

Vitamin C Pharmacokinetics: Implications for Oral and Intravenous Use

Sebastian J. Padayatty, MRCP, PhD; He Sun, PhD, CBS; Yaohui Wang, MD; Hugh D. Riordan, MD; Stephen M. Hewitt, MD, PhD; Arie Katz, MD; Robert A. Wesley, PhD; and Mark Levine, MD

Background: Vitamin C at high concentrations is toxic to cancer cells *in vitro*. Early clinical studies of vitamin C in patients with terminal cancer suggested clinical benefit, but 2 double-blind, placebo-controlled trials showed none. However, these studies used different routes of administration.

Objective: To determine whether plasma vitamin C concentrations vary substantially with the route of administration.

Design: Dose concentration studies and pharmacokinetic modeling.

Setting: Academic medical center.

Participants: 17 healthy hospitalized volunteers.

Measurements: Vitamin C plasma and urine concentrations were measured after administration of oral and intravenous doses at a dose range of 0.015 to 1.25 g, and plasma concentrations were calculated for a dose range of 1 to 100 g.

Results: Peak plasma vitamin C concentrations were higher after administration of intravenous doses than after administration of oral doses ($P < 0.001$), and the difference increased according to

dose. Vitamin C at a dose of 1.25 g administered orally produced mean (\pm SD) peak plasma concentrations of $134.8 \pm 20.6 \mu\text{mol/L}$ compared with $885 \pm 201.2 \mu\text{mol/L}$ for intravenous administration. For the maximum tolerated oral dose of 3 g every 4 hours, pharmacokinetic modeling predicted peak plasma vitamin C concentrations of $220 \mu\text{mol/L}$ and $13\,400 \mu\text{mol/L}$ for a 50-g intravenous dose. Peak predicted urine concentrations of vitamin C from intravenous administration were 140-fold higher than those from maximum oral doses.

Limitations: Patient data are not available to confirm pharmacokinetic modeling at high doses and in patients with cancer.

Conclusions: Oral vitamin C produces plasma concentrations that are tightly controlled. Only intravenous administration of vitamin C produces high plasma and urine concentrations that might have antitumor activity. Because efficacy of vitamin C treatment cannot be judged from clinical trials that use only oral dosing, the role of vitamin C in cancer treatment should be reevaluated.

Ann Intern Med. 2004;140:533-537.

For author affiliations, see end of text.

www.annals.org

Vitamin C in gram doses is taken orally by many people and administered intravenously by complementary and alternative medicine practitioners to treat patients with advanced cancer (1, 2). After oral intake, vitamin C plasma concentrations are tightly controlled at 70 to $85 \mu\text{mol/L}$ for amounts (as much as 300 mg daily) that can be obtained from food (3, 4). However, concentrations achieved by higher pharmacologic doses are uncertain. Despite poor rationale, vitamin C in gram doses was proposed as an anticancer agent decades ago (5). Unblinded studies with retrospective or nonrandom controls reported clinical benefit from oral and intravenous vitamin C administered to patients with terminal cancer at a dosage of 10 g daily (1, 6, 7). Placebo-controlled trials in patients with cancer reported no benefit from oral vitamin C at a dosage of 10 g daily (8, 9), and vitamin C treatment was judged ineffective (10). However, *in vitro* evidence showed that vitamin C killed cancer cells at extracellular concentrations higher than $1000 \mu\text{mol/L}$ (11, 12), and its clinical use by some practitioners continues.

We recognized that oral or intravenous routes could produce substantially different vitamin C concentrations (13). We report here that intravenous doses can produce plasma concentrations 30- to 70-fold higher than the maximum tolerated oral doses. These data suggest that the role of vitamin C in cancer treatment should be reexamined,

and insights from vitamin C pharmacokinetics can guide its clinical use.

METHODS

Pharmacokinetic Studies in Healthy Persons

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health. After we obtained written informed consent, 17 healthy volunteers (7 men, 10 women; age, 19 to 27 years) were studied as inpatients by using a depletion-repletion study design (3, 4). Participants were hospitalized for 3 to 6 months and consumed a vitamin C–deficient diet containing less than 0.005 g of vitamin C per day. At plasma vitamin C concentrations less than $8 \mu\text{mol/L}$, persons were depleted without signs of scurvy. Vitamin C, 0.015 g twice daily, was then administered orally until participants achieved a steady state for this dose (0.03 g daily). Participants received successive oral daily vitamin C doses of 0.03 g, 0.06 g, 0.1 g, 0.2 g, 0.4 g, 1.0 g, and 2.5 g until a steady state was achieved for each dose. Bioavailability sampling was conducted at a steady state for vitamin C doses of 0.015 g, 0.03 g, 0.05 g, 0.1 g, 0.2 g, 0.5 g, and 1.25 g. For each bioavailability sampling, vitamin C was administered in the fasting state. After oral administration, blood samples were collected at 0, 15, and 30 minutes and at 1, 1.5,

Context

Clinical studies of vitamin C as a potential anticancer agent have produced inconsistent results despite in vitro evidence that high concentrations kill cancer cells.

