3

3

4

5

6 7

8

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Heart, Lung and Circulation (2016) xx, 1–5 1443-9506/04/\$36.00 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2016.05.117

Relationship Between Diabetic Variables and Outcomes After Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting in Diabetic Patients

Q3 Tom Kai Ming Wang^{*}, Andrew Woodhead, Tharumenthiran Ramanathan, James Pemberton

Green Lane Cardiovascular Service, Auckland City Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand

Received 30 December 2015; accepted 19 May 2016; online published-ahead-of-print xxx

Background	Nearly half of patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) have diabetes. There is mixed data as to whether preoperative (haemoglobin A1c{HbA1c}) and/or perioperative diabetes control is associated with mortality and morbidity after CABG. We reviewed the characteristics and outcomes of diabetic patients undergoing CABG with a focus on HbA1c, perioperative glucose levels and diabetic treatment regimes.
Methods	Diabetic patients undergoing CABG during July 2010 to June 2012 were studied (n=306). The last preopera- tive HbA1c levels, and perioperative glucose levels (mean and coefficient of variation (CV)) were retro- spectively recorded, as well as the pre-existing and perioperative diabetes treatment regimens for analyses.
Results	Mean HbA1c was 7.7+/-1.6%, and 11.1% (34), 56.2% (172), and 32.7% (100) of patients were managed preoperatively with diet only, oral diabetic medications and insulin respectively. For operative mortality which occurred in 2.0%, C-statistics (95% confidence interval) was only significant for HbA1c 0.855 (0.757-0.975) and glucose CV on the day of surgery 0.722 (0.567-0.877). HbA1c also detected postoperative renal failure c-statistic 0.617 (0.504-0.730), but not other complications or mortality during follow-up. In multivariate analysis, HbA1c was the only diabetes-related independent predictor of operative mortality hazards ratio 4.13 (1.04-16.4), and none of the diabetes-related variables predicted mortality during follow-up or other postoperative complications.
Conclusion	Preoperative HbA1c was the only diabetic variable to independently predict after operative mortality after CABG, suggesting medium-term preoperative diabetes control being more important and prognostic of operative outcomes than perioperative diabetes control.
Keywords	Diabetes mellitus • Coronary artery bypass grafting • Cardiac surgery

14

15

16

17

Introduction

Q4 Diabetes mellitus has become a global problem, affecting 360 million people in 2011, estimated to rise to 552 million by 2030, with over 95% having type 2 diabetes [1]. It is a well-established risk factor for coronary artery disease; between one quarter and half of patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) have diabetes [2]. Coronary artery bypass grafting was found in most [3,4] but not all [5,6] randomised trials to be superior to percutaneous coronary intervention for multivessel coronary artery disease, particularly those with moderate or high Syntax score >22, and is recommended by guidelines in this context [7].

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

*Corresponding author at: Auckland City Hospital, 2 Grafton Road, Grafton, Auckland 1023, New Zealand Tel.: +4 9 367 0000; fax: +64 9 307 4950, Email: TWang@adhb.govt.nz

© 2016 Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Australian and New Zealand Society of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgeons (ANZSCTS) and the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand (CSANZ).

Please cite this article in press as: Wang TKM, et al. Relationship Between Diabetic Variables and Outcomes After Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting in Diabetic Patients. Heart, Lung and Circulation (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2016.05.117

25

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

77

Despite this, diabetes is also a predictor of higher mortality and morbidity after cardiac surgery [8,9]. There is therefore 26 significant interest in identifying predictors of adverse events as well as strategies to optimise outcomes in this subgroup. Identifying the relative importance of perioperative glucose levels and haemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) for CABG outcomes can direct future treatment efforts towards improving either perioperative or medium-term glucose control. We reviewed the characteristics and outcomes of diabetic patients undergoing CABG.

