Push-out Bond Strength of Injectable Pozzolan-based Root Canal Sealer

Emmanuel João Nogueira Leal Silva, DDS, MSc, PbD, * Nancy Kudsi Carvalbo, DDS, MSc,[†] Marina C. Prado, DDS, MSc,[†] Mayara Zanon, DDS, * Plinio Mendes Senna, DDS, MSc, PbD, * Erick M. Souza, DDS, MSc, PbD,[‡] and Gustavo De-Deus, DDS, MSc, PbD*

Abstract

Introduction: The present study aimed to rank the bond strength to root dentin of a new injectable pozzolan-based root canal sealer, EndoSeal MTA, as compared with MTA Fillapex and AH Plus. Methods: Eighteen dentinal slices (1 \pm 0.1 mm) were obtained from the middle third of 6 maxillary incisors previously selected. Three canal-like holes with 0.8 mm diameter were drilled perpendicularly on the axial surface of each slice. Then, a standardized irrigation was applied for all holes that were subsequently filled with 1 of 3 test root canal sealers. After that, slices were stored in contact with phosphate-buffered saline solution (pH 7.2) for 7 days at 37°C before the push-out assay. Friedman test and Wilcoxon signed rank test with a Bonferroni correction were used to rank the results. Significance boundary was set at $\alpha = 5\%$. **Results**: Friedman test confirmed a significant dissimilarity in push-out ranks among the tested cements (P < .01). Wilcoxon signed rank test demonstrated AH Plus had significant superior resistance to dislodgment compared with Endo Seal (P < .01) or MTA Fillapex (P < .01), whereas MTA Fillapex presented the lowest push-out values as compared with Endo Seal (P < .01) or AH Plus (P < .01). Conclusions: EndoSeal presents satisfactory bond strength performance for application in endodontic therapy compared with MTA Fillapex, and although it displays a new alternative of injectable bio-tight root canal sealer, it is not able to improve adhesion compared with AH Plus. (J Endod 2016; ■:1–4)

Key Words

MTA, pozzolan, push-out, root canal obturation, root canal sealer

Mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) is a Portland cement-derived hydraulic material that has been widely used in a variety of applications in endodontics as supported by a broad body of evidence (1, 2). MTA has excellent physical and biological properties such as biocompatibility, bioactivity, and sealing ability

Significance

EndoSeal MTA was previously demonstrated to induce dentinal tubule biomineralization, favorable cytocompatibility, and superior sealer distribution, as well as satisfactory biological and physical properties. The present finding adds to EndoSeal satisfactory bond strength performance for application in endodontic therapy and increases the overall knowledge about a material that has potential to become a clinical alternative of injectable bio-tight root canal sealer.

(1, 3). This sealing capacity is largely attributed to MTA's bioactivity and ability to release calcium ions and produce an apatite layer in the presence of phosphate-containing physiological fluids (4–7). The crystalline deposits produced by the interaction of MTA and physiological fluids positively influence the push-out bond strength of MTA. Conversely, MTA cement fails to present the physical properties required for a root canal sealer, which leads to increasing efforts to create an ideal MTA-based sealer with remarkable balance between its biological and physicochemical characteristics (1, 3, 8).

EndoSeal MTA (Maruchi, Wonju, Korea), a pozzolan-based MTA sealer, was recently introduced. It consists of a premixed and pre-loaded material confined into an air-tight syringe that permits its direct application into the root canals. During the injection, EndoSeal absorbs the environmental moisture from atmospheric air and sets without the need of previous powder/liquid or base/catalyst mixing (9, 10). This sealer contains pozzolan cement, which gets cementitious properties after the pozzolanic reaction with calcium hydroxide and water, allowing efficient flow of the pre-mixed substrate with adequate working consistency and reduced setting time (4, 11). The incorporation of small particle pozzolan cement, which is a mineral aggregate with watery calcium silicate hydration, resulted in fast-setting MTA without the addition of a chemical accelerator (4, 12).

Previous reports have demonstrated the capacity of EndoSeal MTA to induce dentinal tubule biomineralization (11), satisfactory biological and physical properties (10), favorable cytocompatibility (13), and superior sealer distribution (9). To date, however, no study has ranked the push-out bond strength of EndoSeal. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the bond strength to root dentin of this new injectable pozzolan-based root canal sealer by using MTA Fillapex (Angelus, Londrina, Brazil) and

From the *Department of Endodontics, School of Dentistry, Grande Rio University (UNIGRANRIO), Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro; ⁺Department of Endodontics, Rio de Janeiro State University (UERJ), Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro; and ⁺Department of Restorative Dentistry II, Federal University of Maranhão, São Luis, Maranhão, Brazil. Address requests for reprints to Dr Emmanuel João Nogueira Leal Silva, Dental School, Grande Rio University - UNIGRANRIO, Endodontics Department, Rua Herotides

de Oliveira, 61/902, Icaraí, Niterói, RJ, Brazil. E-mail address: nogueiraemmanuel@hotmail.com

^{0099-2399/\$ -} see front matter

Copyright © 2016 American Association of Endodontists. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2016.08.009

Basic Research—Technology

AH Plus (Dentsply, DeTrey, Konstanz, Germany) as control materials for comparison. The following null hypotheses were tested:

- 1. There is no difference in dentin bond strength between the tested root canal sealers.
- 2. There is no difference in bond strength to root dentin between both MTA-derived materials (EndoSeal and MTA Fillapex).

