



Research Article

The cerebrospinal fluid “Alzheimer profile”: Easily said, but what does it mean?

Flora H. Duits^{a,*}, Charlotte E. Teunissen^b, Femke H. Bouwman^a, Pieter-Jelle Visser^{a,c}, Niklas Mattsson^{d,e}, Henrik Zetterberg^{d,f}, Kaj Blennow^d, Oskar Hansson^g, Lennart Minthon^g, Niels Andreasen^h, Jan Marcussonⁱ, Anders Wallin^d, Marcel Olde Rikkert^j, Magda Tsolaki^k, Lucilla Parnetti^l, Sanna-Kaisa Herukka^m, Harald Hampelⁿ, Mony J. De Leon^o, Johannes Schröder^p, Dag Aarsland^q, Marinus A. Blankenstein^b, Philip Scheltens^a, Wiesje M. van der Flier^{a,r}

^aAlzheimer Center and Department of Neurology, Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^bDepartment of Clinical Chemistry, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^cDepartment of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, Institute of Brain and Behaviour, University of Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands

^dDepartment of Neurochemistry and Psychiatry, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, The Sahlgrenska Academy at University of Gothenburg, Mölndal, Sweden

^eDepartment of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Center for Imaging of Neurodegenerative Diseases, San Francisco, CA, USA

^fUCL Institute of Neurology, Queen Square, London, UK

^gClinical Memory Research Unit, Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

^hKI-Alzheimer Disease Research Centre, Karolinska Institute, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

ⁱDivision of Geriatric Medicine, Department of Neuroscience and Locomotion, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

^jDonders Institute for Brain Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud Alzheimer Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

^kMemory and Dementia Centre, 3rd Department of Neurology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, “G. Papanicolaou” General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

^lClinica Neurologica, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^mDepartment of Neurology, University of Eastern Finland and Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland

ⁿDépartement de Neurologie & Institut de la Mémoire et de la Maladie d'Alzheimer Pavillon, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, François Lhermitte Hôpital de la Salpêtrière, Paris, France

^oCenter for Brain Health, New York University, School of Medicine, New York, NY, USA

^pSektion Gerontopsychiatrie, Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany

^qCentre for Age-Related Medicine, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway

^rDepartment of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Abstract

Background: We aimed to identify the most useful definition of the “cerebrospinal fluid Alzheimer profile,” based on amyloid- β_{1-42} ($A\beta_{42}$), total tau, and phosphorylated tau (p-tau), for diagnosis and prognosis of Alzheimer's disease (AD).

Methods: We constructed eight Alzheimer profiles with previously published combinations, including regression formulas and simple ratios. We compared their diagnostic accuracy and ability

None of the sponsors had any role in the design of the study; collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data; writing of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Dr. Teunissen is a member of the Innogenetics International Advisory Board. Dr. Hampel was supported by the Katharina-Hardt-Foundation, Bad Homburg, Germany. Dr. De Leon is listed as an author on image analysis patents managed by NYU, receives research support from the NIH (grants AG36502, AG12101, AG22374, and AG13616), and serves as

consultant to Roche and Vayacog. Dr. Scheltens has served as consultant for Wyeth-Elan, Genentech, Danone, and Novartis and received funding for travel from Pfizer, Elan, Janssen, and Danone Research. Drs. Duits, Bouwman, Visser, Mattsson, Zetterberg, Blennow, Hansson, Minthon, Andreasen, Marcusson, Wallin, Olde Rikkert, Tsolaki, Parnetti, Herukka, Schröder, Aarsland, Blankenstein, and van der Flier report no conflicts of interest.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +31-20-4440183; Fax: +31-20-4448529.

E-mail address: F.Duits@vumc.nl

to predict dementia due to AD in 1385 patients from the Amsterdam Dementia Cohort. Results were validated in an independent cohort ($n = 1442$).

Results: Combinations outperformed individual biomarkers. Based on the sensitivity of the best performing regression formulas, cutoffs were chosen at 0.52 for the tau/ $A\beta_{42}$ ratio and 0.08 for the p-tau/ $A\beta_{42}$ ratio. Ratios performed similar to formulas (sensitivity, 91%–93%; specificity, 81%–84%). The same combinations best predicted cognitive decline in mild cognitive impairment patients. Validation confirmed these results, especially regarding the tau/ $A\beta_{42}$ ratio.

