ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Clinical Neuroscience

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jocn



Clinical commentary

Changing paradigm in the management of elderly patients with intracranial aneurysms: An institutional review



Oleg Y. Chernyshev ^{a,1}, Shyamal C. Bir ^{b,1}, Tanmoy K. Maiti ^b, Devi Prasad Patra ^b, Cesar Liendo ^a, Hugo Cuellar ^b, Alireza Minagar ^a, Anil Nanda ^{b,*}

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 February 2017 Accepted 21 May 2017

Keywords: Intracranial aneurysms Practice pattern Predictors Elderly patients

ABSTRACT

Optimal treatment of intracranial aneurysms (IAs) in elderly patients has not yet been well established. We have investigated the clinical and radiological outcomes and predictors of unfavorable outcome of IAs in elderly patients. Radiological and clinical data of 85 elderly patients from 2010 through 2015 were retrospectively reviewed. Significant differences between the groups were determined by a chi-square test. Regression analysis was performed to identify the predictors of unfavorable outcome. Among the 85 patients with IAs, the number of patients with >7 mm size aneurysm (p = 0.01), diabetes mellitus (DM) (p = 0.02), smoking (0.009) and Hunt and Hess grade 4-5 (p = 0.003) was significantly higher in the ruptured group compared to the unruptured group. Similarly, the number of patients who underwent clipping was higher in the ruptured aneurysm group (p = 0.01). The overall clinical outcome was comparatively better in the unruptured group (p = 0.03); however, microsurgical clipping of aneurysms provides a significantly higher rate of complete aneurysmal occlusion (p = 0.008). Overall, there was no significant difference in outcome in respect to treatment approach. In regression analysis, hypertension (HTN), obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), prior stroke, ruptured aneurysms and partial occlusion of aneurysms were identified as predictors of unfavorable outcome of IAs. Intracranial aneurysms in elderly patients reveals that endovascular treatment provides better clinical outcome; however, microsurgical clipping yields higher complete occlusion. Retreatment of residual aneurysms was comparatively more in the coiling group. Practice pattern has shifted from clipping to coiling for aneurysms in posterior circulation but not for aneurysms in anterior circulation.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

The number of elderly population is increasing globally due to medical advances [1]. In the USA, the elderly population has increased from 12 million in 1950 to 42 million in 2014, and it is expected to continue growing at a more rapid rate [2]. As this population expands, the number of patients with intracranial aneurysms (IAs) will increase in this particular population [1–3]. In addition, the development of less invasive and higher quality diagnostic tools including computerized tomographic angiography (CTA) and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), has made diagnosing IAs easier [1]. However, coexisting comorbidities in elderly people sometime make it difficult to decide a suitable treatment

procedure for them [1]. There is currently no general consensus on the optimum treatment for elderly people with IAs, and it is imperative to develop specific strategies of treatment for this particular population [3]. Recently, advances in less invasive techniques such as an endovascular procedure have challenged traditional microsurgical clipping of aneurysms [3–5]. Changes in practice type was also observed with shifting of microsurgical clipping to coiling for IAs in posterior circulation [6]. In this study, we have retrospectively reviewed our recent experience in treating elderly patients with IAs who underwent either endovascular treatment or microsurgical clipping.

2. Method and materials

This study was performed after approval of our institutional review board and in compliance with Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) regulations. Clinical and radiological data and outcomes of patients with IAs who underwent either

^a Department of Neurology and Sleep Medicine, LSU Health-Shreveport, 1501 Kings Highway, Shreveport, LA 71130-3932, USA

^b Department of Neurosurgery, LSU Health-Shreveport, 1501 Kings Highway, Shreveport, LA 71130-3932, USA

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Neurosurgery, Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center-Shreveport, 1501 Kings Highway, PO Box 33932, Shreveport, LA 71130-3932, USA. Fax: +1 (318)675 7111.

E-mail address: ananda@lsuhsc.edu (A. Nanda).

 $^{^{1}\,}$ Both the authors have equally contributed to the current manuscript.

coiling or microsurgical clipping between January 2010 and December 2015 were collected by review of their medical records and relevant imaging.

3. Approach to treating elderly patients with aneurysms

At our center, we prefer to perform endovascular coiling for elderly patients with IAs, especially those with comorbidities. The reason for choosing coiling for them are their comparatively shorter life expectancy and lesser procedure-related morbidity and mortality. We use the same technical concept for patients of any age to secure complete embolization. However, those are having less comorbidities, with indication for clipping, allow us to do microsurgical clipping.

4. Endovascular procedure

Embolization was performed soon after admission in the patients with unruptured aneurysms. In patients with ruptured aneurysms, endovascular treatment was done within three days after subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH). A femoral approach was used in all patients with IAs. A 6F guiding catheter (Cordis Neurovascular, Miami Lakes, Fla) was used. A Tracker Excel-14 microcatheter and a Transend EX or platinum 14-guidewire (Boston Scientific) were used for selective catheterization of the aneurysm. Axium Detachable Coil (Covidien EV3, Plymouth, MN 55441, USA) was used for selective for aneurysmal embolization. Neuroform EZ stent (Boston/Stryker, Billerica, MA 01821) was used in those who were treated with a stent. We used MYNXGRIP vascular closing device (Dublin, OH 43017) for the balloon-remodeling technique. Angio-Seal Evolution, ST JUDE MEDICAL (St. Paul, MN55117-9983 USA) was used for closing and hemostasis at the femoral puncture site.

