

## AJO-DO

# Tooth replacements in young adults with severe hypodontia: Orthodontic space closure, dental implants, and tooth-supported fixed dental prostheses. A follow-up study

Christina L. Hvaring,<sup>a</sup> Bjørn Øgaard,<sup>b</sup> and Kari Birkeland<sup>c</sup> *Oslo*, *Norway* 

Introduction: Children with severe hypodontia have a substantial impairment of their dental health starting early in life. The purpose of this study was to describe types and locations of substitutes for missing teeth in patients with severe hypodontia and to compare the crown and soft tissue morphologies of orthodontic space closure, dental implants, and tooth-supported fixed dental prostheses for replacing teeth in the anterior region.

Methods: Fifty patients missing 6 or more teeth and aged 18 years or older (mean age, 25.6 years) took part in a follow-up study. The patients were examined clinically with panoramic radiographs and clinical photographs. Crown and soft tissue variables (mucosal discoloration, crown morphology, color, and papilla index) were compared for orthodontic space closure, dental implant fixtures, and fixed dental prostheses.

Results: Dental implants, orthodontic space closure, and retaining deciduous teeth were the most commonly prescribed treatments. Persisting deciduous teeth showed a good survival rate at the follow-up examination. Mucosal discoloration was seen only for implant fixtures and was evident for almost all fixtures in the anterior mandible and two thirds of those in the anterior maxilla. The papilla index scored poorer for both implant fixtures and fixed dental prostheses compared with orthodontic space closure. Conclusions: Dental implants in the anterior region proved to be an inadequate treatment modality in patients with severe hypodontia because of pronounced mucosal discoloration. (Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2016;150:620-6)

hildren congenitally missing a substantial number of teeth have a severe impairment of their dental health starting early in life and face a challenging and tedious, even lifelong, treatment regimen. The clinician's mission is to provide realistic expectations of the treatment course and outcome. Patients and parents expect information on what awaits the patient in the near and distant future. The most demanding task for the clinician is to propose a sensible and feasible treatment plan with a lifelong perspective, based on biologic principles. Severe hypodontia or oligodontia, defined as the

congenital absence of 6 or more teeth, is often complicated and requires an interdisciplinary approach at the specialist level, both during the initial evaluation phase and when treatment is provided. The prevalence of hypodontia in the Norwegian population has been reported to be 6.5%, with severe hypodontia affecting less than 0.2% of the general Norwegian and Danish populations.<sup>2,3</sup>

Although a few studies have investigated hypodontia patients attending interdisciplinary clinics, the observation periods are generally limited. In addition, studies comparing the outcome of various treatment strategies in patients with severe hypodontia are yet to be performed. Hobkirk et al<sup>4</sup> investigated 451 patients referred to a hypodontia clinic and reported missing teeth, spacing, and poor appearance as the most common complaints. Surprisingly, 40% reported "no complaints" related to their condition. The study did not, however, address treatment planning and outcome. A Danish study by Worsaae et al<sup>5</sup> reported experience with a centralized interdisciplinary clinic, focusing on treatment planning and surgical treatment in 112 patients with oligodontia. At the end of the follow-up period (mean, 28 months; range, 1-68 months), 51 had finished treatment. The

From the Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

<sup>a</sup>Research fellow.

<sup>b</sup>Professor.

<sup>c</sup>Associate professor.

All authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest, and none were reported.

Address correspondence to: Christina L. Hvaring, Department of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Oslo, PO Box, 1109 Blindern, N-0317, Oslo, Norway; e-mail, christina.hvaring@odont.uio.no.

Submitted, November 2015; revised and accepted, March 2016. 0889-5406/\$36.00

© 2016 by the American Association of Orthodontists. All rights reserved.  $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2016.03.023}$ 

most common treatment options were orthodontic therapy (97%) and dental implants (90%). Shafi et al<sup>6</sup> collected data retrospectively from the records of 108 patients attending a hypodontia clinic over a 5-year period. Most patients had a suspected family history of hypodontia. Orthodontic therapy was most frequently proposed in treatment planning (49%), and 21% were considered for dental implants. Almost 30% did not complete treatment. Dueled et al compared patients' and professionals' evaluations of implant-supported or tooth-supported reconstructions replacing congenitally missing teeth in 129 patients. The esthetic variables were considered acceptable for 92% of the implant-supported reconstructions and 83% of the tooth-supported prostheses. However, mucosal discoloration or visible metal on the buccal side was observed in 57% of the patients with dental implants. A positive correlation was observed between the professional and patient-based evaluations.

