ARTICLE IN PRESS



<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Stacy A. Brethauer, M.D., F.A.C.S., Bariatric and Metabolic Institute, Cleveland Clinic, 9500 Euclid Ave / M61, Cleveland, Ohio 44195.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.soard.2016.01.034

1550-7289/© 2016 American Society for Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery. All rights reserved. 

esses for surgical treatment of diseases. One such area is the

fast track pathway, which aims to optimize perioperative

E-mail: brethas@ccf.org

2

67 recovery. Few studies have reported on the safety profile and feasibility of early hospital discharge after bariatric 68 surgery especially after LRYGB [2,3,5,6]; however, in 69 current practice, the majority of patients stay 2-3 days after 70 71 stapling bariatric surgery. Cost analyses have highlighted 72 early hospital discharge after bariatric surgery as a welltolerated option to limit hospital expenses [2,7,8]. 73 74 In addition, shorter hospital stay may lead to a reduction 75 in overlooked indirect costs that are sustained by patients and their families (e.g., lost family working days). On the 76 other hand, earlier discharge may lead to better mobilization 77 and theoretically fewer pulmonary and thromboembolic 78 complications, especially in morbidly obese patients. 79

The aim of this study was to evaluate 30-day outcomes of
hospital discharge on postoperative day (POD) 1 after the 2
most commonly performed stapling bariatric procedures
(LRYGB and LSG) compared with a later discharge using
American College of Surgeon National Surgical Quality
Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP) database.

# 87 Patients and methods88

#### Data source

89

90 The NSQIP database is a multicenter, prospectively 91 collected database that is contributed to by participant 92 academic and community hospitals. There were 374 and 93 435 participating sites in 2012 and 2013, respectively. The 94 ACS-NSQIP collects information on >300 variables, 95 including preoperative, intraoperative, and 30-day postop-96 erative parameters for patients undergoing major surgical 97 procedures [9]. The database was analyzed to identify adult 98 severely obese patients who underwent LSG or LRYGB 99 between January 2012 and December 2013. 100

# 101102Study cohort and inclusion and exclusion criteria

103 This data set was analyzed to identify morbidly obese 104 patients age  $\geq 18$  years and with body mass index (BMI) 105  $\geq$  35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> who had undergone either LSG (Current 106 Procedural Terminology [CPT] code 43775) or LRYGB 107 (CPT code 43644). Patients who underwent concurrent 108 procedures (e.g., abdominal wall hernia repair, cholecys-109 tectomy, and feeding tube placement) were included. The 110 initial inclusion criteria identified 34,338 patients. We 111 then excluded those with discharge recorded on POD 0 112 (N = 227) or POD 4 or later (N = 2160). A total of 31,951 113 patient cases were included in the current analysis.

114 115 116

## Baseline, intraoperative, and postoperative variables

117 Demographic characteristics, preoperative factors, intra-118 operative variables, and 30-day postoperative outcomes 119 were extracted for each patient. Demographic variables 120 were age, sex, initial weight (kg), initial BMI, race, and 121 ethnicity. We examined co-morbidities including diabetes mellitus (with oral agent or insulin), hypertension, chronic 122 obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cardiac disease that 123 needed intervention, chronic kidney disease on dialysis, 124 history of cerebrovascular diseases (transient ischemic 125 attack or stroke), chronic steroid or immunosuppressant 126 use, and bleeding disorders. Other baseline variables 127 included the American Society of Anesthesiologists score, 128 functional health status (totally dependent, partially depend-129 ent, or independent), smoking status, and preoperative 130 laboratory findings such as creatinine, albumin, hematocrit, 131 bilirubin, and international normalized ratio. Definitions of 132 co-morbid conditions are available at NSOIP official 133 website [10]. Concurrent procedures were extracted using 134 the respective CPT codes and consisted of feeding tube 135 placement, cholecystectomy, removal of adjustable gastric 136 band, bowel resection, lysis of adhesions, and abdominal 137 wall hernia repair. Postoperative complication was defined 138 as occurrence of any adverse events in the index admission. 139 Adverse events included wound infection, organ/space 140 surgical site infection, stroke, coma, myocardial infarction, 141 cardiac arrest, acute kidney injury, deep vein thrombosis, 142 pulmonary embolism (PE), pneumonia, reintubation, failure 143 to wean (mechanical ventilation >48 hr), sepsis, septic 144 shock, and need for transfusion. Reoperation in the index 145 admission, total hospital length of stay (LOS), mortality, 146 and discharge to a rehabilitation center, separate acute care 147 setting, or nursing facility were also considered. 148

### Stratification and endpoints

Patients were assigned to the early discharge (ED) group 152 if discharged on POD 1 and late discharge (LD) group if 153 discharged on POD 2 or 3. Thirty-day outcomes were 154 compared between the 2 groups stratified by LSG or 155 LRYGB. The main endpoints were (1) 30-day unplanned 156 readmission, classified as inpatient stay to the same or 157 another hospital within 30 days of the procedure; and (2) 158 30-day adverse events individually and also in aggregates, 159 including "any complication" and "surgical site complica-160 tion" ("superficial wound infection, deep surgical incision 161 infection, organ specific infection, bleeding led to blood 162 transfusion, and wound dehiscence"). 163

149

150

151

164

165

166

#### Statistical analysis

Study parameters are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD and 167 number (%). ED and LD groups were compared using 168  $\chi^2$  or Fisher's exact tests for categorical variables and 169 Student's t test or Mann-Whitney U tests for continuous 170 variables, as appropriate. Logistic regression models were 171 fit to (1) examine the contribution of early discharge on 172 unplanned 30-day readmission and (2) find the predictors of 173 late discharge versus early discharge. The models included 174 variables associated with P < .1 in univariate analyses, and 175 adjustments were made in a backward stepwise elimination 176 Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5662091

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5662091

Daneshyari.com