56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

Q13

01

OBSTETRICS

Reduction of severe maternal morbidity from hemorrhage using a state perinatal quality collaborative

Elliott K. Main, MD; Valerie Cape; Anisha Abreo, MPH; Julie Vasher, DNP, RNC-OB, CNS; Amanda Woods, MA; Andrew Carpenter; Jeffrey B. Gould, MD, MPH

BACKGROUND: Obstetric hemorrhage is the leading cause of severe maternal morbidity and of preventable maternal mortality in the United States. The California Maternal Quality Care Collaborative developed a comprehensive quality improvement tool kit for hemorrhage based on the national patient safety bundle for obstetric hemorrhage and noted promising results in pilot implementation projects.

OBJECTIVE: We sought to determine whether these safety tools can be scaled up to reduce severe maternal morbidity in women with obstetric hemorrhage using a large maternal quality collaborative.

STUDY DESIGN: We report on 99 collaborative hospitals (256,541 annual births) using a before-and-after model with 48 noncollaborative comparison hospitals (81,089 annual births) used to detect any systemic trends. Both groups participated in the California Maternal Data Center providing baseline and rapid-cycle data. Baseline period was the 48 months from January 2011 through December 2014. The collaborative started in January 2015 and the postintervention period was the 6 months from October 2015 through March 2016. We modified the Institute for Healthcare Improvement collaborative model for achieving breakthrough improvement to include the mentor model whereby 20 pairs of nurse and physician mentors experienced in quality improvement gave additional support to small groups of 6-8 hospitals. The national hemorrhage safety bundle served as the template for quality improvement action. The main outcome measurement was the composite Centers for Disease Control and Prevention severe maternal morbidity measure, for both the target population of women with hemorrhage and the overall delivery population. The rate of adoption of bundle elements was used as an indicator of hospital engagement and intensity.

RESULTS: Compared to baseline period, women with hemorrhage in collaborative hospitals experienced a 20.8% reduction in severe maternal morbidity while women in comparison hospitals had a 1.2% reduction (P < .0001). Women in hospitals with prior hemorrhage collaborative experience experienced an even larger 28.6% reduction. Fewer mothers with transfusions accounted for two thirds of the reduction in collaborative hospitals and fewer procedures and medical complications, the remainder. The rate of severe maternal morbidity among all women in collaborative hospitals was 11.7% lower and women in hospitals with prior hemorrhage collaborative experience had a 17.5% reduction. Improved outcomes for women were noted in all hospital types (regional, medium, small, health maintenance organization, and nonhealth maintenance organization). Overall, 54% of hospitals completed 14 of 17 bundle elements, 76% reported regular unit-based drills, and 65% reported regular posthemorrhage debriefs. Higher rate of bundle adoption was associated with improvement of maternal morbidity only in hospitals with high initial rates of severe maternal morbidity.

CONCLUSION: We used an innovative collaborative quality improvement approach (mentor model) to scale up implementation of the national hemorrhage bundle. Participation in the collaborative was strongly associated with reductions in severe maternal morbidity among hemorrhage patients. Women in hospitals in their second collaborative had an even greater reduction in morbidity than those approaching the bundle for the first time, reinforcing the concept that quality improvement is a long-term and cumulative process.

Key words: hemorrhage, maternal morbidity, outcomes, quality collaboratives, quality improvement, safety, safety bundles

Introduction

Obstetric hemorrhage is the most common cause of maternal mortality in the world¹ and remains the cause of maternal mortality in the United States that has the greatest chance of preventability.^{2,3} Recent evidence indicates that the rate of obstetric hemorrhage is increasing in the United States⁴ and

Cite this article as: Main EK, Cape V, Abreo A, et al. Reduction of severe maternal morbidity from hemorrhage using a state perinatal quality collaborative. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;volume:x.ex-x.ex.

