ARTICLE IN PRESS

Indian Journal of Medical Specialities xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Indian Journal of Medical Specialities

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/injms



Review article

Screening instruments for assessment of depression

Priyamadhaba Behera ^a, Sanjeev Kumar Gupta ^a, Baridalyne Nongkynrih ^{a,*}, Shashi Kant ^a, Ashwani Kumar Mishra ^b, Pratap Sharan ^c

- ^a Centre for Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India
- b National Drug Dependence Treatment Centre and Department of Psychiatry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India
- ^c Department of Psychiatry, All Indian Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 October 2016 Received in revised form 13 November 2016 Accepted 19 November 2016 Available online xxx

Keywords: Depression Assessment Screening instruments Scale

ABSTRACT

Globally, more than 350 million people of all ages suffer from depression. In spite of the high burden, depression is often unrecognized and undertreated. Inaccurate assessment is one of the important barriers for effective care. Clinical diagnosis is considered as the gold standard for the diagnosis of depression. However, the available instruments help to estimate the burden of depression in epidemiological studies as well as help to screen, diagnose and monitor the treatment in clinical practice. Screening instruments are very useful in community surveys, and can be used for referring patients to psychiatrists. Hence, there is a need to understand the different scales of depression, their advantages, limitations and psychometric properties. This article summarizes various available instruments for assessment of depression and their properties.

© 2016 Indian Journal of Medical Specialities. Published by Elsevier, a division of RELX India, Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Depression is a common mental disorder, characterized by sadness, loss of interest or pleasure, feelings of guilt or low selfworth, disturbed sleep or appetite, feeling of tiredness, and poor concentration. These problems can become chronic or recurrent, and lead to substantial impairment in an individual's ability to take care of his or her everyday responsibilities [1]. It is the most common cause of suicide. Globally, more than 350 million people of all ages suffer from depression [2]. According to the WHO Global Burden of Disease report 2004, depression was one of the leading contributors to the burden of disease during 2000–2002, ranked as third worldwide [3]. It is projected to reach second place in the DALYs lost (Disability Adjusted Life Years) ranking worldwide by the year 2020, and the first place by 2030 [4]. In spite of the high burden, depression is often unrecognized and undertreated [5]. Diagnosis of depression by a non-specialist is haphazard. Nearly 30-50% patients with depression are missed during diagnosis by a primary care physician [6].

E-mail addresses: priya.madhaba@gmail.com (P. Behera), sgupta_91@yahoo.co.in (S.K. Gupta), baridalyne@gmail.com (B. Nongkynrih), skant76@gmail.com (S. Kant), ashwanikm@yahoo.com (A.K. Mishra), pratapsharan@gmail.com (P. Sharan). One of the major challenges faced by India in delivering comprehensive psychiatric services in the community is lack of mental health manpower, with <1 Psychiatrist for 100,000 population [7]. Average national deficit of psychiatrists is estimated to be 77% and it is more than 90% for one third of the country's population [8]. At the same time, the insufficient training (1.4% lecture time of the total) in the subject of psychiatry during undergraduate training renders non-psychiatrist physicians unprepared to competently deal with mental illnesses including depression [8]. Less than half of the affected people (fewer than 10% in some countries) receive treatment even though the effective treatment against depression is available. Inaccurate assessment is one of the important barriers for effective care [2].

Clinical diagnosis is considered as the gold standard for the diagnosis of depression. However, the available instruments help to estimate the burden of depression in epidemiological studies as well as help to screen, diagnose and monitor the treatment in clinical practice. Screening instruments are very useful in community surveys, and can be used for referring patients to psychiatrists. Hence, there is a need to understand the different scales of depression, their advantages, limitations and psychometric properties. This article summarizes various available instruments for assessment of depression and their properties.

A summary of various scales is provided in Table 1.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.injms.2016.11.003

0976-2884/© 2016 Indian Journal of Medical Specialities. Published by Elsevier, a division of RELX India, Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Behera P, et al. Screening instruments for assessment of depression. Indian J Med Spec. (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.injms.2016.11.003

^{*} Corresponding author.

G Model INJMS-108; No. of Pages 7

P. Behera et al. / Indian Journal of Medical Specialities xxx (2016) xxx-xxx

 Table 1

 Screening instruments for assessment of depression.

