

Featured Article

The impact of automated hippocampal volumetry on diagnostic confidence in patients with suspected Alzheimer's disease: A European Alzheimer's Disease Consortium study

Paolo Bosco^a, Alberto Redolfi^a, Martina Bocchetta^b, Clarissa Ferrari^c, Anna Mega^a, Samantha Galluzzi^a, Mark Austin^d, Andrea Chincarini^e, D. Louis Collins^{f,g}, Simon Duchesne^g, Bénédicte Maréchal^{h,i,j}, Alexis Roche^{h,i,j}, Francesco Sensi^e, Robin Wolz^d, Montserrat Alegret^k, Frederic Assal^l, Mircea Balasa^m, Christine Bastinⁿ, Anastasia Bougea^o, Derya Durusu Emek-Savas^{p,q}, Sebastiaan Engelborghs^{r,s}, Timo Grimmer^t, Galina Grosu^u, Milica G. Kramberger^v, Brian Lawlor^w, Gorana Mandic Stojmenovic^x, Mihaela Marinescu^y, Patrizia Mecocci^z, José Luis Molinuevo^m, Ricardo Morais^{aa}, Ellis Niemantsverdriet^r, Flavio Nobili^{bb}, Konstantinos Ntovas^{cc}, Sarah O'Dwyer^w, George P. Paraskevas^o, Luca Pelini^z, Agnese Picco^{bb,dd}, Eric Salmonⁿ, Isabel Santana^{ee}, Oscar Sotolongo-Grau^k, Luiza Spuru^{ff,gg}, Elka Stefanova^{x,hh}, Katarina Surlan Popovicⁱⁱ, Magda Tsolaki^{cc}, Görsev G. Yener^{q,jj,kk}, Dina Zekry^{ll}, Giovanni B. Frisoni^{a,dd,*}

^aLaboratory of Alzheimer's Neuroimaging and Epidemiology, IRCCS Istituto Centro S. Giovanni di Dio Fatebenefratelli, Brescia, Italy

^bDepartment of Neurodegenerative Disease, Dementia Research Centre, UCL Institute of Neurology, London, UK

^cIRCCS Istituto Centro S. Giovanni di Dio Fatebenefratelli, Brescia, Italy

^dIXICO Plc, London, UK

^eINFN, Genova, Italy

^fMcConnell Brain Imaging Centre, Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

^gTrue Positive Medical Devices Inc., Quebec City, Quebec, Canada

^hAdvanced Clinical Imaging Technology (HC CMEA SUI DI BM PI), Siemens Healthcare AG, Lausanne, Switzerland

ⁱDepartment of Radiology, University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland

^jLTSS, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

^kAlzheimer Research Center and Memory Clinic, Fundació ACE, Institut Català de Neurociències Aplicades, Barcelona, Spain

^lUniversity Hospitals and University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

^mAlzheimer's and Other Cognitive Disorder Unit, Hospital Clinic, Barcelona, Spain

ⁿGIGA-CRC In vivo Imaging and Memory Clinic, University of Liège, Liège, Belgium

^oFirst Department of Neurology, Eginition Hospital Kapodistrian University, Medical School of Athens, Athens, Greece

^pDepartment of Psychology, Dokuz Eylül University, Izmir, Turkey

^qIzmir International Biomedicine and Genome Center, Dokuz Eylül University, Izmir, Turkey

^rReference Center for Biological Markers of Dementia (BIODEM), University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

^sMemory Clinic and Department of Neurology, Hospital Network Antwerp (ZNA) Hoge Beuken and Middelheim, Antwerp, Belgium

^tKlinikum rechts der Isar, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany

^uRadiology and Medical Imagery, Elias University Clinical Hospital, Bucharest, Romania

^vDepartment of Neurology, Centre for Cognitive Impairments, University Medical Center Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

^wMercer's Institute for Successful Ageing, St. James's Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

^xInstitute of Neurology, CCS, Belgrade, Serbia

^yDepartment of Geriatrics-Gerontology and Old Age Psychiatry, Elias University Clinic, Bucharest, Romania

^zIstituto di Gerontologia e Geriatria, Università degli Studi di Perugia, Perugia, Italy

^{aa}Medical Imaging Department, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

^{bb}Clinical Neurology (DINOEMI), University of Genoa and IRCCS AOU San Martino-IST, Genoa, Italy

^{cc}3rd Department of Neurology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +39-030-350136 or +41-22-305-57-60.

