Association between Systemic Diseases and Endodontic Outcome: A Systematic Review

Anita Aminoshariae, DDS, MS, * James C. Kulild, DDS, MS, * Andre Mickel, DDS, MSD, * and Ashraf F. Fouad, DDS, MS^{\dagger}

Abstract

Introduction: To date, the relationships between systemic diseases and endodontic treatment outcomes remain poorly studied. Thus, the purpose of this systematic review was to evaluate the relationship between host-modifying factors and their association with endodontic outcomes. Methods: Two reviewers independently conducted a comprehensive literature search. The MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane, and PubMed databases were searched. In addition, the bibliographies and gray literature of all relevant articles and textbooks were manually searched. There was no disagreement between the 2 reviewers. Results: Sixteen articles met the inclusion criteria with moderate to high risk of bias. There was no article with low risk of bias. Available scientific evidence remains inconclusive as to whether diabetes and/or cardiovascular disease(s) may be associated with endodontic outcomes. Human immunodeficiency virus and oral bisphosphonate did not appear to be associated with endodontic outcomes. **Conclusions:** Although additional well-designed longitudinal clinical studies are needed, the results of this systematic review suggest that some systemic diseases may be correlated with endodontic outcomes. (J Endod 2016; 2:1-6)

Key Words

Cardiovascular disease, diabetes, endodontic outcome, human immunodeficiency virus, hypertension, medical conditions, systemic disease Systemic diseases and oral infections are closely related because they both share many associated risk factors (1-3). In a previous systematic review, our

Significance

Although additional well-designed clinical studies are needed, the results of this systematic review suggest that some systemic diseases may influence endodontic healing outcomes.

group reported that there may be a correlation between some systemic diseases and the pathogenesis of endodontic diseases (4). However, there is also a need to address the relationship of systemic diseases and endodontic treatment outcomes because this provides valuable information on the prognosis of endodontic treatment.

Several systemic diseases were found to be correlated with the outcome of endodontic treatment. Diabetes mellitus was reported to be significantly associated with reduced endodontic healing treatment outcomes of teeth with preoperative infections, suggesting that diabetes may serve as a disease modifier (5, 6). Also, both diabetes and hypertension were found to be significantly associated with reduced survival of endodontically treated teeth (7). Therefore, systemic conditions and disorders may have an influence in the healing outcome of endodontically treated teeth rather than just acting as a causative etiologic factor in endodontic infections (1, 8, 9).

To date, the relationship of systemic medical conditions and outcomes of endodontic treatment remains poorly studied. Therefore, the purpose of this systematic review was to evaluate the relationship between systemic diseases and outcomes in endodontic treatment.

Materials and Methods

The protocol for this systematic review was developed following established guidelines (10). The protocol was prepared and registered on PROSPERO (registration number: CRD42016042711). Also, a well-defined review question was developed by using the patient population, intervention, comparison, and outcome (PICO) framework.

The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias, the Oxford Systematic Review Appraisal Sheet, and Critical Appraisal Skills Programme were used to ensure the accuracy of this data analysis in this systematic review (10-12).

Formulating the Review Question

The following PICO framework was developed for a systematic review of the existing literature regarding apical pathosis and systemic diseases. When compared with medically healthy individuals, can systemic diseases influence endodontic clinical and radiographic healing outcomes?

Copyright © 2016 American Association of Endodontists. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2016.11.008

From the *Department of Endodontics, School of Dental Medicine, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio; and [†]Department of Endodontics, School of Dentistry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina.

Address requests for reprints to Dr Anita Aminoshariae, 2123 Abington Road A 280, Cleveland, Ohio 44106. E-mail address: aaminoshariae@yahoo.com 0099-2399/\$ - see front matter

Review Article

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

- The following types of studies were considered: clinical trials, casecontrol studies, cross-sectional studies, or cohort studies published in English language peer-reviewed scientific journals from 1997 to 2016.
- 2. The study must have had a control group.
- 3. The effect of systemic diseases on healing outcomes was measured.
- Studies in which the healing outcome was defined in terms of clinical/radiographic healing and/or survival of the tooth.

Exclusion criteria included the following: the type of study: case series, cell culture laboratory studies, or animal studies.

Search Methodology

The electronic MEDLINE, Embase, Cochrane, and PubMed databases were searched. In addition, the bibliographies of all relevant articles and textbooks were manually searched. On the basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria, 2 reviewers (A.A., J.K.) independently selected the relevant articles.