Conclusions: A tau/ $A\beta_{42}$ ratio of >0.52 constitutes a robust cerebrospinal fluid Alzheimer profile. We recommend using this ratio to combine biomarkers.

© 2014 The Alzheimer's Association. All rights reserved.

Keywords:

Dementia; Alzheimer's disease; Mild cognitive impairment; Cerebrospinal fluid; Amyloid- β_{1-42} ; Tau; Biomarkers; Differential diagnosis; Predictive value

1. Introduction

The cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers amyloid- β_{1-42} ($A\beta_{42}$), total tau, and tau phosphorylated at threonine 181 (p-tau) have been extensively studied in Alzheimer's disease (AD) [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]. They seem promising diagnostic biomarkers [10,11] and have now been included as evidence for AD pathology in the new research criteria for AD [12,13,14]. CSF biomarkers have not yet been implemented in clinical guidelines however, and the research criteria do not specify how exactly they should be used. This is partly due to the lack of standardization between centers, currently targeted in an international quality control program [15,16]. Furthermore, there is no consensus on what constitutes a “CSF Alzheimer profile.” Previous studies are fairly consistent that combining biomarkers is superior to the use of a single marker to diagnose AD. However, some promote a simple ratio of two biomarkers [4,17,18], whereas others developed weighted regression formulas or other algorithms [1,5,6,7,9,19,20]. Most of these combinations were developed in single-center studies, hampering their generalization and implementation in clinical practice.

Our aim was to identify which of these previously published combinations constitutes the optimal CSF “AD profile,” to answer the most relevant clinical questions in a memory clinic: to differentiate AD patients from cognitively normal subjects and other dementias and predict dementia due to AD in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). We compared eight different combinations of biomarkers, previously coined to describe an AD profile, in a large cohort of patients from our memory clinic. To assess generalizability of the results, we repeated the analyses in an independent multicenter cohort.

2. Methods

2.1. Patients

We included 1385 patients from our memory clinic-based Amsterdam Dementia Cohort who received a diagnosis of subjective memory complaints, MCI, AD, or other dementia and had baseline CSF collected between October

1999 and November 2011. All patients underwent extensive dementia screening at baseline, with physical and neurologic examination, electroencephalography, magnetic resonance imaging, and laboratory tests. Neuropsychological assessment was performed in all patients and included at least Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) for global cognition, visual association test for memory, forward and backward Digit Span for attention and working memory, and Trail Making Tests A and B for mental speed and executive function. Tests are described in detail elsewhere [21]. Diagnoses were made by consensus in a multidisciplinary team without knowledge of CSF results. At any follow-up visit, patient history, neuropsychological tests, and physical and neurologic examination were repeated.

Probable AD ($n = 631$) was diagnosed according to the criteria of the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke–Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association [22], and all patients met the core clinical National Institute of Aging–Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) criteria [14]. Other dementia diagnoses were made according to standard criteria: consensus criteria for frontotemporal lobar degeneration (FTLD; $n = 121$) [23], McKeith criteria [24] for dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB; $n = 57$), National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS)–Association Internationale pour la Recherche et l'Enseignement en Neurosciences [25] for vascular dementia (VaD; $n = 40$), criteria by Boeve et al. [26] for corticobasal degeneration ($n = 15$), and NINDS–Society for Progressive Supranuclear Palsy [27] for progressive supranuclear palsy ($n = 25$). Furthermore, there were three patients with alcohol dementia, two with Huntington's disease, three with normal pressure hydrocephalus, and one patient with CADASIL (cerebral autosomal dominant arteriopathy with subcortical infarcts and leukoencephalopathy). Three patients with Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease and extremely high tau values were excluded from further analyses. MCI was diagnosed according to the criteria by Petersen et al. [28], and all patients met the core clinical NIA-AA criteria [29]. MCI patients with follow-up of at least 1 year were included ($n = 236$). When all clinical investigations were

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5622723>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5622723>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)