Table 1 Patients' demographics.

Total patients Age Median Range Gender Male Female Ethnicity Caucasians African Americans Number of aneurysms Single Mety Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured Size Aneurysm Neck Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured Grade I Grade II	Variables	Value
Age Median Range Gender Male Female Ethnicity Caucasians African Americans Number of aneurysms Single Multiple Aneurysm Aneurysm Size Aniverysm Aneurysm Aneurysm Size Aniverysm Aneurysm Aneurysm Size Aniverysm Aneurysm Alexan Aneurysm Aneury	Valiables	value
Median 70 Range 65-84 Gender 65-84 Male 22 (26%) Female 63 (74%) Ethnicity 20 Caucasians 61 (72%) African Americans 24 (28%) Number of aneurysms 24 (28%) Number of aneurysms 65 (76.5%) Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade II 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)<	Total patients	85
Range 65–84 Gender Male 22 (26%) Female 63 (74%) Ethnicity Caucasians 61 (72%) African Americans 24 (28%) Number of aneurysms Single 65 (76.5%) Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size Aneurysm 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade II 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade IV 6 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)	C	
Gender Male Female Ethnicity Caucasians African Americans Single Multiple Caper Size Aneurysm Neck Aneurysm Neck Aneurysm Siage Aneurysm Size Aut ± 3.70 Neck Size Aneurysm Size Aut ± 3.70 Neck Size Size Aneurysm Size Aut ± 3.70 Neck Size Size Aneurysm Size Aut ± 3.70 Size Size Size Aneurysm Size Aut ± 3.70 Size Size Size Aut ± 3.70 Size Size Size Size Size Size Size Size	Median	
Male 22 (26%) Female 63 (74%) Ethnicity 63 (74%) Caucasians 61 (72%) African Americans 24 (28%) Number of aneurysms 24 (28%) Single 65 (76.5%) Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI > 30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)	Ü	65-84
Female 63 (74%) Ethnicity Caucasians 61 (72%) African Americans 24 (28%) Number of aneurysms Single 65 (76.5%) Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size Aneurysm 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		
Ethnicity Caucasians 61 (72%) African Americans 24 (28%) Number of aneurysms Single 65 (76.5%) Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size Aneurysm 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)	mare	, ,
Caucasians 61 (72%) African Americans 24 (28%) Number of aneurysms 5ingle 65 (76.5%) Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size Aneurysm 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		63 (74%)
African Americans Number of aneurysms Single Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size Aneurysm Neck Aneurysm Neck Aneurysm Single Aneurysm Size Aneurysm Aneurysm State Aneurysm Aneurysm Aneurysm Aneurysm Aneurysm Aneurysm Aneurysm Aneurysm Aneurysm State Aneurysm Aneurysm State Aneurysm Aneurysm Aneurysm State Aneurysm Aneurysm State Aneurysm Aneurysm State Aneurysm Aneurys	•	
Number of aneurysms Single 65 (76.5%) Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size Aneurysm 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade IV 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade IV 6 (18%) Frior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		, ,
Single 65 (76.5%) Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size 3.8 ± 1.8 Aneurysm 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI > 30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		24 (28%)
Multiple 20 (23.5%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Size 3.8 ± 1.8 Aneurysm 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)	3	
Ruptured 27 (32%) Size 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade II 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)	C	
Size Aneurysm 8.4 ± 3.70 Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		, ,
Aneurysm Neck 3.8 ± 1.8 Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I Grade II 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 5 (18%) Grade V 20 (32%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		27 (32%)
Neck 3.8 ± 1.8	Size	
Hunt and Hess scale Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		
Unruptured 58 (68%) Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		3.8 ± 1.8
Ruptured 27 (32%) Grade I 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		
Grade I 4 (15%) Grade II 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI > 30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)	•	, ,
Grade II 4 (15%) Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI > 30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		, ,
Grade III 11 (41%) Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		` '
Grade IV 5 (18%) Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		` '
Grade V 3 (11%) Comorbidities BMI >30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		
Comorbidities BMI >30		
BMI > 30 28 (33%) Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		3 (11%)
Hyperlipidemia 21 (25%) HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		
HTN 71 (83.5%) DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		, ,
DM 19 (22.4%) CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		
CHD 16 (19%) Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)	HTN	
Prior Stroke 26 (31%) Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)	DM	
Smoking 39 (46%) Depression 15 (18%)		
Depression 15 (18%)		, ,
1 ,	•	` ,
OSA 12 (14%)		, ,
	OSA	12 (14%)

5. Microsurgical clipping

The aneurysms in anterior circulation were mostly approached by standard pterional craniotomy with or without orbitozygomatic osteotomy [7]. All basilar artery aneurysms were clipped by pterional approach. In some cases, skull base modification technique such as orbitozygomatic osteotomy and or drilling of posterior clinoid was performed [7,8]. Proximal posterior inferior cerebellar artery and vertebrobasilar junction aneurysms were clipped by far lateral approach [9]. The extent of aneurysmal occlusion was observed directly just after treatment. Within three days after the coiling procedure, all patients underwent magnetic resonance (MR) imaging including diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI). Then after 6 months of follow-up, vessel status was observed with digital subtraction angiography (DSA) by a neuroradiologist experienced in aneurysm therapy.