Materials and Methods 35

Ethics approval was obtained prior to the commencement of 36 37 the study. All patients undergoing isolated CABG without concurrent valve surgery during July 2010 to June 2012 at 38 39 Auckland City Hospital with a verified history of diabetes 40 mellitus were included. Mode of treatment (lifestyle only, 41 oral medications or insulin) and last haemoglobin A1c 42 (HbA1c in %) preoperatively but within three months of 43 operation were recorded. Mean and coefficient of variation (mean/standard deviation) glucose levels (mmol/L) on the 44 45 day of surgery, and on the day up till three days postoperatively were retrospectively obtained and calculated - indi-46 vidual glucose measurements were taken as only required 47 clinically. 48

Other clinical pre and postoperative variables were 49 50 defined in accordance with the Society of Thoracic Surgeon's 51 (STS) database; and EuroSCOREs and STS Scores retrospectively calculated[8,9]. Angina and dyspnoea on presentation 52 53 were graded using the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Classification (CCS) and New York Heart Association Class 54 55 (NYHA) respectively. Valvular disease of at least moderate severity was recorded. Renal function was calculated using 56 57 the last preoperative creatinine and the Modification of Diet 58 and Renal Disease (MDRD) formula to give estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) [10]. 59

Mortality data up till 31 December 2013 were checked against New Zealand's national registry, and operative mortality (in-hospital or within 30 days) and mortality during follow-up were recorded. Society of Thoracic Surgeon's definitions for five postoperative complications (stroke, renal failure, ventilation >24 hours, deep sternal wound infection and return to theatre) and their composite [8], as well as length of hospital stay postoperatively were also collected.

Quantitative variables are presented as mean+/-standard deviation and categorical variables as percentage (frequency). C-statistics (area under the receiver-operative characteristics (ROC) curve) with 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was used to assess discrimination of diabetic variables for outcomes. Mann-Whitney U test and Fisher's exact test were used for univariate analyses for quantitative and categorical variables respectively. Variables with P<0.10 in the aforementioned analyses were incorporated into multivariate 76 analyses, using logistic regression to calculate odds ratios 78 (OR) or Cox proportional hazards regression used to

calculate hazards ratios (HR). Analyses were performed using SPSS (Version 17.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Prism (Version 5, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). All tests were two-tailed and significance level set at 5%.

Results

A total of 306 patients, all with type 2 diabetes mellitus, were studied and followed-up for 2.3+/-0.6 years. Table 1 lists the baseline characteristics, and diabetic variables are found in Table 2. Mean HbA1c was 7.7+/-1.6% and mean and coefficient of variation of glucose on day of surgery were 7.9+/-2.1 mmol/L and 0.29+/-0.10.

Operative variables and postoperative outcomes are shown in Table 3. Operative mortality was 2.0% (6), and one-year survival of 95.7%. Composite morbidity was 21.9% (67), mainly due to prolonged ventilation >24 hours 15.0% (46), while postoperative stroke occurred in 0.7% (2).

Receiver-operative analyses results are listed in Table 4. Amongst diabetic variables, HbA1c, glucose coefficient of variation day of surgery and insulin use all could detect operative mortality, with HbA1c having the numerically highest area under curve. None of the diabetic variables were able to detect mortality during follow-up or composite morbidity.

Table 5 shows the multivariate analysis results. HbA1c was the only independent predictor of operative mortality, c-statistic 4.13, 95% confidence interval 1.04-16.1, P=0.44. Again, none of the diabetic variables were independent predictors of mortality during follow-up or composite morbidity, while estimated glomerular filtration rate predicted all three outcomes.

Discussion

Our study had several important findings. Rates of mortality and morbidity for our contemporary cohort of diabetic patients undergoing CABG were low. HbA1c, glucose coefficient of variation on day of surgery and insulin treatment were all associated with operative mortality, however only HbA1c independently predicted operative mortality. Diabetic variables did not independently predict mortality during follow-up or composite postoperative complications.

Although CABG is recommended as the preferred revascularisation modality of choice for multivessel disease in diabetics, caution is needed due to the higher risk of adverse outcomes in these patients [3,4,7]. In the EuroSCORE II risk model derived from their database, diabetics on insulin gave an odds ratio of 1.43 times higher risk of operative mortality [9]. In the STS database of isolated CABG, diabetics not on insulin had hazards ratio of 1.01, but if on insulin this increased to 1.30[8]. We also found insulin use to be a prognostic factor, and this is plausible because insulin use is generally in those with more advanced diabetes, poor control and possibly more complications of diabetes.

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

79

80

Please cite this article in press as: Wang TKM, et al. Relationship Between Diabetic Variables and Outcomes After Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting in Diabetic Patients. Heart, Lung and Circulation (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2016.05.117

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5602576

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5602576

Daneshyari.com