

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing





A method for the identification of hydraulic damper characteristics from steady velocity inputs

Branislav Titurus a,*, Jonathan du Bois a, Nick Lieven a, Robert Hansford b

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 15 October 2009 Received in revised form 18 May 2010 Accepted 27 May 2010 Available online 2 June 2010

Keywords: Hydraulic damper Experimental characterisation Triangular excitation

ABSTRACT

The research presented in this paper investigates the possibility of precise experimental identification of steady damper characteristics. The paper considers velocity sensitive and nominally symmetric hydraulic dampers. The proposed identification methodology is based on a piecewise constant velocity excitation. One goal of the paper is to analyze the transient nature of the damper response in the context of finite permissible piston displacements and first order transient effects due to elastic elements in the damper structure. The proposed methodology is formalized in a framework suitable for experimental design, allowing the detailed study of steady state damper performance. The second goal of the paper is to demonstrate the practical application of the proposed methodology. It is applied to the case of a safety critical hydraulic damper used for stability augmentation in production helicopters. The research work presented shows that this methodology can be used for identification in a finite but relatively wide range of piston velocities. The case study demonstrates a successful example of damper property identification where the resulting characteristics prove useful as a tool for model validation. Finally, the identification results are related to the results of a more traditional test with harmonic piston excitation.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

It is shown in the published literature, for example [1–3], that complex fluid dynamics phenomena occurring in hydraulic dampers can be, in the low frequency range (from 0 to 30 Hz according to Duym [4] and Yung and Cole [5]), efficiently modelled on the basis of hydraulic system theory, described in Ref. [6]. This theory addresses first order dynamic effects observed particularly in the damper velocity-force characteristics in the form of the "hysteretic" loops. These loops are manifestations of the internal dynamic relationships and the physical effects occurring typically in high-pressure hydraulic systems, [7,8], which include fluid compressibility [6], fluid inertial effects [9] and other dynamic effects. Hydraulic system theory can accommodate these effects during the modelling process and it has traditionally been used in the damper and hydraulic actuator modelling communities for the last few decades, [1,10]. Moreover, this theory is amenable to other physical domains such as mechanical [11] and thermal domains [12]. Also, it is often used in the context of multi-disciplinary [13] and mechatronic studies [14].

Important elements in hydraulic system modelling are steady state models of the flow transporting or restricting elements such as pipes, valves, orifices or leakage paths. Characterisation of these elements was a traditional field of

^a Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Bristol, Queens Building, University Walk, Bristol BS8 1 TR, UK

^b Box 267, Westland Helicopters, Lysander Road, Yeovil, Somerset, UK

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 117 3315552; fax: +44 117 9272771. E-mail address: Brano.Titurus@bristol.ac.uk (B. Titurus).