6. Diagnosis of OSA

OSA was screened by using STOPBANG questionnaire and confirmed by polysomnography.

6.1. Hunt and Hess scale

In the present study, we have graded the patients' pre-operative clinical symptoms using the Hunt and Hess scale (H and H 0 = unruptured aneurysms, H and H 1 = asymptomatic or slight headache and neck stiffness, H and H 2 = moderate to severe headache and neck stiffness, H and H 3 = drowsy and minimal neurological deficits, H and H 4 = stuporous and moderate to severe hemiparesis, H and H 5 = coma).

Table 2 Locations of aneurysms.

Variables Value Location 72 (84.6%) ICA 2 (2.4) ACA 4 (4.7%) Acom 18 (21.2%) PCom 13 (15.2%) MCA 13 (15.2%) Opthalmic 11 (12.9%) Paraclinoidal 4 (4.7%) Superior hypophyseal 1 (1.2%) Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Colling <	Locations of affeurysins.	
Anterior Circulation ICA ICA ACA ACA ACA ACA ACA ACA ACA ACA	Variables	Value
ICA 2 (2.4) ACA 4 (4.7%) Acom 18 (21.2%) PCom 13 (15.2%) MCA 13 (15.2%) MCA 13 (15.2%) Paraclinoidal 4 (4.7%) Superior hypophyseal 1 (1.2%) Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar 5 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Atxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Location	
ACA 4 (4.7%) Acom 18 (21.2%) PCom 13 (15.2%) MCA 13 (15.2%) Opthalmic 11 (12.9%) Opthalmic 11 (12.9%) Paraclinoidal 4 (4.7%) Superior hypophyseal 1 (1.2%) Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar 5 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Anterior Circulation	72 (84.6%)
Acom 18 (21.2%) PCom 13 (15.2%) MCA 13 (15.2%) MCA 13 (15.2%) Opthalmic 11 (12.9%) Paraclinoidal 4 (4.7%) Superior hypophyseal 1 (1.2%) Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	ICA	2 (2.4)
PCom 13 (15.2%) MCA 13 (15.2%) MCA 13 (15.2%) Opthalmic 11 (12.9%) Paraclinoidal 4 (4.7%) Superior hypophyseal 1 (1.2%) Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24	ACA	4 (4.7%)
MCA 13 (15.2%) Opthalmic 11 (12.9%) Paraclinoidal 4 (4.7%) Superior hypophyseal 1 (1.2%) Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted	Acom	18 (21.2%)
Opthalmic 11 (12.9%) Paraclinoidal 4 (4.7%) Superior hypophyseal 1 (1.2%) Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	PCom	13 (15.2%)
Paraclinoidal 4 (4.7%) Superior hypophyseal 1 (1.2%) Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	MCA	13 (15.2%)
Superior hypophyseal 1 (1.2%) Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) Kon III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Opthalmic	11 (12.9%)
Anterior choroidal 2 (2.4%) Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Paraclinoidal	4 (4.7%)
Pericallosal 1 (1.2%) Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature 62 (73%) Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Superior hypophyseal	1 (1.2%)
Cavernous 3 (3.5%) Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Anterior choroidal	2 (2.4%)
Posterior circulation 13 (15.4%) Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Headache 62 (73%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Pericallosal	
Basilar 4 (4.7%) Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Cavernous	
Basilar tip 6 (7.1%) VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Posterior circulation	13 (15.4%)
VA 1 (1.2%) PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Basilar	4 (4.7%)
PICA 2 (2.4%) Clinical feature 62 (73%) Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Basilar tip	
Clinical feature Headache Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	VA	
Headache 62 (73%) Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		2 (2.4%)
Dizziness 7 (8.2%) Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 49 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Clinical feature	
Visual impairment 4 (4.7%) Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Headache	
Altered mental status 3 (3.5%) Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Dizziness	
Aphasia 1 (1.2%) LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		` ,
LOC 2 (2.4%) Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		
Hemiparesis 4 (4.7%) CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		
CN III palsy 3 (3.5%) Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	LOC	
Ataxia 2 (2.4%) Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		
Treatment status Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		
Coiling 49 (57.6%) Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)	Ataxia	2 (2.4%)
Clipping 27 (31.8%) Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		
Observation 9 (10.6%) Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		
Adjuvant with coiling Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		
Stent assisted 24 (28.2%) Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		9 (10.6%)
Balloon assisted 5 (5.9%)		
Thrombolysis 3 (3.5%)		
	Thrombolysis	3 (3.5%)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5629637

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5629637

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>