+Model THERAP-136; No. of Pages 9

Therapie (2017) xxx, xxx-xxx



Available online at

ScienceDirect

www.sciencedirect.com

EMIconsulte

Elsevier Masson France



GIENS WORKSHOPS 2016/SOCIETAL PHARMACOLOGY

Advantages and limitations of online communities of patients for research on health products*

Sophie Ravoire^{a,*}, Marie Lang^b, Elena Perrin^c, the participants of Giens XXXII Round Table No. 6. Antoine Audry^d, Pascal Bilbault^e, Michael Chekroun^f, Lauren Demerville^g, Thierry Escudier^h, Laure Guéroult-Accolas¹, Caroline Guillot¹, Muriel Malbezin^k, Philippe Maugendre^l, Joëlle Micallef^m, Mathieu Molimardⁿ, François Montastruc^o, Evelyne Pierron^p, Lionel Reichardt^q, Frantz Thiessard^r

Received 22 November 2016; accepted 28 November 2016

E-mail address: s.ravoire@sr-consulting.fr (S. Ravoire).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.therap.2016.11.058

0040-5957/© 2017 Société française de pharmacologie et de thérapeutique. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Ravoire S, et al. Advantages and limitations of online communities of patients for research on health products. Therapie (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.therap.2016.11.058

^a SR Consulting, 54, rue Sébastien-Mercier, 75015 Paris, France

^b GCS CNCR, 75014 Paris, France

^c Lilly France, 92521 Neuilly-sur-Seine, France

^d Medtronic France, 92513 Boulogne-Billancourt, France

^e Boehringer-Ingelheim France, 51721 Reims, France

^f Carenity, 75008 Paris, France

g AP-HP, DRCD, 75010 Paris, France

^h Institut de Recherche Pierre-Fabre, 31035 Toulouse, France

¹ Association Patients en Réseau, 78100 Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France

^j Diabète LAB, 75012 Paris, France

^k Hospices Civils de Lyon, DRCI, 69229 Lyon, France

¹ Sanofi, 94255 Gentilly, France

^m Hôpital de la Timone, AP-HM, 13005 Marseille, France

ⁿ Université de Bordeaux, CHU de Bordeaux, 33076 Bordeaux, France

[°] Université de Toulouse, CHU de Toulouse, 31000 Toulouse, France

P EP Consultants, 75009 Paris, France

q 7C'S Health, 92190 Meudon, France

r ISPED, Université de Bordeaux, CHU de Bordeaux, 33000 Bordeaux, France

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.therap.2016.11.055.

Articles, analyses and proposals from Giens workshops are those of the authors and do not prejudice the proposition of their parent organization.

Corresponding author.

S. Ravoire et al.

KEYWORDS

France; Research into health products; Social networks; Virtual communities; Online communities; Patients; Pharmacovigilance; Addiction vigilance; Online data; Post-inscription; Pharmacoepidemiology

The way patients and their caregivers share information on various online platforms about health topics and their own experiential knowledge presents new potential environments for research, particularly as concerns health products. The information provided individually and voluntarily by patients who are members of these online communities is a new resource for identifying and understanding precisely how health products are used, assessing their effectiveness, quantifying potential adverse effects in real-life situations, detecting subtle signs that are significant for experts in pharmacovigilance and addiction studies, and developing new assessment tools to help form new working hypotheses. How patients freely express their experiences and feelings and the reality of what they share also opens the way for societal research into health products, a field that is still under-explored. Well-established regulations govern research into health products, which uses resources and methodologies that have changed little over the years. However, the development of online communities of patients presents new possibilities in this field. The challenge we face today is defining their place among traditional research techniques. This place cannot be accepted by all stakeholders unless we first establish a firm understanding of the advantages, limitations, and constraints of these communities. The round table on this topic endeavoured to: explore these issues and develop a better understanding of the phenomenon and the different varieties of online communities and networks for patients; identify possible advantages, special features, and methodological, regulatory, and ethical limitations that researchers currently face; and finally, to put forward the first recommendations in this growing field of research.

© 2017 Société française de pharmacologie et de thérapeutique. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Abbreviations

IRB

ALP automatic language processing

ANSM Agence nationale de sécurité du médicament et des

produits de santé (French Agency for the Safety of

Medicinal and Health Products) institutional review board

FMTI French multi-terminology indexer

MedDRA medical dictionary for regulatory activities PRO/PRI patient-reported outcomes/patient-reported in-

formation

SMTS serveur multi-terminologies en santé (multi tech-

nology health server)

SNOMED systematic nomenclature medical clinical terms

UMLF unified medical lexicon for French
UMLS unified medical language system

Introduction

Internet users have appropriated new features of the web, creating what is referred to as Web 2.0, which provides more opportunities for interactions and information sharing. In recent years, this development has led to the emergence of different social systems, including social networks, blogs, wiki pages, and interactive community platforms. Health, a daily preoccupation for many, rapidly became one of the preferred topics for internet users surfing these sites, showing how willing individuals were to become actors in their own medical care. The internet is an easy-to-use tool offering numerous possibilities, from conducting simple searches

for information to actively participating in building up our knowledge of a condition by sharing personal experiences, thereby encouraging patients and their caregivers to reach out and become sources of information themselves. The round table on this developing current topic set the following goals: clarifying the different types of online patient systems or communities identifiable on the internet; understanding the special features of each type; and considering the possibilities, advantages, and limitations of how these media and the resulting data could be useful and valuable for research and for creating research partnerships. This discussion was conducted with an understanding that it overlapped in some aspects with the topics covered by another round table in 2015 including data accessibility and ownership and more generally issues relating to data warehouses, patient databases, big data, and open data [1]. Furthermore, the continual creativity of users, their role in assessing health solutions, digital technological advancements, and innovations in telemedicine, e-health, artificial intelligence, and connected objects will continue to add to and improve these discussions.

Members of the round table also attempted to draw on their varied professional experience (from working as consultants, clinicians, clinical research managers, pharmacoepidemiologists, health product manufacturers and from working with patient associations and marketing platforms, etc.) as well as analysis of available literature to reveal certain key factors that online patient communities bring to research. The goal of these efforts is to propose recommendations that can act as a reference for all operators in this field and in the research community.

Please cite this article in press as: Ravoire S, et al. Advantages and limitations of online communities of patients for research on health products. Therapie (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.therap.2016.11.058

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5559147

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5559147

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>