Materials and Methods

Sample Size Calculation

According to a previous study (14), an effect size of 0.74 was added to power $\beta = 95\%$ and $\alpha = 5\%$ inputs into F test family for repeated-measures analysis of variance (G*power 3.1 for Macintosh). A total size of 9 slices samples was necessary to identify differences among the tested materials.

Sample Preparation

Local Ethics Committee approved the study. Six maxillary incisors were selected and cleaned by removing the hard deposits and the soft tissues with the aid of curettes and 5.25% NaOCl immersion for 10 minutes. After that, coronal and apical segments were removed from each tooth to obtain the middle third. Three horizontal cross sections $(1 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm thick})$ were obtained from this segment by using a low-speed saw (ISOMET; Buehler Ltd, Lake Buff, IL) with a diamond disk (Ø $125 \times 0.35 \times 12.7 \text{ mm}$; Buehler Ltd) under continuous water irrigation. The final thickness of each slice was checked with the aid of a digital caliper with accuracy of 0.001 mm (Avenger Products, North Plains, OR). Eighteen root slices were produced following this protocol (Fig. 1).

Preparation of Canal-like Holes for the Push-out Assay

Samples were drilled by using a 0.8-mm cylindrical carbide bur. Three canal-like holes were made parallel to the root canal in each root slice. The perforations were performed under constant water irrigation by using a vertical drill stand (Dremel Workstation 220, Mount Prospect, IL) to standardize the holes drilled perpendicular to the surface. A minimum distance of 1 mm was established between the holes drilled, the external cementum, and the root canal walls.

Thereafter, all samples were immersed in 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) solution for 15 minutes and further immersed for 1 minute in bidistilled water to neutralize the NaOCl solution. The smear layer was removed by using 17% EDTA for 3 minutes, bidistilled



Figure 1. Schematic representation of sample preparation.

water for 1 minute, 2.5% NaOCl for 1 minute, and a final flush with bidistilled water for 1 minute. The holes were dried with absorbent paper points, and each of the 3 holes of every root slice was filled with 1 of the selected materials: EndoSeal, MTA Fillapex, or AH Plus. All the materials were mixed according to the manufacturers' instructions and were delivered into the holes. Bubble formation was avoided by gentle vibration while placing the material. Finally, the filled root slices were stored in contact with phosphate-buffered saline solution (pH 7.2) at 37° C for 7 days before the push-out assessment (Fig. 1).

Push-out Assessment

A plunger tip of 0.6-mm diameter was set up over the tested material, avoiding touching the surrounding dentin wall. Loading was performed on a universal testing machine (EMIC DL200 MF, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil) at a head-speed of 0.5 mm/min⁻¹ until the displacement of the material. The load was applied only in a coronalapical direction. A load × time curve was plotted during the test by using a real-time software program. The maximum load at failure, recorded in newtons, was divided by the area of the bonded interface, resulting in a bond strength expression in MPa². The adhesion area of the root canal material was calculated by using the following formula: area – $2\pi r \times h$, where π = the constant 3.14, r = radius of the cavity with the root canal material (0.4 mm), and h = height of the material (1.0 mm)³.

Data Presentation and Analysis

After testing for data skewing (Shapiro-Wilk test, P < .05), the push-out from paired artificial holes was ranked by using a non-parametric Friedman procedure. Multiple comparisons were performed with the aid of a Wilcoxon signed rank test with Bonferroni correction. Significance boundary was set at $\alpha = 5\%$ (SPSS 17.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

Results

Friedman test confirmed a significant dissimilarity in push-out ranks among the tested cements (P < .01). Wilcoxon signed rank test demonstrated AH Plus had significant superior resistance to dislodgment compared with Endo Seal (P < .01) or MTA Fillapex (P < .01), whereas MTA Fillapex presented the lowest push-out values as compared with Endo Seal (P < .01) or AH Plus (P < .01). Figure 2 displays a graphic representation of the findings.

Discussion

The use of endodontic sealers during root canal obturation yields the sealing between gutta-percha and dentinal walls, acting against bacterial leakage that may lead to endodontic failures (15, 16). Every currently available root canal sealer presents limitations regarding the ideal properties of an endodontic sealer (17). Therefore, new sealers are constantly being developed, especially calcium silicate– based or bioceramics materials—MTA and BioAggregates—because of their biological and sealing properties (3, 8, 18). A relevant physical aspect of a newly developed injectable pozzolan-based sealer (EndoSeal MTA), the dentinal bond strength, was ranked in comparison with MTA Fillapex and AH Plus.

The first null hypothesis was not accepted because a significant difference in push-out was observed among the materials. Both MTAbased sealers, EndoSeal and MTA Fillapex, produced inferior dentinal bond strength values compared with AH Plus. The present result is in accordance with several previous studies in which AH Plus was also associated with significantly higher bond strength values when compared with other sealers (19-23). The superior bond strength property of AH Plus may be largely attributed to the capacity of Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5641135

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5641135

Daneshyari.com