Name of scale	Developed by (persons) and year	Used for (conditions)	Age-group (in year)	Time taken to administer and number of items	Sensitivity and specificity	Free or Purchased and website link of the scale	Self- or interviewer- administered	Special features, if any
1. Beck Depression Inventory	Beck et al., 1961	Measure severity of depression in diagnosed patients (monitor the treatment in clinical practice)	>13	5–10 min 21 items	Sensitivity – 97% Specificity – 99% (BDI-PC)	Purchased Psychcorp.com	Self-administered	Beck Depression Inventory for Primary care is a seven item screening tool
2. Zung Self-Rated Depression Scale	W.W. Zung, 1965	Measure severity of depression in diagnosed patients (monitor the treatment in clinical practice)	Adult	5–10 min 20 items		Free www. mentalhealthministries.net/ resources/flyers/zung_scale/ zung_scale.pdf	Self-administered	Also been used as a screening tool in general practice. Can be used among elderly persons
3. Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale	Radloff et al., 1977	Identify depression in the general population	Adult	5–10 min 20 items		Free cesd-r.com	Self-administered	Widely used in community mental health assessment surveys
4. WHO (five) well being index	Mental Health Centre North Zealand, Hillerød, Denmark, 1998	Screening tool in primary and secondary health care settings	>9	2–3 min 5 items	Sensitivity – 94% Specificity – 78%	Free www.psykiatriregionh. dk/who5/Documents/ WHO5_English.pdf	Self-administered	Also measures current mental wellbeing (in last 2 week)
5. Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)	Zigmond and Snaith, 1983	Assessment of depression in hospital Outpatient clinic	Adult	7 items for anxiety and 7 items for depression	Sensitivity – 80% Specificity – 80%	Free http://www. scalesandmeasures.net/files/ files/HADS.pdf	Self-administered	Also measures Anxiety
6. Cornell Scale for Depression in Dementia	Alexopoulos et al., 1988	Screen of depression in elderly patients with cognitive deficits	Elderly (≥60)	Total 30 min (10 min with patient and 20 min with informant) 19 item	Sensitivity – 93% Specificity – 97%	Free http://citeseerx.ist.psu. edu/viewdoc/ download?doi=10.1.1.461. 315&rep=rep1&type=pdf	Interviewer administered	Only one scale which has been validated in demented and non-demented elderly persons
7. Patient Health Questionnnaire 8. Hamilton Depression Rating Scale	Kroneke et al., 2001 Max Hamilton, 1960	Screening tool in primary care settings Measure severity of depression in diagnosed patients (monitor the treatment in clinical practice)	Adult Adult	9 items 20–30 min 17 items (4 items added in HDRS-21 to measure subtype of depression)	Sensitivity – 80% Specificity – 92%	Free http://www. phqscreeners.com/ Free http://www.npcrc.org/ files/news/ hamilton_depression_scale. pdf	Self-administered Interviewer administered	PHQ-2 is useful in time constraint situation Scale was designed as unstructured clinical interview. Structured interview guides, self-report forms, and computerized versions have been developed
9. Major Depression Inventory	Bech et al., 2001	Screening tool for depression	Adult	10 functional items (total 12 items)	Sensitivity – 86–92% Specificity – 82–92%	Free https://www. psykiatri-regionh.dk	Self-administered	nave been developed
10. Geriatric Depression Scale	Yesavage et al., 1982	Screening of depression in elderly persons	Elderly (>60 year)	8–10 min 30 items	Sensitivity – 55–100% Specificity – 81%	Free http://web.stanford.edu/ ~yesavage/GDS.html	Self-or Interviewer administered/	GDS does not maintain its validity in persons with dementia
11. Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale	Edinberg, 1987	Screening tool primary care		5 min 10 items	Sensitivity – 86% Specificity – 78%	Free https://pesnc.org/ wpcontent/uploads/EPDS.pdf	Self-administered	Post-partum, pregnancy
12. Children's Depression Inventory	Kovacs M. and Beck A.T.	Assess depression in children and adolescents (School and pediatrics clinic)	7–17	15 min 27 items		Purchased http://www. mentalhealthpromotion.net/ resources/cdi-info1.pdf	Interviewer administered	CDI 2 (updated recent version) is available in paper-and-pencil with MHS Quikscore, through the MHS Online Assessment Center and software formats
13. Montgomery and Asberg Depression Rating Scale	Montgomery and Asberg, 1979	Detect the effect of antidepressant treatment	Adult	10–15 min 10 items		Free http://www.psy-world.com/madrs.htm	Interviewer administered	Structured interview guide for the MADRS (SIGMA) is available

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5636594

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5636594

Daneshyari.com