specific Th1 type cellular immune responses seems to be transient and impaired during pregnancy. The importance of that finding needs more in depth research, in view of duration of protection from disease.

There is no correlate of protection for pertussis, but higher antibody concentrations against pertussis toxin (PT) and to a lesser extent pertactin (Prn), are related to better protection [25]. Until now, few data on the functionality, or neutralizing capacity, of the maternal antibodies induced during pregnancy, have been published [26].

4. The role of maternal antibodies in protecting young infants from disease

Several studies confirmed the beneficial effect of maternal prenatal vaccination on the antibody titer in cord blood with endured higher concentrations of antibodies in neonates until primary vaccination is started [20,22,23,27]. The maternal IgG antibodies are transported in utero by the placental neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn), and the transport is most efficient during the last trimester of gestation [17]. Recent Swiss data indicate however, that a longer exposure to higher concentrations of maternally circulating antibodies is beneficial for the concentration of maternal antibodies in cord blood (rather second trimester than third trimester vaccination), and hence protection during the first months of life [28]. A small study showed that even a pre-pregnancy Tdap booster also induced higher antibody levels in cord blood compared to a control group [29]. The peak response to Tdap vaccination in adult women occurs at 2 weeks postvaccination [30] and antibodies decline by half at 12 months post-vaccination in the women [24].

Since transplacental transport is more efficient near term delivery, preterm infants receive significantly less antibodies [31], and they might benefit from earlier vaccination during pregnancy despite the impaired transplacental transport at the beginning of the third trimester. The optimal gestational age for Tdap vaccination is still subject for debate [28], but effectiveness data are better when there is an interval of at least 4 weeks between vaccination and delivery [21,28].

In terms of effectiveness, historical data on maternal vaccination with wP vaccines have shown effectiveness of the strategy to protect young infants from disease [32]. Recently, baboon challenge studies confirm the protective effect of maternal aP vaccination during pregnancy [33,34], however, offspring was protected from disease, yet not from infection. UK experiences indicate that there were significantly less deaths after the recommendation

was put in place [35,36], with a sustained effect 3 years after implementation. In addition, the number of hospitalisations of young infants for pertussis disease after the recommendation was made, reduced significantly, with a more pronounced effect whenever prenatal vaccination was performed with larger interval before delivery. Overall mortality decreased also in Argentina after introduction of the maternal vaccination strategy, with highest reduction rate among the very young [37,38]. Winter et al. [39] report effectiveness of the strategy on the severity of the pertussis cases among young infants whose mothers were vaccinated: they have significantly lower risk of hospitalisation and intensive care unit admission and shorter hospital stay.

5. Interference by maternal antibodies on infant immune responses

In the presence of high concentrations of maternal antibodies (naturally induced after infection), blunting of the infant responses to wP vaccines has been described in the past [40–42]. At present, studies in UK [43], Belgium [23] and Vietnam [22] report significant blunting of infant responses on aP antigens in infant vaccines, whenever their mother was vaccinated during pregnancy. In the UK study, humoral response to some serotypes in the pneumococcal infant vaccination was also significantly lower, due to the use of Diphtheria CRM 197 conjugation in both vaccines during pregnancy (Tdap) and infancy (pneumococcal conjugate vaccines). In Belgium, even the post-booster immune response to pertussis toxin was still affected by the maternal immunisation [44]. The clinical repercussion of this blunting remains uncertain. We need more detailed data on infant responses in the presence of maternal antibodies, to vaccines from several manufacturers (different composition of antigens), with different infant vaccine schedules and distinct starting ages, intervals, and number of doses.

Knowledge on the functionality of the infant antibodies, induced in the presence of maternal antibodies, is lacking as well as insight in the cellular immune responses of young infants after immunisation, in the presence of high concentrations of maternal antibodies. Several initiatives are ongoing to unravel these questions. Delaying the primary infant vaccination to avoid interference, would need high coverages of the recommended strategy in order not to have a longer susceptibility gap in infants from unvaccinated women.

Interference should also be kept in mind, when extending the recommendations to LMIC. Tetanus vaccination is recommended during pregnancy within the EPI schedule, with a global coverage of over 75% in 2012 [45]. This strategy is well accepted, offering a platform to possibly add pertussis vaccine, since high burden of pertussis disease is estimated in LMIC [45], despite underreporting, under-diagnosis and a lack of technical possibilities for confirmation of cases. Given the data on blunting effects by maternal antibodies, the influence of high concentrations of aP induced maternal antibodies on infant vaccine responses to a wP vaccines, should first be investigated.

6. Adherence to recommendations

One crucial factor in this entire story, is the acceptance of the strategy by the health care professional (HCP) taking care of pregnant women, and the acceptance by the target group. National coverage data differ in countries where the recommendation has been put in place: UK coverage went up to over 80% at the start of the implementation in 2012 and was 56.4% in 2014 [46]; in Flanders, Belgium, the coverage was 64% in 2014 [47], and in Argentina the coverage was 56.9% in 2014 [38]. A review on the influencing factors for vaccine acceptance during pregnancy [48] described

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5537100>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5537100>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)