Journal of Clinical Lipidology

Original Article

Myalgia;

Cognitive effects

Statin intolerance in a referral lipid clinic

Wanda C. Lakey, MD, MHS^{*}, Nicole G. Greyshock, MD, Carly E. Kelley, MD, MPH, Mohammad A. Siddiqui, Umar Ahmad, DO, Yuliya V. Lokhnygina, PhD, MS, MS, John R. Guyton, MD, FNLA

Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Metabolism, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA (Drs Lakey, Greyshock, Kelley and Guyton); Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Metabolism, Durham Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA (Drs Lakey, and Guyton); Dow International Medical College, Karachi, Pakistan (Mr

Siddiqui); Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center-Mercy Hospital, Pittsburgh, PA, USA (Dr Ahmad); and Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA (Dr Lokhnygina)

| <u> </u> | | |
|----------|---------------------|---|
| 23 | KEYWORDS: | BACKGROUND: Statins effectively prevent atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, but rates of statin |
| 24 | Statin; | discontinuation after adverse events are high. |
| 25 | Statin intolerance; | OBJECTIVE: Describe the range and relative frequencies of adverse events potentially attributable to |
| 26 | Adverse events; | statins in lipid referral practice and assess statin rechallenge outcomes. |
| 27 | Myopathy; | METHODS: Retrospective cohort study of 642 patients with statin-associated adverse events evalu- |

METHODS: Retrospective cohort study of 642 patients with statin-associated adverse events evaluated in a referral lipid clinic between January 1, 2004 and January 27, 2011.

RESULTS: Patients experiencing adverse events by organ system included 92% with musculoskeletal, 8% central nervous system, 10% liver, 8% gastrointestinal, 5% peripheral nervous system, 5% skin, and 3% other events. Overlap of organ system involvement occurred in 22.5%. At least 1 follow-up visit was made by 557 patients, among whom overall median follow-up was 25 months. Among patients treated with a statin in the clinic, 71% remained on a statin at the last follow-up visit. Patients with hepatic transaminase increases by history were numerically more likely than the overall group to reassume or remain on statin treatment, whereas those reporting central nervous system or gastrointestinal symptoms trended lower for statin maintenance. Among patients who experienced an adverse event after statin rechallenge, the majority (64%) were being treated with intermittent, nondaily dosing at the time of the adverse event.

CONCLUSION: Although musculoskeletal symptoms are reported by 90% of patients with statin intolerance, symptoms involving other organ systems may be more frequent than previously supposed. Understanding the range of symptoms, time course, and impact on daily activities informs counseling in patient-centered practice, but assessment of causation by statins remains challenging. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of National Lipid Association.

- The study was funded jointly by Regeneron and Sanofi.
- * Corresponding author. Box 3633, 200 Trent Drive, Durham, NC 27710, USA.
 - E-mail address: wanda.lakey@dm.duke.edu
- Submitted November 21, 2015. Accepted for publication March 4, 2016.

Treatment with a statin to lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol is a cornerstone for prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) in people with high risk, based on randomized clinical trials and emphasized in recent guidelines and recommendations.^{1,2} However, the

1933-2874/Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of National Lipid Association. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacl.2016.03.004

2

Journal of Clinical Lipidology, Vol ■, No ■, ■ 2016

103 fraction of people who discontinue statin treatment is high.³⁻⁵ In 2 academic clinical practice groups, 16% of 104 105 patients with an initial prescription discontinued statin 106 treatment, evidenced by no subsequent prescription over 107 1 year.⁵ Among respondents for a consumer panel survey of those who ever used statins, 12% were former and 108 88% current statin users.³ Among the current statin users, 109 110 25% reported muscle-related side effects that could potentially limit statin dosing. Thus, intolerance to statin therapy 111 112 limits adherence to lipid-lowering recommendations for many individuals with consequent failure to prevent 113 ASCVD.^{1,2} 114

