

## EXPERT CONSENSUS DECISION PATHWAY

# 2017 Focused Update of the 2016 ACC Expert Consensus Decision Pathway on the Role of Non-Statins Therapies for LDL-Cholesterol Lowering in the Management of Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease Risk

A Report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force  
 on Expert Consensus Decision Pathways

Endorsed by the National Lipid Association

## Writing Committee

Donald M. Lloyd-Jones, MD, FACC, *Chair*  
 Pamela B. Morris, MD, FACC, *Vice Chair*

Christie M. Ballantyne, MD, FACC  
 Kim K. Birtcher, PHARM.D, AACC  
 David D. Daly, Jr, MD  
 Sondra M. DePalma, MHS, DHSc(c), PA-C, CLS, AACC

Margo B. Minissian, PhD, ACNP, AACC  
 Carl E. Orringer, MD, FACC, FNLA\*  
 Sidney C. Smith, Jr, MD, MACC

\*National Lipid Association Representative.

## 2017 Task Force on Expert Consensus Decision Pathways

James L. Januzzi, Jr, MD, FACC, *Chair*

Luis C. Afonso, MBBS, FACC  
 Brendan M. Everett, MD, FACC  
 Adrian Hernandez, MD, FACC  
 William Hucker, MD, PhD  
 Hani Jneid, MD, FACC

Dharam J. Kumbhani, MD, SM, FACC  
 Joseph E. Marine, MD, FACC  
 Pamela B. Morris, MD, FACC  
 Robert Piana, MD, FACC  
 Karol E. Watson, MD, FACC  
 Barbara S. Wiggins, PHARM.D, AACC

The 2016 document was approved by the American College of Cardiology Board of Trustees and Executive Committee in March, 2016. The 2017 Focused Update was approved by the American College of Cardiology Clinical Policy Approval Committee in August, 2017.

The American College of Cardiology requests that this document be cited as follows: Lloyd-Jones DM, Morris PB, Ballantyne CM, Birtcher KK, Daly DD Jr, DePalma SM, Minissian MB, Orringer CE, Smith SC Jr. 2017 focused update of the 2016 ACC expert consensus decision pathway on the role of non-statin therapies for LDL-cholesterol lowering in the management of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Decision Pathways. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2017;xx:xx-xx.

Copies: This document is available on the World Wide Web site of the American College of Cardiology (<http://www.acc.org>). For copies of this document, please contact Elsevier Reprint Department via fax (212) 633-3820 or e-mail ([reprints@elsevier.com](mailto:reprints@elsevier.com)).

Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the American College of Cardiology. Requests may be completed online via the Elsevier site (<https://www.elsevier.com/about-our-business/policies/copyright/permissions>).

**2016 Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents** James L. Januzzi, Jr, MD, FACC, *Chair*  
Luis C. Afonso, MBBS, FACC  
Anthony Bavry, MD, FACC  
Brendan M. Everett, MD, FACC  
Jonathan Halperin, MD, FACC  
Adrian Hernandez, MD, FACC  
Hani Jneid, MD, FACC  
Dharam J. Kumbhani, MD, SM, FACC

Eva M. Lonn, MD, FACC  
James K. Min, MD, FACC  
Pamela B. Morris, MD, FACC  
John Puskas, MD, FACC  
Karol E. Watson, MD, FACC  
Oussama Wazni, MD, FACC  
Howard Weitz, MD, FACC  
Barbara S. Wiggins, PHARM, AACC

#### ABSTRACT FOR THE 2017 FOCUSED UPDATE TO THE 2016 EXPERT CONSENSUS DECISION PATHWAY

In 2016, the American College of Cardiology published the first expert consensus decision pathway (ECDP) on the role of non-statin therapies for low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol lowering in the management of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk. Since the publication of that document, additional evidence and perspectives have emerged from randomized clinical trials and other sources, particularly considering the longer-term efficacy and safety of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors in secondary prevention of ASCVD. Most notably, the FOURIER (Further Cardiovascular Outcomes Research with PCSK9 Inhibition in Subjects with Elevated Risk) trial and SPIRE-1 and -2 (Studies of PCSK9 Inhibition and the Reduction of Vascular Events), assessing evolocumab and bococizumab, respectively, have published final results of cardiovascular outcomes trials in patients with clinical ASCVD and in a smaller number of high-risk primary prevention patients. In addition, further evidence on the types of patients most likely to benefit from the use of ezetimibe in addition to statin therapy after acute coronary syndrome has been published. Based on results from these important analyses, the ECDP writing committee judged that it would be desirable to provide a focused update to help guide clinicians more clearly on decision making regarding the use of ezetimibe and PCSK9 inhibitors in patients with clinical ASCVD with or without comorbidities. In the following summary table, changes from the 2016 ECDP to the 2017 ECDP Focused Update are highlighted, and a brief rationale is provided. The content of the full document has been changed accordingly, with more extensive and detailed guidance regarding decision making provided both in the text and in the updated algorithms. Revised recommendations are provided for patients with clinical ASCVD with or without comorbidities on statin therapy for secondary prevention. The ECDP writing committee judged that these new data did not warrant changes to the decision pathways and algorithms regarding the use of ezetimibe or PCSK9 inhibitors in primary prevention patients with LDL-C <190 mg/dL with or without diabetes mellitus or patients without ASCVD and LDL-C  $\geq$ 190 mg/dL not due to secondary causes. Based on feedback and further deliberation, the ECDP writing committee down-graded recommendations regarding bile acid sequestrant use, recommending bile acid sequestrants only as optional secondary agents for consideration in patients intolerant to ezetimibe. For clarification, the writing committee has also included new information on diagnostic categories of heterozygous and homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia, based on clinical criteria with and without genetic testing. Other changes to the original document were kept to a minimum to provide consistent guidance to clinicians, unless there was a compelling reason or new evidence, in which case justification is provided.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>PREFACE</b> .....	■	<b>3. ASSUMPTIONS AND DEFINITIONS</b> .....	■
Table 1. Summary of Changes in the 2017 Focused Update .....	■	<b>4. PATHWAY SUMMARY GRAPHIC</b> .....	■
<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	■	<b>5. DESCRIPTION AND RATIONALE: APPROACH TO PATIENT GROUPS WHO MAY BE CONSIDERED FOR ADDITIONAL THERAPY</b> .....	■
Rationale for Expert Consensus Decision Pathway .....	■	Adults $\geq$ 21 Years of Age With Clinical ASCVD, on Statin for Secondary Prevention—Figures 2A to 2C .....	■
<b>2. METHODS</b> .....	■	Stable clinical ASCVD without comorbidities, on statin for secondary prevention—Figure 2A .....	■
Background .....	■		
Process .....	■		

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5607261>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5607261>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)