To answer the clinically relevant question, a 4-step method of evidence-based analysis was applied: step 1, a search for the clinical evidence regarding the systemic diseases and biological markers in electronic databases, and bibliographies of all relevant articles and review articles were both electronically and hand searched; step 2, appraisal and selection of articles according to study validity and clinical importance; step 3, collection and analysis of the published evidence; and step 4, determining the clinical applicability of the results.

By using the PICO formatted question, methodological MeSH (medical subject heading) terms were generated to make the search strategy more sensitive in identification of studies. These terms included endodontics, systemic disease and apical periodontitis, diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, endodontic and medical condition, apical periodontitis, and endodontic outcome. Studies that met the above inclusion criteria underwent critical analysis.

Extracted data included the size of the population in the group(s); the number of dropouts or withdrawals, if reported; a description of the materials and methods with a detailed assessment of systemic diseases; and the outcome variables used to measure the effect of biologic markers on apical periodontitis.

The qualities of the included studies were evaluated according to a proposed specific quality assessment scale.

Outcome Variables and Statistical Analysis

Because of the heterogeneity among the different study designs and data from different systemic conditions, it was not possible to perform a meta-analysis.

Results

Figure 1 presents a flowchart of the systematic review process according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (10). Sixteen articles met the inclusion criteria for this systematic review. The overall quality of the included articles was moderate to high risk of bias. Three articles were identified to report on cardiovascular disease (CVD) (7, 13, 14). Eleven articles reported on diabetes mellitus (DM) (6, 7, 13–21). Three articles reported on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) (22–24). One article reported on oral bisphosphonate and osteonecrosis of the jaw (25).

Discussion

This systematic review focused on any associations between endodontic outcome and 4 systemic diseases (CVD, DM, HIV, and oral bisphosphonate). The overall quality of the articles was determined to have moderate to high risk of bias, which indicates the true effect is likely to be close or substantially different from the estimate of the effect (26).

CVD

Both CVD and endodontic infections share similar inflammatory mediators in the initiation and progression of the disease process (27-29). Three articles were identified to address a relationship between CVD and endodontic outcomes (7, 13, 14). Two had moderate risk of bias (13, 14), and one had high risk of bias (7). Two of these articles reported that there was a relationship, whereas Ng et al (13) reported that there was no relationship between CVD and outcomes of endodontic treatment.

DM

After an inflammatory reaction, elevated levels of circulating interleukin 6 and tumor necrosis factor- α may impair glycemic control (30). Six articles with moderate to high risk of bias reported that there was an association between DM and endodontic outcomes (7,9,13–15, 18). Most of these articles had high risk of bias except two (13, 18), which had moderate risk of bias.

Five articles with moderate to high risk of bias reported that there is no association between DM and endodontic outcome (16, 17, 19-21). All 5 articles demonstrated a high risk of bias except 1 article, which had a moderate risk of bias (21).

Oral Bisphosphonates

Bisphosphonates inhibit osteoclast formation and activity and shorten the life span of osteoclasts (31). However, current evidence suggests that the possibility of developing osteonecrosis of the jaw after oral bisphosphonate treatment is 1 in 23 patients or approximately 4% of the population (32). Bone remodeling is an integral part of the healing process. Only 1 article with 7-month follow-up was identified to address the effect of oral bisphosphonates and healing of nonsurgical root canal therapy with periapical lesions (25). The article suggested no association between oral bisphosphonate and endodontic outcomes. The quality of the evidence was determined to be moderate. Thus, more research is indicated in this area to clarify any current association.

HIV

Three parameters define the clinical staging for HIV: opportunistic infections, CD4+ count, and viral load (33). T cells play an important role in the development, progression, and resolution of endodontic infections (34). The results of the current investigation identified 3 articles. Two articles had high risk of bias (22, 23) and one with moderate risk of bias (24). All 3 articles suggested that there is no correlation between HIV and outcomes of endodontic healing.

The results of the current systematic review also agree with other studies, although they exhibit lower level evidence (33, 35, 36). Most of the available studies that explored the relationship between endodontic outcomes and systemic disease did not differentiate between cases with preoperative infections and those with vital pulp. Clearly, when it comes to endodontic prognosis, the single most important factor is the presence of a preoperative lesion, a finding that was also true in the studies reviewed here, which took this into consideration (6, 13). In cases with vital pulp, the prognosis of endodontic treatment is very good; therefore, the potential role of systemic disease may be

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5640844

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5640844

Daneshyari.com