Original Study

Prognostic Impact of Discordance in Hormone Receptor Status Between Primary and Recurrent Sites in Patients With Recurrent Breast Cancer

Sho Shiino,¹ Takayuki Kinoshita,¹ Masayuki Yoshida,² Kenjiro Jimbo,¹ Sota Asaga,¹ Shin Takayama,¹ Hitoshi Tsuda^{2,3}

Abstract

In 153 patients with breast cancer with recurrence, the discordance rates for estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 status between primary and recurrent sites were 18%, 26%, and 7% under the same standardized method, respectively. Loss of hormone receptor expression and conversion to triple negative at the recurrence sites were independent indicators of worse clinical outcome.

Introduction: Recent retrospective studies have reported discordance rate of hormone receptor (HR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) statuses between primary and recurrent tumors and prognostic values of discordance. However, the results of these reports may possibly include analytical error. **Patients and Methods:** We analyzed 153 patients from whom pathological specimens of tumor tissues were available from both primary and recurrent sites. For all specimens, immunohistochemistry was performed for these statuses with a standardized method. Two experienced pathologists evaluated these specimens in a blinded fashion. **Results:** The discordance rates for estrogen receptor, progesterone receptor, and HER2 were 18%, 26%, and 7%, respectively. Subtype changes based on HR and HER2 status occurred in 21% of patients. Clinical outcome was significantly worse in the patients with the tumors that were primarily HR-positive (HR⁺) converted to HR-negative (HR⁻) at recurrent sites than in the patients with the patients with the patients with the patients with a constantly HR⁺ tumor (P < .001). By the Cox multivariate analyses, loss of HR expression and conversion to triple negative at the recurrence sites were independent indicators of worse clinical outcome. **Conclusion:** Discordance in HR and HER2 status often occurred between primary and recurrent breast cancer.

Clinical Breast Cancer, Vol. ■, No. ■, ■-■ © 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. Keywords: Discordance, HER2, HR, Overall survival, Prognostic factor

Introduction

The statuses of hormone receptors (HRs) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) in the primary breast cancer are the most important markers for the treatment decisions of the

¹Department of Breast Surgery

patients with recurrent breast cancer. However, recent retrospective studies have reported that discordance sometimes occurs in these biological markers between primary and recurrent tumors.¹⁻⁴ It is unclear whether or not survival improved when patients with recurrent breast cancer are treated in accordance with these receptor statuses in the recurrent tumors.

Recently, several retrospective analyses have suggested that discordance of HR and HER2 statuses between the primary and recurrent tumors is associated with poor clinical outcome.^{1,5-10} However, many results have a possibility of including an analytical error. The aim of this study is to assess estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and HER2 statuses using the same standardized methods with an autostainer and evaluation by 2

²Department of Pathology and Clinical Laboratories, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

³Department of Basic Pathology, National Defense Medical College, Saitama, Japan

Submitted: Mar 21, 2016; Accepted: May 9, 2016

Address for correspondence: Dr Takayuki Kinoshita, Department of Breast Surgery, National Cancer Center Hospital, 5-1-1 Chuo-ku, Tsukiji, Tokyo 1040045, Japan E-mail contact: takinosh@ncc.go.jp

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Prognostic Impact of Discordance in Hormone Receptor Status

Table 1	Clinicopathologic Charact Present Study	eristics of Patients in the
Parameter		n (%)
Total		153
Median age at diagnosis, year (range)		54 (30-81)
Menopausal status		
Premenopausal		59 (39)
Postmenopausal		94 (61)
Tumor size at primary tumor (TNM)		
T1		67 (44)
T2		62 (40)
>T3		24 (16)
Lymph node status		
0		67 (44)
1, 2, 3		36 (24)
<4		45 (29)
Unknov	vn	5 (3)
Histologic grade		
1		19 (12)
2		58 (38)
3		76 (50)
Histologic type		
Invasive ductal carcinoma		134 (88)
Invasive lobular carcinoma		10 (7)
Others		9 (5)
Lymphovascular invasion		
Positive		78 (51)
Negative		75 (49)
Initial surgical therapy		
Mastectomy		87 (57)
Partial resection		66 (43)
Neoadjuvant therapy		
Yes		35 (23)
No		118 (77)
Adjuvant chemotherapy		
Yes		120 (78)
No		30 (20)
Unknown		3 (2)
Adjuvant hormone therapy		
Yes		111 (73)
No		38 (25)
Unknown		4 (2)
Trastuzumab therapy		
Yes		18 (12)
No		131 (86)
Unknown		4 (2)
Radiation therapy		
Yes		111 (73)
No		38 (25)
Unknown		4 (2)
Ghidiowit		_/

Table 1	Continued	
Parameter		n (%)
ER in the primary site		
Positive		110 (72)
Negative		43 (28)
PR in the primary site		
Positive		82 (54)
Negative		71 (46)
HER2 in the primary site		
3+		18 (12)
2+ (≥FISH 2.0)		6 (4)
2+ (<fish 2.0)<="" td=""><td>8 (5)</td></fish>		8 (5)
1+, 0		121 (79)
Initial recurrent sites of biopsy		
Chest wall		39 (26)
In breast		42 (27)
Regional lymph node		23 (15)
Lung		13 (8)
Bone		9 (6)
Liver		8 (5)
Brain		4 (3)
Distant lymph node		7 (5)
Other metastatic sites		8 (5)

experienced pathologists in a blinded fashion. Then, the prognostic values of such discordance were examined in patients with recurrent breast cancer in a single institution. Knowledge of the discordance rate and identification of independent prognostic factors can contribute to improving treatment for recurrent breast cancer.

Materials and Methods

We retrospectively reviewed the records of 7248 patients who underwent surgery for primary breast cancer between 1985 and 2013 in the database of the Department of Breast Surgery in the National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo. In these 7248 patients, 153 patients underwent either core needle biopsy or surgical excision for recurrent breast cancer. Ultrasound-guided core needle biopsies were performed with a 16-gauge needle by skillful breast surgeons. For the patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy, we retrieved the pretreatment biopsy specimens.

For these 153 patients, we were able to retrieve files for 137 (89.5%) patients from the Department of Pathology, National Cancer Center Hospital. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor tissues specimens of the primary and recurrent sites were available from these 137 patients. These specimens were cut into 3-µm-thick sections and subjected to immunohistochemical (IHC) staining for ER, PR, and HER2. ER and PR were performed using the following mouse monoclonal anti-ER antibody (clone 1D5; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), mouse monoclonal anti-PR antibody (clone PgR636, Dako), and rabbit polyclonal anti-HER2 antibody (HercepTest, Dako), respectively. IHC was performed with standardized methods

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5580703

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5580703

Daneshyari.com