

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Revisiting Athlete's Heart Versus Pathologic Hypertrophy

ARVC and the Right Ventricle*

Barry J. Maron, MD,^a Bradley A. Maron, MD^{b,c}

The first description of the enlarged heart of athletes came in 1899, based only on physical examination with percussion, but later recognized by quantitative chest radiology (1). Over the past 40 years, imaging (largely with echocardiography) has provided a direct assessment of “athlete’s heart” (2–12), which describes physiologic cardiac adaptations associated with physical training, often in the context of competitive sports.

Cardiac dimensional alterations in athletes have been the focus of many cross-sectional studies characterizing chamber size (ventricles, left atrium, and aorta) and also left ventricular (LV) wall thickness (2–12). Early M-mode studies suggested certain morphologic alterations were related to type of sport and/or training regimen (i.e., isotonic [running] vs. isometric [weightlifting]). The first hypothesis that isometric sports would induce increased LV wall thickness while isotonic sports are associated with ventricular cavity enlargement created initial interest in this area of investigation (13), but ultimately proved to be much more complex than originally anticipated (14). Indeed, LV chamber enlargement has been shown to be a common adaptation to training, most impressive with endurance sports (e.g., distance running and swimming, cycling,

cross-country skiing, and rowing) (2–6,8) but reversible with cessation of systematic conditioning (9–11).


Ultimately, a vast literature has evolved defining the structural and functional features of the trained athlete heart (4,400 publications in PubMed). At the forefront of this effort has been Dr. Pelliccia’s group at the Institute of Sports Medicine and Science (Rome) who over 25 years have meticulously assembled and tabulated echocardiographic data in thousands of highly trained and elite athletes, many at the Olympic level (6,7,9,10,14). These data, largely using absolute cavity and wall thickness measurements not indexed to body size (most applicable to the clinical environment) have been instrumental in developing many modern principles of the heart in athletes. These investigators continue to define this area of research with the accompanying study of >1,000 Olympic athletes.

An early prominent echocardiographic observation in elite rowers and cyclists was an increased absolute ventricular septal thickness, mimicking hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) (6). The possibility that young highly trained high school, college, or even Olympic/professional athletes could harbor potentially lethal heart diseases susceptible to sudden death has been a major topic within cardiovascular medicine (15–18). Therefore, another important application of echocardiography has been distinguishing benign athlete’s heart from several pathologic cardiac conditions associated with the potential for sudden death or disease progression (8,11,15–18).

Pathologic conditions for which echocardiographic (or cardiac magnetic resonance) reference values overlap with physiologic athlete’s heart include HCM, dilated cardiomyopathy, and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC) (Figure 1), each of which are known to be important causes of sudden death in young people and athletes (18), and for which disqualification from intense sports is justified to create a safer athletic field (18). Such differential

*Editorials published in *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC: Cardiovascular Imaging* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the ^aHypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Institute, Division of Cardiology, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts; ^bDivision of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women’s Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; and the ^cDepartment of Cardiology, Boston VA Healthcare System, Boston, Massachusetts. Dr. Bradley A. Maron has received research funding from Gilead Sciences; and has received support from the National Institutes of Health (K08HL111207,01AL), American Heart Association (15GRNT25080016), and the Cardiovascular Medical Research and Education Fund. Dr. Barry J. Maron has reported that he has no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.



diagnoses can represent major clinical dilemmas, given that athlete's heart is regarded as benign without the development of cardiac symptoms or arrhythmic risk, and itself would not justify disqualification from competitive sports (18). However, overdiagnosis of cardiac disease in athletes can have the paradoxical effect of unnecessary removal from competitive sports, with substantial loss of psychologic investment in (and enjoyment of) competition, reduced quality of life, and even lost economic opportunities (18).

In the case of HCM, differential diagnosis with athlete's heart most frequently arises when LV wall thickness is in the ambiguous "gray zone" of overlap between extreme expressions of athlete's heart and the mild HCM phenotype, of 13 to 15 mm (12 to 13 mm in women) (7,8,10,11,18).

In such instances, diagnosis can often be resolved by applying noninvasive markers. HCM is favored with LV end-diastolic cavity <45 mm, identification of a pathogenic sarcomere mutation or family history of HCM, unusual LV wall thickness patterns including noncontiguous segmental hypertrophy, abnormal LV filling/relaxation, particularly marked left atrial enlargement, or late gadolinium enhancement on contrast cardiac magnetic resonance. Athlete's heart is more likely when LV cavity is enlarged (≥ 55 mm) (10), peak VO_2 is >110% of expected, or when LV

thickness or mass decreases with short periods of deconditioning (9-11) (Figure 1).

In this issue of *iJACC*, D'Ascenzi et al. (19) from Rome have studied a unique cohort of Olympic-level competitors and revisited the differential diagnosis of athlete's heart and cardiac disease. They have addressed the reliability of an ARVC diagnosis in individuals with remodeling and enlargement of the right ventricular (RV) chamber caused by long periods of systematic endurance training.

This is an important objective, because adaptive changes in RV geometry and function induced by high-level physical activity remain incompletely characterized, and specific RV dimensions diagnostic of ARVC in athletes are not currently available. However, RV remodeling patterns correlate with exercise subtype: endurance athletics (e.g., competitive swimming, running) are characterized by RV elongation and dilation, whereas isometric physical activities (e.g., power weight lifting, rowing) induce little change in RV structure (20), reminiscent of changes reported in LV morphology with training many years ago (13).

Mechanisms that account for these differences are not entirely known, although increased cardiac output during aerobic activity greatly expands end-diastolic RV volume. Compared with the LV, the RV

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5605891>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5605891>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)