

Intimate partner violence and pregnancy: epidemiology and impact

Q6 Q1 Christian A. Chisholm, MD; Linda Bullock, PhD, RN; James E. (JEF) Ferguson II, MD, MBA

It is an honor and a privilege to be asked by President Pinkerton to deliver the President's Invited Lecture to this august body. I would like to add my congratulations to those of others in relation to her distinguished service to the South Atlantic Association of Obstetricians and Gynecologists and the excellent meeting she and Dr Toledo have developed.

I chose to use this opportunity to focus on a brief update about the subject of intimate partner violence (IPV). It is focused for the practicing obstetricians and gynecologists in our unique role providing care to pregnant women and our role in the ambulatory setting as primary health care providers for women.

In 2010 I addressed the topic of IPV at length.¹ I specifically reviewed information about the prevalence, short- and long-term health consequences, financial consequences, and the lack of unanimity about screening. Furthermore, I examined training and testing expectations by 2 of our specialty organizations, barriers to screening and offered recommendations related to clinical care, education, and research.

But that was then and this is now. Fortunately, there have been important

From the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Virginia School of Medicine (Drs Chisholm and Ferguson), and Department of Nursing, Associate Dean for Research, University of Virginia, School of Nursing (Dr Bullock), Charlottesville, VA.
Received March 1, 2017; revised May 9, 2017; accepted May 17, 2017.

The authors report no conflict of interest.
79th Annual Meeting of the South Atlantic Association of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
Corresponding author: James E. Ferguson II, MD, MBA. jef2a@virginia.edu

0002-9378/\$36.00

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2017.05.042>

Intimate partner violence is a significant public health problem in our society, affecting women disproportionately. Intimate partner violence takes many forms, including physical violence, sexual violence, stalking, and psychological aggression. While the scope of intimate partner violence is not fully documented, nearly 40% of women in the United States are victims of sexual violence in their lifetimes and 20% are victims of physical intimate partner violence. Other forms of intimate partner violence are likely particularly underreported. Intimate partner violence has a substantial impact on a woman's physical and mental health. Physical disorders include the direct consequences of injuries sustained after physical violence, such as fractures, lacerations and head trauma, sexually transmitted infections and unintended pregnancies as a consequence of sexual violence, and various pain disorders. Mental health impacts include an increased risk of depression, anxiety, posttraumatic stress disorder, and suicide. These adverse health effects are amplified in pregnancy, with an increased risk of pregnancy outcomes such as preterm birth, low birthweight, and small for gestational age. In many US localities, suicide and homicide are leading causes of pregnancy-associated mortality. We herein review the issues noted previously in greater depth and introduce the basic principles of intimate partner violence prevention. We separately address current recommendations for intimate partner violence screening and the evidence surrounding effectiveness of intimate partner violence interventions.

Key words: intimate partner violence, physical violence, psychological aggression, reproductive coercion, sexual violence, stalking

studies to address this topic in the interval. Our goal today is to provide a concise update on the state of the art and expand upon previously recommended guidelines for clinical care. We will focus on perinatal IPV. Herein we will update information about the definition, prevalence, consequences and attempts at prevention.

In the second part of this review, screening (including compliance with recommendations and barriers), promising interventions, and clinical recommendations will be addressed and offered.² At the conclusion of this review, it will be apparent that while IPV remains a devastating plague to our society, the case for screening has been strengthened and there are promising new interventions available.

IPV is a significant public health problem that continues to destroy lives daily and should be largely preventable. In this review we will focus on IPV in

women, with a particular focus on pregnant women.

IPV is defined variously by different organizations and countries. Based on changes in the IPV field of knowledge, the definition of IPV was expanded in 2015. This was the result of a comprehensive process sponsored by the National Center for Injury Prevention and Control and Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). An important part of this process was to improve the quality of available IPV data, refining not only the definition but also data elements and data collection processes.

In 2015 Intimate partner violence surveillance, uniform definitions, and recommended data elements (version 2.0) was published.³

The definition(s) currently recommended by the CDC are as follows:

IPV is a preventable public health problem: the term describes physical

111 violence, sexual violence, stalking, and
112 psychological aggression (including co-
113ercive acts) by a current or former inti-
114mate partner.

115 An intimate partner is a person with
116 whom one has a close personal rela-
117 tionship that can be characterized by the
118 following: emotional connectedness,
119 regular contact, ongoing physical contact
120 and/or sexual behavior, identity as a
121 couple or familiarity, and knowledge
122 about each other's lives.

