### **FOCUS ON TAVR**

# Incidence, Predictors, and Outcomes of Permanent Pacemaker Implantation Following Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement



Analysis From the U.S. Society of Thoracic Surgeons/ American College of Cardiology TVT Registry

Opeyemi O. Fadahunsi, MBBS, MPH, <sup>a</sup> Abiola Olowoyeye, MD, MPH, <sup>b</sup> Anene Ukaigwe, MD, <sup>a,c</sup> Zhuokai Li, PhD, <sup>d</sup> Amit N. Vora, MD, MPH, <sup>d</sup> Sreekanth Vemulapalli, MD, <sup>d</sup> Eric Elgin, MD, <sup>e,f</sup> Anthony Donato, MD, MHPE<sup>a,f</sup>

# JACC: CARDIOVASCULAR INTERVENTIONS CME

This article has been selected as this issue's CME activity, available online at <a href="http://www.acc.org/jacc-journals-cme">http://www.acc.org/jacc-journals-cme</a> by selecting the CME tab on the top navigation bar.

# **Accreditation and Designation Statement**

The American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

The ACCF designates this Journal-based CME activity for a maximum of 1  $AMA\ PRA\ Category\ 1\ Credit(s)$ . Physicians should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

# Method of Participation and Receipt of CME Certificate

To obtain credit for this CME activity, you must:

- 1. Be an ACC member or JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions subscriber.
- Carefully read the CME-designated article available online and in this issue of the journal.
- Answer the post-test questions. At least 2 out of the 3 questions provided must be answered correctly to obtain CME credit.
- 4. Complete a brief evaluation.
- Claim your CME credit and receive your certificate electronically by following the instructions given at the conclusion of the activity.

CME Objective for This Article: At the end of the activity the reader should be able to: 1) appreciate the extent of conduction abnormalities

post-TAVR; 2) evaluate the clinical outcomes following permanent pacemaker implantation in subjects undergoing TAVR procedures; and 3) consider the timing of conduction abnormalities post-TAVR.

**CME Editor Disclosure:** *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions* CME Editor Bill Gogas, MD, PhD, has reported that he has no disclosures.

Author Disclosures: This research was supported by the American College of Cardiology Foundation's STS/ACC TVT Registry. The views expressed in this paper represent those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the STS/ACC TVT Registry or its associated professional societies, identified at <a href="https://www.ncdr.com/WebNCDR/tvt/home">https://www.ncdr.com/WebNCDR/tvt/home</a>. Dr. Vemulapalli has received consulting fees and honoraria from Novella and Premiere; has received travel reimbursement from Abbott Vascular and Philips Medical Systems; and has received research grants from Abbott Vascular, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, the American College of Cardiology, and Boston Scientific. Dr. Vora was funded by National Institutes of Health T-32 training grant T32 HL069749 and L30 HL124592. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Medium of Participation: Print (article only); online (article and quiz).

# CME Term of Approval

Issue Date: November 14, 2016 Expiration Date: November 13, 2017

From the <sup>a</sup>Department of Medicine, Reading Health System, West Reading, Pennsylvania; <sup>b</sup>Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California; <sup>c</sup>Division of Cardiology, Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, Pennsylvania; <sup>d</sup>Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina; <sup>e</sup>Division of Cardiology, Cardiology Associates of West Reading, West Reading, Pennsylvania; and the <sup>f</sup>Jefferson Medical College, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. This research was supported by the American College of Cardiology Foundation's STS/ACC TVT Registry. The views expressed in this paper represent those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the STS/ACC TVT Registry or its associated professional societies,

# - - 6

# Incidence, Predictors, and Outcomes of Permanent Pacemaker Implantation Following Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement

# Analysis From the U.S. Society of Thoracic Surgeons/ American College of Cardiology TVT Registry

Opeyemi O. Fadahunsi, MBBS, MPH, Abiola Olowoyeye, MD, MPH, Anene Ukaigwe, MD, Chuokai Li, PhD, Amit N. Vora, MD, MPH, Sreekanth Vemulapalli, MD, Eric Elgin, MD, Anthony Donato, MD, MHPE

### ABSTRACT

**OBJECTIVES** The purpose of this study was to evaluate the incidence, predictors, and clinical outcomes of permanent pacemaker (PPM) implantation following transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR).

**BACKGROUND** Conduction abnormalities leading to PPM implantation are common complications following TAVR. Whether PPM placement can be predicted or is associated with adverse outcomes is unclear.

**METHODS** A retrospective cohort study of patients undergoing TAVR in the United States at 229 sites between November 2011 and September 2014 was performed using the Society of Thoracic Surgeons/American College of Cardiology TVT Registry and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services database.

**RESULTS** PPM placement was required within 30 days of TAVR in 651 of 9,785 patients (6.7%) and varied among those receiving self-expanding valves (25.1%) versus balloon-expanding valves (4.3%). Positive predictors of PPM implantation were age (per 5-year increment, odds ratio: 1.07; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.01 to 1.15), prior conduction defect (odds ratio: 1.93; 95% CI: 1.63 to 2.29), and use of self-expanding valve (odds ratio: 7.56; 95% CI: 5.98 to 9.56). PPM implantation was associated with longer median hospital stay (7 days vs. 6 days; p < 0.001) and intensive care unit stay (56.7 h vs. 45.0 h; p < 0.001). PPM implantation was also associated with increased mortality (24.1% vs. 19.6%; hazard ratio [HR]: 1.31; 95% CI: 1.09 to 1.58) and a composite of mortality or heart failure admission (37.3% vs. 28.5%; hazard ratio HR: 1.33; 95% CI: 1.13 to 1.56) at 1 year but not with heart failure admission alone (16.5% vs. 12.9%; HR: 1.23; 95% CI: 0.92 to 1.63).

**CONCLUSIONS** Early PPM implantation is a common complication following TAVR, and it is associated with higher mortality and a composite of mortality or heart failure admission at 1 year. (J Am Coll Cardiol Intv 2016;9:2189-99) © 2016 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

ranscatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) is a therapeutic option for the management of patients with symptomatic severe aortic stenosis who have high surgical risk or are deemed inoperable as assessed by a multidisciplinary heart team (1,2). However, conduction

abnormalities following TAVR requiring permanent pacemaker (PPM) placement have emerged as important short-term complications, noted in 6.0% to 6.4% for the balloon-expandable Edwards SAPIEN valve (ESV) and 25.4% to 28.0% for the self-expanding Medtronic CoreValve Revalving System (MCRS)

identified at <a href="https://www.ncdr.com/WebNCDR/tvt/home">https://www.ncdr.com/WebNCDR/tvt/home</a>. Dr. Vemulapalli has received consulting fees and honoraria from Novella and Premiere; has received travel reimbursement from Abbott Vascular and Philips Medical Systems; and has received research grants from Abbott Vascular, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, the American College of Cardiology, and Boston Scientific. Dr. Vora was funded by National Institutes of Health T-32 training grant T32 HL069749 and L30 HL124592. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

# Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5607012

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5607012

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>