### ARTICLE IN PRESS

INTEGR MED RES XXX (2016) XXX-XXX

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

### Integrative Medicine Research

journal homepage: www.imr-journal.com

#### Commentary

### "Acupuncture for motor symptom improvement in Parkinson's disease and the potential identification of responders to acupuncture treatment"

#### Jungtae Leem<sup>a,b,\*,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Korean Medicine Clinical Trial Center, Kyung Hee University Korean Medicine Hospital, Seoul, Korea

<sup>b</sup> Department of Clinical Research of Korean Medicine, College of Korean Medicine, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 May 2016 Received in revised form 25 June 2016 Accepted 28 June 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords: Acupuncture Parkinson Disease Responder Observational study

#### 1. Summary of Focal Article

#### 1.1. Focal Article

Wang F, Sun L, Zhang XZ, Jia J, Liu Z, Huang XY, Yu SY, Zuo LJ, Cao CJ, Wang XM, Zhang W. Effect and Potential Mechanism of Electroacupuncture Add-On Treatment in Patients with Parkinson's Disease. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2015;2015:692795. doi: 10.1155/2015/692795. Epub 2015 ${\rm Aug}\,13^1$ 

#### 1.2. Corresponding author of the focal article

#### Wei Zhang

Address: Department of Geriatrics, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100050, China E-mail: ttyyzw@163.com

Please cite this article in press as: Leem J. "Acupuncture for motor symptom improvement in Parkinson's disease and the potential identification of responders to acupuncture treatment". Integr Med Res (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.imr.2016.06.006

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author. Korean Medicine Clinical Trial Center, Kyung Hee University Korean Medicine Hospital, Hoegi-dong, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul, Korea Telephone : +82-2-958-9490(Office) Fax: +numbers : none.

E-mail address: julcho@naver.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> M.D of Korean Medicine and Master degree of Internal Medicine of Korean Medicine

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.imr.2016.06.006

<sup>2213-4220/© 2016</sup> Korea Institute of Oriental Medicine. Published by Elsevier. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 2. Aim

The authors aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of electroacupuncture (EA) and explore its mechanisms in Parkinson's disease (PD) patients when used as an adjunctive therapy to conventional drugs.

#### 3. Design

This study was a randomized, controlled (EA + drug vs. drug alone), assessor-blind, single-center, pilot trial.

#### 4. Setting

PD patients were recruited from May 2011 to May 2012 in the Department of Geriatrics and Neurology of Beijing Tiantan Hospital at Capital University.

#### 5. Participants

Fifty PD patients using a stable dosage of anti-PD medication for at least two months without adverse effects were recruited. Patients were diagnosed by a neurologist according to the UK Parkinson's Disease Society Brain Bank criteria<sup>2</sup>. Patients with Parkinson plus syndrome or secondary Parkinson syndrome were not included.

Thirty patients were allocated to the treatment group (EA + drug). Twenty patients were allocated to the control group (drug only). During the clinical trial period, patients were not allowed to change their medication. Two patients dropped out due to PD medication changes.

#### 6. Intervention

Needles 0.25 mm in diameter and 40 mm in length (Huatuo) were inserted into the following 6 acupoints based on previous studies: <sup>3,4</sup> bilateral GB20 (Pungji) and LI4 (Hapgok), and central GV16 (Pungbu) and GV14 (Daechu). The needles were stimulated using the following parameters for 30 minutes: 9 V, 1 A, 9 W, and 100 Hz (KWD-808-II; Yingdi, China). One acupuncture treatment course is composed of 10 sessions that occur every 3 days. Two treatment courses, equating to a total of 20 sessions of acupuncture treatment, were performed over 2 months. Participants who skipped more than 2 sessions of treatment were eliminated from the trial.

#### 7. Main Outcome Measures

#### 7.1. Assessment schedule

Assessments were performed 12 hours after the latest intake of PD medication. Treatment group patients were assessed after the completion of the entire EA treatment course. Control group patients were assessed 2 months after the baseline assessment date.

#### 7.2. Motor symptoms and motor complications

The following items from the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) III were used: total score, items 20 and 21 (tremor), item 22 (rigidity), and items 23-26 (bradykinesia). Hoehn–Yahr (H-Y) stage ratings were used to indicate the severity of PD and the UPDRS IV was used to assess motor complications.

#### 7.3. Non-motor symptoms

Non-motor symptoms were assessed using the following tests: Nonmotor Symptoms Quest (NMSQ), including the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA); Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) for the assessment of cognitive function; Hamilton

Depression Scale (HAMD) 24-item test for the assessment of depression, Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAMA) 14-item test for the assessment of anxiety, and Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) for the assessment of sleep quality. <sup>1</sup>

# 7.4. Activity of Daily Life (ADL) and Quality of Life (QOL)

The UPDRS II and the ADL scale were used to assess ADL. QOL was evaluated using the Parkinson's Disease Quality of Life Questionnaire (PDQ) 39 item test.

# 7.5. Neuroinflammatory factors and Neurotransmitters in Serum

The following neuroinflammatory factors were measured: nitric oxide (NO), tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin-1 $\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ), and prostaglandin (PG) E2.

The following neurotransmitters were measured: dopamine (DA), acetylcholine (Ach), norepinephrine (NE), and 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT).

#### 8. Main Results

## 8.1. Comparison between treatment and control groups

The UPDRS III score changes were significantly different before and after treatment. The change in the UPDRS III score was  $4.9 \pm 4.8$  in the treatment group and  $2.3 \pm 3.0$  in the control group. The change in the PSQI was 1.0 (0.0-2.0) in the treatment group, which was significant, while it was 0 in the control group. However, the H-Y stage, HAMD, HAMA, MMSE, and MoCA were not different between the two groups. The only biomarker that was significantly different following the treatment was the NO level (treatment group, 53.18 [6.42-64.51]; control group, 80.49 [62.15-107.57]).

### 8.2. Within-group comparisons (before vs. after comparison in the treatment group)

The motor symptoms evaluated using the UPDRS III score (25.6  $\pm$  2.8 to 20.6  $\pm$  2.7), and the tremor (4.9  $\pm$  4.4 to 3.4  $\pm$  3.9),

Please cite this article in press as: Leem J. "Acupuncture for motor symptom improvement in Parkinson's disease and the potential identification of responders to acupuncture treatment". Integr Med Res (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.imr.2016.06.006

2

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5635165

Download Persian Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/article/5635165

Daneshyari.com