Because severe hypodontia is quite rare, opportunities for longitudinal studies with a sufficient number of patients are limited. Several studies dealing with hypodontia did not delineate patients with severe hypodontia as a separate subgroup, and most publications have been case reports or summaries of clinical experience. Consequently, evidence to support treatment decisions in complicated cases is insufficient.

The aims of this study were to compare the resulting crown and soft tissue morphologies of orthodontic space closure, dental implants, and tooth-supported fixed dental prostheses (FDPs) replacing teeth in the anterior region in patients with severe hypodontia. In addition, the treatment performed and the types and locations of substitutes for missing teeth were assessed.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

A total of 212 patients with nonsyndromic hypodontia were referred between 1998 and 2010 for an evaluation by an interdisciplinary team at the University of Oslo in Norway. The patients were admitted for clinical and radiographic examinations, and a tentative treatment plan was determined by the specialist team. Patients residing within a practical distance to the university clinic were also offered to complete their entire treatment there. The remaining patients received treatment at their local dentist or specialist clinic.

Starting in March 2013, patients missing 6 or more teeth and aged 18 years or more were contacted by mail or telephone and invited to participate in a follow-up study of treatment outcome. The rationale for the chosen age cutoff was that patients 18 years or over could be expected to have completed the majority of the treatment course. A total of 71 patients met the

inclusion criteria, of whom 50 (70%) agreed to take part in the study. Of those not attending, 5 could not be reached, 7 did not wish to participate in the study, and 9 declined for practical reasons. The mean age at inclusion was 25.6 years (range, 18-38 years), and the group consisted of 24 women and 26 men. Nine of the 50 patients were unable to travel to the University of Oslo, and the examinations were performed in cooperation with an orthodontist at the patient's place of residence. Written information was given before the study, and informed consent was obtained from each participant. The patients were examined clinically, and panoramic radiographs and clinical photographs were taken.

Patient records from the time of first referral (mean age at referral, 13.9 years; range, 7-25 years) were retrieved, and the following information was noted: diagnosis, number and location of missing teeth, and recommended treatment plan.

The following parameters were recorded for all patients at the follow-up examination: number, location, and type of replacements (artificial or moved natural teeth); number and location of persisting deciduous teeth; and treatment performed. Crown and soft tissue morphology were compared for orthodontic space closure, dental implant fixtures (either single or part of an implant-retained prosthesis), and FDPs (toothsupported conventional or resin-retained prostheses) replacing at least 1 missing tooth in the anterior region (canine to canine). This concerned 42 patients with a total of 187 teeth replaced by any of these 3 means: 97 in the maxilla and 90 in the mandible. The mean observation time from completion of treatment was 4.6 (0-11) years. The following morphologic measures were assessed objectively from standardized photographs for each replacement: mucosal discoloration, crown morphology, color of the replacement tooth, and papilla level.

Mucosal discoloration in relation to the replacement tooth was scored as follows: 0, no mucosal discoloration; 1, grayish mucosal discoloration on the buccal side; 2, visible metal on the buccal side (Fig 1). The crown morphology of the replacement tooth was evaluated as previously described by Dueled et al': ie, by comparison with the contralateral tooth or, if this tooth was missing, an ideal morphology for the tooth type in question. As a supplement, expected width-to-length ratios based on published data were considered.8 The following scoring system was used: 0 (match), the replacement tooth was optimal, with a close match to the natural or the ideal tooth; 1 (deviate), the replacement tooth deviated in at least 1 aspect from the natural or ideal tooth, but the overall impression remained acceptable; 2 (mismatch), the replacement tooth clearly deviated from the natural or ideal tooth, giving an unacceptable end result. The

#### Download English Version:

### https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5637797

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5637797

**Daneshyari.com**