

Accepted Manuscript



Single versus double-layer uterine closure at cesarean: impact on lower uterine segment thickness at next pregnancy

Chantale Vachon-Marceau, MD, Suzanne Demers, MD, MSc, Emmanuel Bujold, MD, MSc, Stephanie Roberge, PhD, Robert J. Gauthier, MD, Jean-Charles Pasquier, MD, PhD, Mario Girard, RT, Nils Chaillet, PhD, Michel Boulvain, MD, PhD, Nicole Jastrow, MD

PII: S0002-9378(17)30370-8

DOI: [10.1016/j.ajog.2017.02.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2017.02.042)

Reference: YMOB 11562

To appear in: *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*

Received Date: 5 December 2016

Revised Date: 11 February 2017

Accepted Date: 24 February 2017

Please cite this article as: Vachon-Marceau C, Demers S, Bujold E, Roberge S, Gauthier RJ, Pasquier J-C, Girard M, Chaillet N, Boulvain M, Jastrow N, Single versus double-layer uterine closure at cesarean: impact on lower uterine segment thickness at next pregnancy, *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2017.02.042.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Single versus double-layer uterine closure at cesarean: impact on lower**
2 **uterine segment thickness at next pregnancy**

3
4 Chantale VACHON-MARCEAU, MD¹; Suzanne DEMERS, MD, MSc^{1,2}; Emmanuel BUJOLD,
5 MD, MSc^{1,2}; Stephanie ROBERGE, PhD²; Robert J. GAUTHIER, MD³; Jean-Charles
6 PASQUIER, MD, PhD⁴; Mario GIRARD, RT²; Nils CHAILLET, PhD^{1,2}; Michel BOULVAIN,
7 MD, PhD⁵; Nicole JASTROW, MD⁵

8
9 ¹Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Université Laval, QC, Canada;
10 ²Centre de recherche du CHU de Québec-Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada ; ³Department
11 of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC,
12 Canada; ⁴Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Université de
13 Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, QC, Canada; ⁵Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Faculty of
14 Medicine, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève, Université de Genève, Switzerland.

15
16 **Conflict of interest:** The authors report no conflict of interest.

17 **Role of the funding source:** This study was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health
18 Research (CIHR operating grant #210974), the Geneva University Hospitals (PRD #09-II-28),
19 and the Jeanne et Jean-Louis Levesque Perinatal Research Chair at Université Laval, Canada. Dr.
20 Emmanuel Bujold hold a Research's salary award from the Fonds de la Recherche du Québec –
21 Santé and Stephanie Roberge hold a Research's salary award from CIHR.

22
23 **Corresponding author:**

24 Nicole Jastrow, MD
25 Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
26 Faculty of Medicine, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève
27 Université de Genève, Switzerland.
28 30 Bd de la Cluse
29 Tel: +4122 3724148
30 Fax: +41223724146
31 Email: nicole.jastrowmeyer@hcuge.ch

32
33 **Word count:** Abstract: 390 words Text: 2276 words

34
35 **Table to be included in the Print Version:** Table 2

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5675722>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5675722>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)