| Nomenclature Q volumetric flow rate | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| romene | .metui e | Q_i | flow rate through jth flow path |
| A_{O} | cross-sectional area of the orifice | $Q_{j,k}$ | flow rate through <i>k</i> th pressure reducing seg- |
| A_{P} | cross-sectional area of the symmetric piston | ₹J,K | ment located in <i>j</i> th flow path |
| л _Р В | constant isothermal bulk modulus of a | $O_{\alpha}O_{\nu}O_{\nu}$ | flow rates due to fluid compressibility, flow |
| Б | hydraulic fluid | $Q_{\beta},Q_{N},Q_{\beta}$ | transfer and piston displacement |
| D | effective bulk modulus | R(d) | function of normalised piston stroke and |
| B_{eff} | | K(u) | piston starting position |
| B_G | gas or air bulk modulus | T | time interval |
| C_{α} | coefficient of the general exponential | T± | positive and negative slope half-periods for the |
| | pressure-flow model | 1 - | time interval <i>T</i> |
| C_D | discharge coefficient | т т | |
| c_i | coefficient of the general polynomial | I_{max} , I_{lim} | maximum test time and limit test time for the |
| | pressure-flow model | т | damper piston travel |
| c_1,c_2 | coefficient of the laminar and turbulent | T_R | relaxation time |
| | pressure-flow models | t | physical time |
| D_L,D_Q | coefficient of the linear and quadratic | V | lumped volume of the hydraulic fluid |
| | force-velocity damper model | V_C, V_G | volume of the container and the total volume |
| d | normalised piston stroke | | of the gas or air entrapped in the container |
| d_C | mean diameter of the damper cylinder | $V_{0,i},V_i$ | initial and variable volume of ith hydraulic |
| Ε | Young's modulus of the damper cylinder | | chamber |
| | material | \mathcal{V} | composite volume function |
| $F_{D_{i}}$ | damper force | W_P | constant piston velocity |
| $\stackrel{F_D}{	ilde{F}_D}$ | identified steady level of the overall damper | Y_P | amplitude of the triangular piston excitation |
| Б | force | y_P,\dot{y}_P,\ddot{y}_P | piston displacement, velocity and acceleration |
| $F_{D,h}$ | hydraulic component of the damper force | α | exponent in the exponential pressure-flow |
| F_f | friction force | | model |
| f_{f-1} | inverse function to function <i>f</i> | β | fluid compressibility factor related to the fluid |
| | laminar, turbulent and laminar-turbulent | | bulk modulus, $\beta = 1/B$ |
| 1,-2,-3 | pressure differential convergence coefficients | $eta_{0,F},eta_{eff}$ | nominal and effective fluid compressibility |
| h _C | wall thickness of the damper cylinder | Δp | pressure difference between two damper |
| K_1,K_2 | coefficients of the damper model representing | • | chambers |
| 11,112 | Maxwell viscoelastic unit | Δp_i | pressure difference in jth flow path |
| k_C, k_P | stiffnesses of the cylinder and piston damper | $\Delta p_{j,k}$ | increment in the pressure difference in <i>j</i> th |
| κζ,κρ | attachment points | —FJ,K | flow path due to <i>k</i> th pressure reducing |
| k_i | ith exponent in the polynomial pressure-flow | | segment |
| κ_i | model | μ | dynamic fluid viscosity |
| 1_ | orifice pipe length | ρ | density of hydraulic fluid |
| l_0 | mass of the damper piston | ϕ | normalised pressure difference |
| m_P | | φ $\varphi(\Delta p)$ | adjusted measure of the pressure difference |
| N_F | number of flow paths between the damper | , | phase of the triangular piston displacement |
| N.I. | chambers | φ_P | excitation |
| N_j | number of pressure reducing segments in <i>j</i> th | Ω | |
| | flow path | 3.2 | angular frequency of triangular piston excita- |
| N_S | | <i>C(</i>) | |
| | • | દ(∘) | |
| N_V | • | A () | |
| p , $\mathcal P$ | | 1 1 | |
| p_i | pressure in ith damper fluid chamber | 0 | absolute value |
| p,\mathcal{P} | number of polynomial terms in the polynomial pressure-flow model number of test points absolute and homogeneous pressure pressure in <i>i</i> th damper fluid chamber | ε(∘) tri (∘) | tion auxiliary function for the triangular wav generation function generating the triangular wave absolute value |

experimental hydraulic studies [15,16], and recently also computational fluid dynamics investigations (e.g. [17]). Another important element in hydraulic system modelling describes the transient or dynamic effects in hydraulic systems, for instance those caused by fluid compressibility and other elastic effects [6]. The combination of the two basic elements mentioned is usually used along with the laws of conservation to provide system dynamic equations, with pressures frequently representing the dynamic states (for example Refs. [2,3,9–11]).

The goal of this paper is to evaluate a framework for experimental characterisation of damper behaviour. The approach adopted here focuses on the characterisation of velocity-sensitive hydraulic dampers. Semi-empirical characterisation of the components is known to be used in hydraulic system modelling. For example, Ferreira et al. [18] applied this approach in the case of static and dynamic servo-valve modelling and Hayashi et al. [19] used an experimentally determined and parameterised representation of the relief valve discharge coefficient. In the current paper, a similar approach is used to address the problem of damper modelling.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/565635

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/565635

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>