

ScienceDirect

Gene regulatory mechanisms underlying the intestinal innate immune response

Antonio CA Meireles-Filho^{1,2} and Bart Deplancke^{1,2}

In the mammalian gastrointestinal tract, distinct types of cells, including epithelial cells and macrophages, collaborate to eliminate ingested pathogens while striving to preserve the commensal microbiota. The underlying innate immune response is driven by significant gene expression changes in each cell, and recent work has provided novel insights into the gene regulatory mechanisms that mediate such transcriptional changes. These mechanisms differ from those underlying the canonical cellular differentiation model in which a sequential deposition of DNA methylation and histone modification marks progressively restricts the chromatin landscape. Instead, inflammatory macrophages and intestinal epithelial cells appear to largely rely on transcription factors that explore an accessible chromatin landscape to generate dynamic stimulus-specific and spatial-specific physiological responses.

Addresses

¹ Laboratory of Systems Biology and Genetics, Institute of Bioengineering, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

² Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics, Lausanne, Switzerland

Corresponding authors: Meireles-Filho, Antonio CA ([acamf1@yahoo.com\)](mailto:acamf1@yahoo.com) and Deplancke, Bart [\(bart.deplancke@epfl.ch](mailto:bart.deplancke@epfl.ch))

Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 2017, 43:46–52

This review comes from a themed issue on Genome architecture and expression

Edited by Bart Deplancke and Charles Sagerstrom

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2016.11.004>

0959-437/ @ 2016 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Introduction

The gastrointestinal tract is constantly exposed to microorganisms that can be potentially harmful. Phylogenetically distant species have therefore evolved similar mechanisms to maintain intestinal homeostasis. Indeed, while the adaptive immune system only evolved in vertebrates, the evolutionarily conserved innate immune system in the gastrointestinal tract shares similarities from insects to humans [\[1](#page--1-0)]. For example, epithelial cells that line the gut provide a physical barrier between host and commensal or invading bacteria. In addition, they are capable of mounting an innate immune response and produce chemokines and cytokines that signal to phagocytic cells such as macrophages [\[2,3\]](#page--1-0).

In mammals, intestinal macrophages have a strong phagocytotic capacity against invading bacteria whilst a low competence to release pro-inflammatory mediators, assuring tissue integrity and reducing the undesired elimination of commensal microbes [[4](#page--1-0)]. These responses are orchestrated through the dynamic control of gene expression levels in each of the participating cells, and the molecular mechanisms underlying this control have been intensely studied in recent years. One of the key insights that emerged is that these mechanisms differ from those mediating canonical development and differentiation. In the latter processes, transcription factors (TFs) coordinate the orderly post-translational modification of histones to progressively specify and constrain the responsive chromatin landscape that is inherently linked to the developmental path of the respective cell [[5\]](#page--1-0). While differentiated resident macrophages apparently follow this model [\[6](#page--1-0)"[,7](#page--1-0)"], inflammatory macrophages resulting from acute differentiation have a pre-defined open chromatin landscape for nearly all central transcriptional regulators, irrespective of their actual transcription status [\[8\]](#page--1-0). A comparable regulatory structure is also observed in intestinal epithelial cells: despite significant differencesin gene expression levels between secretory and absorptive cells and their common precursor, they all show similar chro-matin accessibility landscapes [[9](#page--1-0)**]. An intriguing hypothesis is that an open chromatin state may enable these cells to react quickly to various stimuli. These observations make the gut an insightful model to study the dynamic properties of gene regulatory networks in normal or infection conditions or in disease contexts.

In this review, we will discuss recent advances in elucidating the gene regulatory mechanisms underlying the innate immune response in mammals. We will first focus on generic or tissue-specific macrophages, after which in a second part we will cover intestinal epithelial cells. We will end with a perspective on outstanding questions in the field and highlight the importance that genetically tractable model organisms such as Drosophila melanogaster might have in this domain.

The temporal and spatial properties of macrophage regulatory networks

Gene regulation is controlled by TFs within the context of chromatin, whose fundamental subunit is the nucleosome. Each nucleosome consists of an octamer of two copies of different histones, around which the DNA is wrapped. Post-translational modifications of histones and DNA methylation regulate nucleosome compaction that facilitates or impedes TFs accessibility. For example, while histone modifications such as H3 lysine 4 mono-methylation, di-methylation and tri-methylation (H3K4me1, H3K4me2 and H3K4me3) and H3 lysine 27 acetylation (H3K27ac) facilitate TF binding and the access of the transcription machinery to DNA, DNA methylation and H3K27me3 are normally associated with reduced DNA binding access and gene repression [[5\]](#page--1-0).

Macrophages are phagocytic cells of the mammalian innate immune system that play an important role in tissue homeostasis. In the steady state, they arise from two distinct sources: first, continuously recruited from circulating monocytes in the gut $[10]$ $[10]$, the dermis $[11]$ $[11]$ and the heart [\[12\]](#page--1-0), and second, from fetal monocytes and yolk sac precursors that colonize the whole embryo between E8.5 and E10.5, becoming self-renewal differentiated tissue-resi-dent macrophages [[13,14,15](#page--1-0)^{*}]. Similar to other systems such as mammalian forebrain, heart and liver [\[16](#page--1-0)] as well as cells that arise from hematopoiesis $[17^o]$ $[17^o]$, macrophage development involves substantial reorganization of the chromatin landscape $[6^{\bullet\bullet}, 7^{\bullet\bullet}]$ $[6^{\bullet\bullet}, 7^{\bullet\bullet}]$ $[6^{\bullet\bullet}, 7^{\bullet\bullet}]$. This is driven by the hematopoietic-specific TF PU.1, which acts in combination with other TFs such as $C/EBP\alpha$ to establish a macrophage-specific chromatin landscape [[7,18](#page--1-0)**[,19,20\]](#page--1-0) ([Figure](#page--1-0) 1).