123 There are 4 main types of IPV
124 including the following:

- 125 • Physical violence is the intentional use
126 of physical force with the potential for
127 causing death, disability, injury, or
128 harm.
- 129 • Sexual violence is divided into 5 cat-
130egories. Any of these acts constitute
131 sexual violence, whether attempted or
132 completed. All of these acts occur
133 without the victim's freely given con-
134 sent, including cases in which the
135 victim is unable to consent because of
136 being too intoxicated (eg, incapacita-
137 tion, lack of consciousness, or lack of
138 awareness) through their voluntary or
139 involuntary use of alcohol or drugs.
- 140 ○ Rape or penetration of victim. This
141 includes completed or attempted,
142 forced, or alcohol/drug-facilitated
143 unwanted vaginal, oral, or anal
144 insertion.
- 145 ○ Victim was made to penetrate
146 someone else. This includes
147 completed or attempted, forced,
148 or alcohol/drug-facilitated in-
149 cidents when the victim was made
150 to sexually penetrate a perpetrator
151 or someone else without the vic-
152 tim's consent.
- 153 ○ Nonphysically pressured un-
154 wanted penetration. This includes
155 incidents in which the victim was
156 pressured verbally or through
157 intimidation or misuse of author-
158 ity to consent or acquiesce to being
159 penetrated.
- 160 ○ Unwanted sexual contact. This
161 includes intentional touching of
162 the victim or making the victim
163 touch the perpetrator, either
164 directly or through the clothing,
165 on the genitalia, anus, groin,

166 breast, inner thigh, or buttocks
without the victim's consent.

- Noncontact unwanted sexual ex-
periences. This includes unwanted
sexual events that are not of a
physical nature that occur without
the victim's consent.
- Stalking is a pattern of repeated, un-
wanted, attention, and contact that
causes fear or concern for one's own
safety or the safety of someone else
(eg, family member or friend).
- Psychological aggression is the use of
verbal and nonverbal communication
with the intent to harm another per-
son mentally or emotionally and/or to
exert control over another person.

We strongly encourage all clinicians
and investigators to adhere to these
consensus-derived definitions. Doing so
and using the recommended collection
processes and data elements will facili-
tate surveillance for IPV at local and
national levels. Additionally, this allows
for comparison of health-related events
from different data sources and to
monitor trends over time.

Prevalence

In the opinion of the authors, IPV has
reached epidemic proportions in the
United States and globally. Although it
affects both women and men, women
are affected much more frequently and
severely. Based on the most recent data
published from the United States in
2014, it is estimated that in 2011, 7000
women were raped and 25,000 women
were victims of other forms of sexual
violence each day.⁴

The National Intimate Partner and
Sexual Violence Survey was conducted
by national random-digit-dial tele-
phone methodology, and nearly 13,000
interviews were completed of English-
and Spanish-speaking individuals in 50
states and the District of Columbia.
Nearly 1 in 5 women (19.3%) had been
raped in their lifetime and 2 in 5 (43.9%)
experienced other forms of sexual
violence during their lifetimes. About 1
in 5 women (22.3%) have experienced
severe physical violence, and stalking was
experienced by 1 in 10 (9.2%). Of female
rape victims, an estimated 78.2% were

167 first raped before 25 years of age and
168 40.4% experienced rape before age 18
169 years⁴ (Figure).

The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveil-
[F1] 170 lance System survey provides evidence
171 that significant health disparities exist in
172 the prevalence of IPV based on race/
173 ethnicity, age, income, and educational
174 attainment.⁵ The data from global as-
175 sessments are equally worrisome. Glob-
176 ally, 30% of women aged 15 years and
177 older have experienced physical and/or
178 sexual IPV over their lifetime. There is
179 considerable regional variation with the
180 lowest rates (~15% to 20%) in East
181 Asia, Western Europe, and North
182 America and the highest rate (65%) in
183 central Sub-Saharan Africa.⁶

Reproductive coercion is a prominent
aspect of IPV and includes behaviors
aimed at controlling reproductive or
sexual health such as refusal to use birth
control, coerced pregnancy termination
or continuation, sabotage of birth con-
trol efforts, etc. Obstetrician/gynecolo-
gists are in a unique position to detect
the presence of reproductive coercion. If
present, as many as 75% of patients
report other forms of IPV.⁷

Estimates of the prevalence of IPV
during pregnancy vary widely and are
heavily influenced by sociodemographic
characteristics. Data from a 2009-2010
survey in a 30-state area revealed that
3.2% of pregnant women reported that
they had been pushed, hit, slapped,
kicked, choked, or physically hurt in
some other way during their most recent
pregnancy. Nearly 7% of teen mothers
reported IPV during pregnancy
compared with fewer than 2% of
mothers older than 30 years of age.

Rates of IPV during pregnancy for
mothers with less than 12 years of edu-
cation were 4.5% compared with 1% in
those with more than 16 years of edu-
cation. Overall, the highest prevalence of
IPV during pregnancy was reported in
non-Hispanic American Indian/Alaska
Native and non-Hispanic black gravidas
(6.5% and 5.8%, respectively), and the
lowest prevalence was seen among non-
Hispanic Asian gravidas (1.5%).⁸
Bailey⁹ reported even higher rates,
noting physical and sexual violence to be
present in 28% and 20%, respectively, of

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5676183>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5676183>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)