PERIPHERAL

Clinical Outcomes of Pedal Artery Angioplasty for Patients With Ischemic Wounds



Results From the Multicenter RENDEZVOUS Registry

Tatsuya Nakama, MD,^a Nozomi Watanabe, MD,^a Takuya Haraguchi, MD,^b Hiroshi Sakamoto, MD,^c Daisuke Kamoi, MD,^d Yoshinori Tsubakimoto, MD,^e Kenji Ogata, MD,^a Katsuhiko Satoh, MD,^b Kazushi Urasawa, MD,^b Hiroshi Andoh, MD,^c Hiroshi Fujita, MD,^e Yoshisato Shibata, MD^a

JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR INTERVENTIONS CME

This article has been selected as this issue's CME activity, available online at http://www.acc.org/jacc-journals-cme by selecting the CME tab on the top navigation bar.

Accreditation and Designation Statement

The American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The ACCF designates this Journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s). Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Method of Participation and Receipt of CME Certificate

To obtain credit for this CME activity, you must:

- 1. Be an ACC member or JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions subscriber.
- Carefully read the CME-designated article available online and in this issue of the journal.
- Answer the post-test questions. At least 2 out of the 3 questions provided must be answered correctly to obtain CME credit.
- 4. Complete a brief evaluation.

Claim your CME credit and receive your certificate electronically by following the instructions given at the conclusion of the activity.

CME Objective for This Article: At the end of the activity the reader should be able to: 1) review the clinical outcomes of patients undergoing endovascular treatment for critical limb ischemia; 2) appraise the clinical benefits of adjunctive pedal artery angioplasty in both the timing and the extent of wound healing; and 3) recognize the limitations and evaluate the efficacy of this strategy in each risk stratified population.

CME Editor Disclosure: *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions* CME Editor Bill Gogas, MD, PhD, has reported that he has no disclosures.

Author Disclosures: The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Medium of Participation: Print (article only); online (article and quiz).

CME Term of Approval

Issue Date: January 9, 2017 Expiration Date: January 8, 2018

From the ^aMiyazaki Medical Association Hospital, Cardiovascular Center, Miyazaki, Japan; ^bCardiovascular Center, Tokeidai Memorial Hospital, Sapporo, Japan; ^cDepartment of Cardiology, Kasukabe Chuo General Hospital, Saitama, Japan; ^dDepartment of Cardiology, Nagoya Kyoritsu Hospital, Nagoya, Japan; and the ^eDepartment of Cardiology, Japanese Red Cross Kyoto Daini Hospital, Kyoto, Japan. The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Clinical Outcomes of Pedal Artery Angioplasty for Patients With Ischemic Wounds

Results From the Multicenter RENDEZVOUS Registry

Tatsuya Nakama, MD,^a Nozomi Watanabe, MD,^a Takuya Haraguchi, MD,^b Hiroshi Sakamoto, MD,^c Daisuke Kamoi, MD,^d Yoshinori Tsubakimoto, MD,^e Kenji Ogata, MD,^a Katsuhiko Satoh, MD,^b Kazushi Urasawa, MD,^b Hiroshi Andoh, MD,^c Hiroshi Fujita, MD,^e Yoshisato Shibata, MD^a

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVES The aim of this study was to investigate the clinical outcomes of pedal artery angioplasty (PAA) for patients with critical limb ischemia.

BACKGROUND Pedal artery disease is considered a predictor of delayed wound healing (DH) after endovascular therapy. Adjunctive PAA might improve the speed and extent of wound healing.

METHODS Consecutive patients with critical limb ischemia (n=257) presenting with de novo infrapopliteal and pedal artery disease were retrospectively reviewed from a multicenter registry. Patients were divided into 2 groups according to whether PAA was performed (n=140) or not (n=117). The rate of wound healing and time to wound healing were compared between these groups. DH score was calculated using the number of independent predictors of DH. Patients were stratified into 3 groups according to DH score: low risk (DH score = 0), moderate risk (DH score = 1 or 2), and high risk (DH score = 3). Estimated efficacy was analyzed for each risk-stratified population.

RESULTS The rate of wound healing was significantly higher (57.5% vs. 37.3%, p = 0.003) and time to wound healing significantly shorter (211 days vs. 365 days; p = 0.008) in the PAA group. In a multivariate analysis, nonambulatory status, target wound depth (UT grade \ge 2), and daily hemodialysis were revealed as predictors of DH. In the moderate-risk population, adjunctive PAA significantly improved the rate of wound healing (59.3% vs. 33.9%; p = 0.001). In the high-risk population, however, PAA did not affect wound healing.

CONCLUSIONS Patients who underwent PAA showed a higher rate of wound healing and shorter time to wound healing, especially in the moderate-risk population. With regard to wound healing, this aggressive strategy might become a salvage procedure for patients with critical limb ischemia presenting with pedal artery disease. (J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2017;10:79-90) © 2017 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

ritical limb ischemia (CLI) is an unfavorable clinical consequence of peripheral arterial disease. Approximately 73% to 95% of patients lose limbs within 1 year after conservative treatment (1). Arterial revascularization, including surgical bypass and endovascular therapy (EVT), is the optimal treatment to prevent limb loss (2). Following the result of the BASIL (Bypass Versus Angioplasty in Severe Ischaemia of the Leg) trial (3), the latest American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology guidelines suggest that arterial revascularization strategies should be decided on the basis of the existence of adequate veins or patients' life expectancy (4). However, patients with CLI rarely qualify as surgical candidates, because of their

frailty, concomitant diseases, and advanced age. Percutaneous EVT, in contrast, has become popular because of its lower invasiveness and comparable limb salvage (LS) rate to surgical bypass (5-11). Recent clinical trials have reported sufficient LS, and these results have already achieved the objective performance goal (84% LS rate at 1 year), which was proposed by Conte et al. (12). Therefore, the role of EVT for patients with CLI has been significantly increasing in the current clinical setting.

However, even if major amputation is successfully avoided, achievement of complete wound healing is still challenging. Recent clinical reports have documented the apparent discrepancy between LS rate and rate of wound healing (8-11,13). Delayed or

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5606967

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5606967

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>