Tissue-resident macrophages can be found at numerous anatomical locations, presenting considerable phenotypic diversity [\[21](#page--1-0)^{••}]. Even after differentiation, they can selfrenew in a process mediated by the down-regulation of the TFs MafB and cMaf and the rewiring of the embryonic stem cell self-renewal network [[22](#page--1-0)^{••}]. During mouse embryogenesis, the core macrophage program driven by PU.1 is rapidly diversified by the action of lineage-determining TFs (LDTFs), which integrate specific cues from the microenvironment to orchestrate the deposition of active histone modification marks ([Figure](#page--1-0) 1) $[6\degree,7\degree,23-26]$ $[6\degree,7\degree,23-26]$ $[6\degree,7\degree,23-26]$ $[6\degree,7\degree,23-26]$ $[6\degree,7\degree,23-26]$ $[6\degree,7\degree,23-26]$. Relevant LDTFs involved in this process are first, C/EBPß in lung and peritoneal cavity macrophages [\[27\]](#page--1-0), second, nuclear receptor $LXR\alpha$ in splenic marginal zone macrophages [\[28\]](#page--1-0), third, GATA6 in peritoneal cavity macrophages [\[24,29](#page--1-0)], four, PPAR γ in alveolar macrophages [[23,30](#page--1-0)], and finally, SPIC in spleen red pulp macrophages [\[31,32](#page--1-0)]. The importance of the microenvironment in macrophage differentiation is highlighted by the fact that transferring macrophages from one tissue to another extensively reprograms the enhancer repertoire to a state similar to the one of the residing cell population $[6^{\bullet\bullet}].$ $[6^{\bullet\bullet}].$ $[6^{\bullet\bullet}].$

Interestingly, blood monocyte-derived intestinal macrophages also exhibit a high degree of phenotypic diversity [\[4](#page--1-0)]. For example, macrophages residing close to the fecal contents activate a robust inflammatory response when the epithelial barrier is damaged. On the other hand, macrophages that are located deeper in the gut wall efficiently eradicate microbes that breach the intestinal epithelial barrier without mounting a potent inflammatory response. This phenotypic difference is orchestrated by interleukin-10 (IL-10), which is secreted locally by T cells, B cells, dendritic cells, and some epithelial cells to limit inflammatory responses [\[33,34\]](#page--1-0). The gene regulatory mechanisms controlling this behavior have been recently examined, revealing that the chromatin accessibility landscape of IL-10 knockout intestinal macrophages was similar to that of inflammatory macrophages. This finding suggests that IL-10-deficiency alone is sufficient to poise chromatin for an inflammatory response [\[35](#page--1-0)]. Overall, this extensive crosstalk between the microenvironment, LDTFs and SDTFs allows macrophages to control signal-specific transcriptional outputs that are important for their respective tissue of residency $[6^{\bullet\bullet}, 7^{\bullet\bullet}]$ $[6^{\bullet\bullet}, 7^{\bullet\bullet}]$.

Macrophage regulatory dynamics during inflammation

While the regulatory dynamics of tissue-resident macrophages' response to infection has not yet been addressed, the acute differentiation of blood monocytes in response to microbial products as well as pro-inflammatory or antiinflammatory cytokines has been well characterized in vitro [\[36](#page--1-0)[°]]. These signals activate Signal-Dependent TF (SDTFs) such as NF-kB, STAT factors and nuclear receptors [[19,37](#page--1-0)]. They mainly regulate three classes of regulatory sequences: (i) constitutive (open) enhancers marked by both H3K4me1 and H3K27ac that require no additional modification, (ii) poised enhancers that feature basal H3K4me1 and no H3K27ac levels, and that upon SDTF binding exhibit greater H3K27ac enrichment [$18^{\bullet\bullet}$ $18^{\bullet\bullet}$, 38] and (iii) latent or *de novo* enhancers that are devoid of any active marks and acquire both H3K4me1 and H3K27ac upon activation [\[39](#page--1-0)*[,40](#page--1-0)]. Latent enhancers constitute a smaller but important fraction of regulated sequences as some retain the H3K4me1 mark upon stimulus removal (i.e. they remain poised), which allows their faster and stronger activation upon re-exposure to identical or heterologous stimuli [[39](#page--1-0)^{••}]. Overall, SDTFs mostly bind to their respective motifs in pre-existing, accessible genomic regulatory sequences (classes i and ii), which might explain why they respond so rapidly to environmental signals [[8\]](#page--1-0). SDTFs then activate directly (class i) or recruit chromatin modifiers to inhibit (class i) or promote (classes ii and iii) the transition of either nonaccessible or accessible but inactive (poised) states to fully active enhancers. Thus, by combining stimulusdriven SDTF and environment-driven LDTF activation in an already partially pre-configured chromatin landscape, macrophages induce qualitative and quantitative tissue-specific transcriptional programs [\[8,39](#page--1-0)^{*}[,40](#page--1-0)].

Characteristics of developmental and inflammation-responsive regulatory networks in intestinal epithelial cells

The mammalian intestinal epithelium is an important component in the maintenance of gut homeostasis. Anatomically, it is composed of a single cell layer organized in villi and crypts. The crypt provides a protected niche for Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5585668>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5585668>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)