115 A major discrepancy exists between high rates of statin 116 intolerance in clinical practice and the lack of support from 117 randomized clinical trials for biologic causation of muscu-118 loskeletal and other adverse effects associated with statins.^{3,6-8} In a systematic review of 26 trials including 119 113,695 participants, Ganga et al. found that muscle aches 120 121 or pains were reported by 12.7% of those assigned to statins 122 and 12.4% of placebo subjects, suggesting a difference of 123 only 0.3%.⁶ Misattribution of symptoms in practice un-124 doubtedly occurs, but the possibility that clinical trials 125 have not addressed the problem adequately must also be 126 considered. Subjects with predisposing comorbidities or 127 statin intolerance history may not qualify for or may avoid clinical trials, and others may terminate during run-in pe-128 129 riods. Assessment of graded symptomatic intolerance may require evaluative methods different from those used pri-130 marily for efficacy and safety.9,10 Few placebo-controlled 131 132 randomized trials have evaluated statin-associated adverse events as a primary endpoint.^{11,12} No validated clinical 133 134 scale for statin intolerance has been achieved.⁷

135 Faced with the disparate evidence, a consensus panel of the National Lipid Association identified at least 3 136 137 perspectives that dictate different definitions of statin intolerance in different settings: a patient-centered perspec-138 139 tive encountered in clinical practice, a population perspec-140 tive based on rigorous scientific evidence, and a regulatory or counseling or reimbursement perspective conceived as 141 142 mediating between the former two.^{9,10}

Reconciling these perspectives and addressing patient
concerns adequately requires a combination of observational studies and randomized clinical trials. Prior observational studies have focused on muscle problems, on
academic health system practice, on intermittent vs daily
statin dosing, and on symptoms reported by respondents to
advertising for statin-associated problems.^{4,5,8,13-15}

150 Here, we present a retrospective evaluation of 642 151 consecutive patients with statin intolerance seen in a single 152 academic referral lipid clinic over a 4-year period. We give 153 particular attention to defining the range of symptoms 154 described, variability of presentation, involvement of organ 155 systems other than muscle, and the results of statin therapy challenges while in the lipid clinic. The perspective of this 156 157 analysis is patient-centered, implying that the data attempt 158 to reflect without bias the patients' own descriptions of symptomatic adverse events.

A single attending lipid specialist (JRG) personally saw and evaluated every patient. Although the patient can be advised that not all intolerance is caused biologically by the statin, a patient-centered perspective requires that the medical provider defer to patient preference and judgment, and proceed toward the best mutually agreeable treatment course. Generally the safety of statins and the LDLreducing effectiveness of lower statin doses that avoid nontarget effects were emphasized in our clinic practice. In the great majority of cases, a suggestion was made to reinstitute the same or a different statin at a lower effective dose and to uptitrate slowly until the patient perceived interference with activities of daily living such as sleep, work, exercise, and enjoyment of leisure. This practice is similar to that described in a recent review.¹⁶

We undertake this patient-centered analysis of the breadth of statin-associated adverse effects with goals of improving counseling and facilitating provider-patient agreement in the practice setting, as well as informing the design of future randomized, double-blind trials of statin intolerance.

Methods

Study design

This is a retrospective cohort study of all consecutive adult patients (\geq 18 years) treated in the Duke University Medical Center Lipid Clinic between January 1, 2007 and January 27, 2011 with a putative diagnosis of a statinassociated adverse event recorded in the medical record. Lipid assessment and therapy were directly managed or supervised by one lipid specialist (JRG).

Demographic data, medical history, adverse events attributed to lipid-lowering therapies, prior and current medications, physical examination, and laboratory data were recorded in the medical record at each clinic visit as part of routine care. Statin-associated adverse events noted at the baseline clinic visit were defined as patient or referring provider reports of prior potential reactions to statin therapy. While treated in the lipid clinic, statinassociated adverse events were defined as adverse events temporally associated with and suspected by patient or provider as attributable to statin use. Adverse events were recorded if the patient developed a new symptom or had worsening of a pre-existing symptom during statin use. In addition, symptoms or laboratory abnormalities that led to a reduction in the statin total daily dose or discontinuation of statin were also recorded as an adverse event.

Study cohort

Adult patients with a putative diagnosis of statinassociated adverse events with at least one visit to the lipid clinic during the study period were included. The initial 204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5615280

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5615280

Daneshyari.com