

Available online at

**ScienceDirect** 

www.sciencedirect.com

Elsevier Masson France

EM consulte www.em-consulte.com/en



CrossMark

# Editorial Current role for spa therapy in rheumatology

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Spa therapy Creno-balneotherapy Hydrotherapy Osteoarthritis Low back pain Fibromyalgia Ankylosing spondylitis Rheumatoid arthritis

Spa therapy is a multifaceted treatment that uses mineral water taken directly from a natural source and delivered rapidly to the point of use. Delivery is via diverse modalities of showers, baths, pools, and mists. The water can be mixed with various types of clay, which is then applied to the skin as peloids or cataplasms. Finally, the mineral water can serve as a vector for massage, as with massage jets.

Spa therapy is claimed to add beneficial effects to those produced by physiotherapy (massages and supervised mobilization in a pool) and hydrotherapy (pool, baths, showers, and saunas). In France, both the nature of the interventions and the training of the personnel are defined by agreement between the spa and the statutory health insurance agency. Session durations and temperatures vary across spas: 10 to 30 minutes at 33 °C to 36 °C for pool therapy; 10 to 20 minutes at 38 °C for baths and showers; 10 to 20 minutes and 42 °C to 45 °C for mud applications; and 10 to 20 minutes at adjustable temperatures of 37 °C to 44 °C for sauna sessions.

## 1. Methodology

Long viewed as an alternative treatment that owed little to scientific evidence, spa therapy was, on the contrary, among the earliest evaluated nonpharmacological treatments. Thus, randomized controlled trials were already available in the late 1980s in international peer-reviewed publications. As with other physical treatments, patient blinding [1] and the development of a convincing placebo are major challenges, leaving room for a strong influence of a potential Hawthorne effect.

Some randomized trials compared spa therapy to either no treatment or continued usual treatment. This design carries a risk of deception bias and frequently increases patient attrition in the control group. To induce patients to stay in studies of spa therapy, Collin et al. suggested offering the spa treatment to the controls at the end of the study [2]. Deception bias was not attenuated by this

method. Other trials compared spa therapy to a validated treatment or to the standard of care. Spa therapy was then viewed as effective only if it proved superior over the comparator. Finally, some trials involved randomizing the patients before seeking their informed consent, as described by Zelen [3], to obtain at least partial patient blinding, thereby limiting deception bias. The optimal methodology remains to be devised. One option for further minimizing bias might consist in stratifying the randomization scheme based on patient preferences and expectations [4].

## 2. Results of the main clinical trials

Among studies of chronic low back pain, the best are, oddly enough, among the earliest [5,6] (Table 1). The more rigorous design and larger sample sizes of these early studies avoided overestimation of the treatment effect [7]. Spa therapy significantly improved both pain and functional impairments after 3 and 6 months. Concomitantly, medication consumption diminished. Range of motion of the lumbar spine was not significantly different between the groups. In one study, a patient education program delivered during a 3-week spa treatment was better in alleviating fears and deleterious beliefs compared to simple patient information [8]. The IMuRa randomized blinded trial of hydrotherapy and rehabilitation at German and Austrian spas characterized by naturally radon-rich water showed no difference between water with versus without radon [9].

A larger number of studies are available for lower limb osteoarthritis, and several recent studies have a low risk of bias [9–12]. In a multicenter randomized controlled trial done at three major spas in France [12], randomization was performed according to Zelen's design. The results established that combined spa therapy and home exercises were superior over home exercises alone. Pain, function (WOMAC index), and opinions of the physician and patient were improved after 3, 6, and 9 months. These gains were clinically relevant to the patients. No significant differences were found for quality of life or medication use; instead, analgesic use was slightly higher in the spa therapy group. The above-mentioned IMuRa trial of spa hydrotherapy and rehabilitation [9] showed no difference between water with and without radon for pain relief (primary outcome) but found better WOMAC index values with radon after 6 months. Two studies done by the same group in patients with knee and hand osteoarthritis, respectively, compared continued usual treatment in patients on waiting lists for spa therapy to mud bath and pool therapy at a spa [11,13]. Spa therapy was associated with significantly better outcomes for pain, WOMAC index components, use of analgesics and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs,

1297-319X/© 2016 Société française de rhumatologie. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

#### Table 1

Main clinical trial in spa therapy.