E-mail address: gfrisoni@fatebenefratelli.eu

^{dd}Memory Clinic and LANVIE–Laboratory of Neuroimaging of Aging, University Hospitals and University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

^{ee}Neurology Department, Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

^{ff}Carol Davila University of Medicine, Bucharest, Romania

^{gg}Ana Aslan Intl Foundation-Memory Clinic, Bucharest, Romania

^{hh}Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

ⁱⁱClinical Institute of Radiology, University Medical Center Ljubljana, Slovenia

^{jj}Department of Neurology, Dokuz Eylül University, Izmir, Turkey

^{kk}Brain Dynamics Multidisciplinary Research Center, Dokuz Eylül University, Izmir, Turkey

^{ll}Department of Internal Medicine, Rehabilitation and Geriatrics, University Hospitals and University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

Abstract

Introduction: Hippocampal volume is a core biomarker of Alzheimer's disease (AD). However, its contribution over the standard diagnostic workup is unclear.

Methods: Three hundred fifty-six patients, under clinical evaluation for cognitive impairment, with suspected AD and Mini-Mental State Examination ≥ 20 , were recruited across 17 European memory clinics. After the traditional diagnostic workup, diagnostic confidence of AD pathology (DCAD) was estimated by the physicians in charge. The latter were provided with the results of automated hippocampal volumetry in standardized format and DCAD was reassessed.

Results: An increment of one interquartile range in hippocampal volume was associated with a mean change of DCAD of -8.0% (95% credible interval: $[-11.5, -5.0]$). Automated hippocampal volumetry showed a statistically significant impact on DCAD beyond the contributions of neuropsychology, ^{18}F -fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/single-photon emission computed tomography, and cerebrospinal fluid markers (-8.5 , CrI: $[-11.5, -5.6]$; -14.1 , CrI: $[-19.3, -8.8]$; -10.6 , CrI: $[-14.6, -6.1]$, respectively).

Discussion: There is a measurable effect of hippocampal volume on DCAD even when used on top of the traditional diagnostic workup.

© 2017 the Alzheimer's Association. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords:

Alzheimer's disease; Hippocampal volume; Biomarkers; Diagnostic confidence of AD; Medial temporal lobe atrophy

1. Introduction

Over the last decade, many steps have been performed to improve and update the diagnostic criteria of Alzheimer's disease (AD) [1–3]. The International Working Group [4,5] criteria stated that positivity of one or more biomarkers of brain amyloidosis and neuronal injury is associated with a high likelihood of AD.

Specifically, the core AD biomarkers are divided into (1) amyloidosis biomarkers (decreased levels of amyloid β 42 [A β 42] in the cerebrospinal fluid [CSF] and increased binding of amyloid brain imaging ligands on positron emission tomography [PET]) and (2) neuronal injury biomarkers such as medial temporal atrophy (MTA), hippocampal volume reduction (both assessed on T1-weighted magnetic resonance images [MRIs] [6,7]), increased total tau or phosphotau CSF levels, cortical, temporoparietal, and posterior cingulate cortex hypometabolism on ^{18}F -fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET), and hypoperfusion on single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT).

However, the authors of these revised criteria are extremely cautious on recommending the use of these biomarkers in a clinical setting [2]. Indeed, the clinical use of most, if not all, of the biomarkers mentioned previously is affected by the lack of standard operating procedures for their assessment [8,9]. Furthermore, to show that a proposed biomarker

combination can significantly enhance the diagnostic accuracy over the pure clinical workup, a proper validation is needed [3]. Some longitudinal studies have been promoted to assess whether an extended range of biomarkers, added to the traditional clinical assessment, can improve the diagnostic accuracy, and their role is still under discussion [10]. Preliminary results suggest that a combination of imaging (in particular, the assessment of hippocampal volume and regional glucose metabolism by FDG-PET) and CSF biomarkers (in particular, A β 42 levels) can improve prediction of progression from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to AD dementia, compared to baseline clinical testing [11–16].

Among these core AD biomarkers, hippocampal volume is one of the most established and validated [17], and it is used in research studies to stage the progression of AD neurodegeneration across the entire spectrum of the disease [18]. Moreover, there is a widespread agreement on its clinical significance, even if its validation process in a clinical framework is still ongoing [19]. Recently, an important effort has been made to improve the accuracy and reproducibility of manual hippocampal volume measurements thanks to the Harmonized Protocol for Hippocampal Segmentation project [18,20]. However, manual segmentation is not feasible in routine clinical practice because it is a time-consuming task that requires highly trained operators. For this reason, fully automated hippocampal volumetry using

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5622794>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5622794>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)