Contribution

Pharmacokinetic studies in healthy persons, using a depletion-repletion design, show that intravenous administration can achieve 70-fold higher blood levels of vitamin C than the highest tolerated oral dose.

Cautions

Although this study provides better understanding of the pharmacokinetic issues involved in research on vitamin C, it provides no evidence that vitamin C has any effect on cancer cells and cannot be used to support its clinical use for therapeutic purposes.

—The Editors

2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 22, and 24 hours (3, 4). After intravenous administration at 250 mg/min, blood samples were collected at 0, 2.5, 5, 10, 15, and 30 minutes and at 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 hours. Data obtained from bioavailability samplings were used to determine peak plasma and urine vitamin C concentrations.

Pharmacokinetic Modeling

We used data from 7 men to construct a unique 3-compartment vitamin C pharmacokinetic model with parameters describing saturable absorption, tissue distribution, and renal excretion and reabsorption (14). This model was used to predict peak plasma and urine vitamin C concentrations attained when pharmacologic doses of the vitamin are administered. For intravenous administration, it was assumed that vitamin C was infused at a rate of 1 g/min, and urine output was 100 mL/h.

Vitamin C Assay

Vitamin C was measured by using high-performance liquid chromatography with coulometric electrochemical detection (3, 4, 15).

Statistical Analysis

We compared plasma vitamin C concentration curves (against either dose or time) by repeated-measures analyses of variance (ANOVA). In addition to the repeating factor (dose or time), other factors considered were sex and route of administration. In the comparison of routes of administration at multiple doses, in which sex not only was an important factor itself but also had an important interaction with route, separate ANOVA were determined for men and women to assess the importance of route of administration. Analyses were performed by using DataDesk, version 5 (1995) (Data Description, Inc., Ithaca, New York).

Role of the Funding Source

The funding source had no role in the design, conduct, and reporting of the study or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

RESULTS

When 1.25 g of vitamin C was given intravenously, plasma concentrations were significantly higher than when the vitamin was given orally ($P < 0.001$ by repeated-measures ANOVA) (Figure 1). In addition, plasma concentrations were significantly higher over all doses ($P < 0.001$ by repeated-measures ANOVA) with intravenous compared with oral administration (Figure 1, inset). At the highest dose of 1.25 g, mean peak values from intravenous administration were 6.6-fold higher than mean peak values from oral administration. When all doses were considered, peak plasma vitamin C concentrations increased with increasing intravenous doses, whereas peak plasma vitamin C concentrations seemed to plateau with increasing oral doses. Urine vitamin C concentrations were higher for the same dose given intravenously compared with that administered by the oral route. At the highest dose of 1.25 g, peak urine concentrations from intravenous administration were approximately 3.5 times higher than from oral administration (data not shown).

The 3-compartment vitamin C pharmacokinetic model that we developed predicted that a single oral dose of 3 g, the maximum tolerated single dose, produced a peak plasma concentration of 206 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (Figure 2, top). Peak predicted concentration after a single 1.25-g oral dose was slightly lower at 187 $\mu\text{mol/L}$. For 200 mg, an amount obtained from vitamin C-rich foods, peak predicted concentration was approximately 90 $\mu\text{mol/L}$. Plasma concentrations for all of these amounts returned to steady-state values, approximately 70 to 85 $\mu\text{mol/L}$, after 24 hours. With 3 g given orally every 4 hours, the maximum tolerable (6), peak predicted plasma concentration was approximately 220 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ (Figure 2, top). By contrast, after intravenous administration, predicted peak plasma vitamin C concentrations were approximately 1760 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ for 3 g, 2870 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ for 5 g, 5580 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ for 10 g, 13 350 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ for 50 g, and 15 380 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ for 100 g (Figure 2, bottom). Doses of 60 g given intravenously are used for cancer treatment by complementary and alternative medicine practitioners (2). Predicted peak urine vitamin C concentrations were as much as 140-fold higher after intravenous administration compared with oral administration (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Our data show that vitamin C plasma concentrations are tightly controlled when the vitamin is taken orally, even at the highest tolerated amounts. By contrast, intravenous administration bypasses tight control and results in concentrations as much as 70-fold higher than those

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5641139>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5641139>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)