| Study                                | Year | Diagnosis                | Spa therapy  | Type of control<br>intervention                                    | Sample<br>size | Results   |  |                       |
|--------------------------------------|------|--------------------------|--|--|----------------|---|--|-----------------------|
|                                      |      |                          |  |  |                | P<0.05  | P>0.05   | Follow-up<br>(months) |
| Fioravanti, 2012                     | 2012 | Knee OA                  | 12 sessions over 2 weeks,<br>20 min baths at 38° in the<br>mineral water   | Standard treatment<br>(waiting list)                               | 60             | Pain, WOMAC, Lequesne, AIMS,<br>SF36  |  | 3                     |
| Fioravanti, 2015                     | 2015 | Knee OA                  | 12 sessions over 2 weeks,<br>20 min baths at 38° in the<br>mineral water, application of<br>mud at 42° for 20 min + usual<br>treatment   | Standard treatment<br>(waiting list)                               | 105            | WOMAC, SF12 physical<br>component, EQ5D, EQ5D VAS   | SF12 physical component  | 6                     |
| Forestier, 2010                      | 2010 | Knee OA                  | Home exercises + 18<br>applications of mud at 45° for<br>15 min, steam at 38° for<br>10 min, underwater massages<br>for 10 min, exercises in water<br>at 35° for 25 min  | Home exercises   | 462            | Pain, WOMAC, physician's opinion, patient's opinion   | Medication use, SF36   | 9                     |
| Fioravanti, 2014                     | 2014 | Hand OA                  | 12 mud applications and baths<br>in the mineral water + standard<br>treatment  | Usual treatment  | 60             | Functional index, HAQ, medication use   | Pain, morning stiffness,<br>SF36   | 6                     |
| Graber, 1998                         | 1998 | Hand OA                  | 18 sessions of Berthollet's<br>technique at 40° for 10 min   | 18 applications of ibuprofen gel                                   | 116            | Topographic index, grip<br>strength, physician's opinion,<br>patient's opinion, Dreiser's<br>index  | Swollen joint count,<br>medication use   | 6                     |
| Donmez, 2005                         | 2005 | Fibromyalgia             | 12 days of spa therapy, mineral<br>water pool (at 36 °C for 20 min)<br>everyday and high-pressure<br>jet, mineral water shower at<br>37 °C, 15-min massages  | Usual treatment  | 30             | FIQ, pain, tender points  | Sleep, fatigue,<br>gastrointestinal<br>disorders, anxiety,<br>depression, patient's<br>opinion | 6                     |
| Zijlstra, 2005 and 2007              | 2005 | Fibromyalgia             | 3-hour sessions for 15 days:<br>hammam, seaweed packs,<br>underwater massages,<br>hydromassage pool,<br>underwater jets, mobilisation<br>in a pool + group exercise<br>sessions + patient education<br>program | Patient education<br>program                                       | 132            | SF36 physical component, FIQ,<br>McGill Pain questionnaire,<br>patient's opinion, physical<br>activity, number of tender<br>points                    | SF36 mental<br>component, Beck<br>depression inventory,<br>sleep                               | 3                     |
| Constant, 1995                       | 1995 | Chronic low back<br>pain | 6 days of spa therapy per week<br>for 6 weeks  | Usual treatment<br>(waiting list)                                  | 126            | Pain duration, pain severity,<br>hand-floor distance, Schöber,<br>patient's opinion,<br>Rolland-Morris questionnaire,<br>use of NSAIDs and analgesics | None   | 6                     |
| Constant, 1998                       | 1998 | Chronic low back<br>pain | 6 days of spa therapy per week<br>for 6 weeks, 10 min baths at<br>36 °C with underwater jets,<br>15-min applications of mud at<br>45 °C, and 20-min underwater<br>massages at 36 °C                            | Usual treatment<br>(waiting list)                                  | 224            | Duke health profile, hand-floor<br>distance, pain intensity and<br>severity, Rolland-Morris<br>questionnaire, patient's<br>opinion                    | Schöber's index  | 3                     |
| Coudeyre, 2005 and<br>Gremeaux, 2012 | 2005 | Chronic low back<br>pain | 6 days of spa therapy per week<br>for 6 weeks + education<br>(information on managing back<br>pain, reconditioning exercises)  | Spa therapy 6 days a<br>week for 3<br>weeks + patient<br>education | 360            | FABQ, patient satisfaction  | Pain, Quebec Back Pain<br>Disability scale   | 6                     |

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5667775

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5667775

Daneshyari.com