

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





# **Recent progress in single-cell cancer genomics** Daphne Tsoucas<sup>1,2</sup> and Guo-Cheng Yuan<sup>1,2</sup>



The advent of single-cell sequencing has been revolutionary to the field of cancer genomics. Perfectly suited to capture cancer's heterogeneous nature, single-cell analyses provide information bulk sequencing could never hope to uncover. Many mechanisms of cancer have yet to be fully understood, and single-cell approaches are showing promise in their abilities to uncover these mysteries. Here we focus on the most recent single-cell methods for cancer genomics, and how they are not only providing insights into the inner workings of cancer, but are also transforming individualized therapy and noninvasive monitoring and diagnosis.

#### Addresses

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biostatistics and Computational Biology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 02215, USA
<sup>2</sup> Department of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public

Health, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Corresponding author: Yuan, Guo-Cheng (gcyuan@jimmy.harvard.edu)

Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 2017, 42:22-32

This review comes from a themed issue on Cancer genomics

Edited by Carol Bult and Olivier DeLattre

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2017.01.002

0959-437X/ $\odot$  2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creative-commons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

## Introduction

Genomic analysis has been widely applied in cancer studies. The identification of genomic, epigenomic, and transcriptomic changes in cancer has led to precise classification, biomarker discovery, and mechanical understanding of cancer, and has played an essential part in cancer diagnosis, monitoring, and treatment [1]. However, until recently, bulk sequencing has been the only viable option for cancer genomic analysis. One major limitation is that bulk sequencing cannot detect the heterogeneity within a tumor. This limitation has important clinical consequences. For example, cancer is often composed of multiple clones, and the most aggressive clone is difficult to identify and target since it may not be the one that metastasizes.

Throughout every stage of cancer, cells accumulate distinct mutations, which define the further evolution and progression of the disease. It is commonly viewed that cancer originates from an accumulation of mutations in oncogenes and tumor suppressors such that cell growth becomes unregulated and invasive [2]. The progeny of these cells in turn accumulate further mutations and selective pressures drive clonal evolution. The cancer will eventually metastasize, spreading to other parts of the body through the circulatory or lymphatic systems to form further distinct subpopulations. In addition, targeted cancer therapy may drive further evolution and eventually lead to drug resistance.

The recent advent of single-cell sequencing has revolutionized the field of cancer genomics, opening the door to a vast number of possibilities (Table 1). From the ability to resolve intra-tumoral heterogeneity [10°,17°°,27°,34°°], map clonal evolution [50,51], and track the development of therapy resistance [10°,58°], to the capacity to analyze rare tumor cell populations such as tumor stem cells and circulating tumor cells [47,48], single-cell techniques have opened new avenues for cancer research. A better understanding of the mechanisms of cancer can in turn inform more effective and personalized treatments.

In this paper, we review recent progress in single-cell analysis techniques and their applications in cancer genomics (Figure 1), focusing on topics that have not been covered by previous reviews [3–6].

### Intra-tumor genome sequence heterogeneity

Understanding the genomic heterogeneity of cancer cells first and foremost necessitates methods for single-cell DNA sequencing. The earliest developments for single-cell genomics involve whole genome amplification, providing ample amounts of DNA for subsequent sequencing. Degenerate oligonucleotide primed PCR (DOP-PCR) is appropriate for CNV detection, with low coverage but uniform amplification [7]. Multiple displacement amplification (MDA) is a linear amplification method capable of higher coverage through the use of Phi-29 polymerase, making it suitable for SNP detection [8]. MALBAC (multiple annealing and loopingbased amplification cycles) combines MDA and PCR for a high coverage, uniform amplification method suitable for either CNV or SNP detection [9]. These methods have been extensively applied to the characterization of intra-tumor CNVs and SNPs in various cancer types.

However, one major limitation of the aforementioned methods is that spatial information is lost as soon as single cells are isolated. Such information is integral to understanding the interaction of the cell with its microenvironment and may prove valuable for evaluating drug responsiveness. Recently, a new technology,

Table 1	l
---------	---

Method type	Specific methods	Application to cancer genomics	Refs.
Experimental methods			
Single-cell whole	DOP-PCR, MDA, MALBAC	Used in conjunction with next-generation	[7–9]
genome amplification Single-cell spatial	STAR-FISH	sequencing to detect intra-tumor CNVs and SNPs. Detects the spatial distribution of intra-tumor CNVs	[10 <b>°</b> ]
genomics		and SNPs. Can be combined with longitudinal	
		analysis to reveal migratory cells.	
Single-cell	Smart-seq, Tang et al. method,	Identifies cancer-specific gene expression	[11–13]
transcriptome	single-cell qPCR	signatures, cancer cell types, alternative-splicing	
amplification		events.	
Single-cell spatial transcriptomics	smFISH, SeqFISH, MERFISH, FISSEQ, TIVA	Can provide spatially-resolved gene expression	[16,17**,18*,19,20*,21,22
		signatures in tumors. Has potential applications in tracing cell migratory paths and locating tumor-like	
		stem cells.	
Single-cell DNA	scRRBS, PBAT	Enables the discovery of differential methylation in	[25,26,27°]
methylomics		cancer cells. Potential for broadening	
		understanding of phenotypic plasticity of cancer	
		cells.	To on a contract (1)
Single-cell chromatin	ATAC-seq, Pico-Seq	Can give insight into the differential binding of	[29•,30•,31]
accessibility Chromosome	Hi-C, ChIP-seq	transcription factors in cancer cells. Potential for understanding the mechanisms of	[32,33]
conformation capture	Theo, otherseq	cancer heterogeneity through mapping	[02,00]
		transcription factor-regulatory element interactions.	
Simultaneous multiple single-cell omics	G&T-seq, scTrio-seq, Darmanis	Provides an integrated view of intra-tumoral	[34 <sup>••</sup> ,27 <sup>•</sup> ,36 <sup>•</sup> ]
	<i>et al</i> . method	heterogeneity through measuring direct	
		interactions between genomic, transcriptomic,	
		epigenetic, and proteomic variation.	
Computational methods			
Single-cell spatial	Seurat, Achim et al. method	Infers cell location through scRNA-seq data and an	[37•,38]
transcriptomic inference		in situ RNA reference map of several landmark	
		genes, enabling mapping of intra-tumor spatial heterogeneity.	
Pseudo-time ordering	Monocle, TSCAN, Waterfall, SCUBA,	Projects gene expression values from a single time-	[39,40-43,44•,45]
	Wanderlust, Wishbone	point to a continuous trajectory over cell	
		differentation. Potential use in understanding	
		differentiation from stem-like cancer cell to matured	
	DevelD OterelD OfeiOlert	cancer cell.	[40, 40]
Rare cell-type detection	RaceID, StemID, GiniClust	Potential use in the detection of circulating tumors cells and stem-like cancer cells.	[46–48]
Clonal evolution	SCITE, OncoNEM	Builds lineage trees for understanding evolutionary	[50,51]
inference		events such as the development of therapy	[00,01]
		resistance.	

STAR-FISH (specific-to-allele PCR-FISH) [10°], has been developed which can detect the spatial distribution of both SNVs and CNVs using a combination of *in situ* PCR and FISH. PCR primers are built to target mutant and wild type mRNAs, one gene at a time. Amplification is followed by hybridization of fluorophores to a 5' overhang built into each probe. Janiszewska *et al.* use their method to study the commonly reported His1047Arg mutation in *PIK3CA* and *ERBB2* (commonly known as *HER2*) amplification in *HER2*+ breast cancer, before and after chemotherapy. They were able to identify changes in mutational frequency of mutated cells, which help gain an understanding of the development of drug resistance in *HER2*+ breast cancer [10°]. When combined with longitudinal analysis, this method was used to pinpoint migratory cells [10<sup>•</sup>]. Currently, the technology can only be used to detect the location of known mutations.

The introduction of spatial methods to single-cell cancer genomics allows genomic heterogeneity to be mapped in space. This presents new opportunities in studying cellto-cell interactions, and in identifying migratory cancer cells and their roles in metastasis.

#### Intra-tumor transcriptomic heterogeneity

Like single-cell genome analysis, the first efforts in single-cell transcriptomics were in the amplification of the transcriptome to allow for quantification and sequencing of the transcriptome. Whole transcriptome amplification methods include poly-A tailing methods Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5585719

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5585719

Daneshyari.com