- 0002-9378/\$36.00
- © 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2017.01.017

hemorrhage is by far the most frequent cause of severe maternal morbidity.^{5,6} Therefore, it has been the focus of worldwide research to find new treatments. But perhaps more importantly, there has also been an effort to better establish, disseminate, and implement a structured team approach for the care of a mother with hemorrhage. In California, a multidisciplinary task force developed a quality improvement tool kit of best practices and implementation strategies.⁷ This approach has been shown to be of benefit in individual hospitals⁸ and a health system⁹ and was one of the foundations for the National Partnership for Maternal Safety Consensus Bundle for Obstetric Hemorrhage.¹⁰ In the current project we seek to determine if this approach can reduce severe maternal morbidity from obstetric hemorrhage when scaled up to include >100 hospitals with a broad range of sizes and affiliations that collectively care for >250,000 births each year. The project aims to improve response to obstetric hemorrhage so that fewer mothers (both those with hemorrhage and overall) experience transfusions, major procedures, or serious medical complications.

Materials and Methods

Our study plan, the analysis, and this report were designed following the SQUIRE 2.0 standards for quality

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Original Research OBSTETRICS

ajog.org

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

111 improvement research.¹¹ For context, 112 the California Maternal Quality Care 113 Collaborative (CMQCC) is a multidis-114ciplinary multistakeholder quality 115 collaborative based at Stanford Univer-116 sity since 2006. CMQCC has a long track 117 record of developing quality improve-118 ment tool kits comprising best practices, 119 educational tools, and sample protocols, 120 policies, and other implementation 121 aides. Each tool kit was followed by ≥ 1 122 multihospital quality collaboratives to 123 test the recommendations and materials. 124 The CMQCC obstetric hemorrhage tool 125 kit was first developed in 2010 and 126 updated in 2015.7 Two learning collab-127 oratives with 25-30 volunteer California 128 hospitals were undertaken in 2011 and 129 2013.¹² Subsequent key informant 130 interviews with participants¹³ were used 131 to design this statewide implementation 132 project. The collaborative content fol-133 lowed the organization of the National 134 Partnership for Maternal Safety 135 Consensus Bundle for Obstetric Hem-136 orrhage¹⁰ with 4 domains (readiness, 137 recognition and prevention, response, 138 reporting/systems improvement). Each 139 of these domains has a series of recom-140 mended bundle elements.

141 The California Partnership for 142 Maternal Safety (CPMS) collaborative 143 was established by CMQCC, in part-144nership and collaboration with the 145 California Hospital Association/Hospital 146 Quality Institute, the California district 147 of the American Congress of Obstetri-148 cians and Gynecologists, and the 149 California section of the Association of 150 Women's Health, Obstetric and 151 Neonatal Nurses. Invitations to partici-152 pate in the state quality collaborative to 153 reduce maternal morbidity were sent by 154 each partner to all 245 California 155 hospitals with maternity services. The 156 CPMS collaborative began in January 157 2015 and lasted for 18 months. In all, 158 126 hospitals joined the collaborative 159 in a staggered manner over the first 160 6 months. Of these hospitals, 99 partic-161 ipated in the California Maternal Data 162 Center and this report will focus on 163 these. The Figure describes the stages of 164 hospital participation and analysis. The 165 first year of each hospital's participation 166 was focused on obstetric hemorrhage.

Baseline outcome data were collected for the 48 months from January 2011 through December 2014. The postintervention period was considered the last 6 months of the project from October 2015 through March 2016.

The implementation strategy was similar to our earlier multihospital quality collaboratives and was based on the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) collaborative model for achieving breakthrough improvement that emphasizes data-driven PDSA cycles, community of learning, at least 2 all-participant face-to-face meetings, and monthly check-in telephone calls. We found in our earlier experience with this model that as the number of participating hospitals increased >20 it was increasingly difficult to provide individual attention and the monthly telephone calls became less productive. To scale up to >100 hospitals while still retaining the key attributes of the breakthrough series approach, we used a modified approach. This was the mentor model wherein a physician and nurse pair with maternal quality improvement experience were matched with groups of 5-8 hospitals. The hospital groups were often geographic or system based. The mentors were not from the facilities they supported and served as facilitators leading the monthly telephone calls, providing small group leadership and personal accountability. A CMQCC staff member also supported the mentor groups and attended all telephone calls to coordinate and share lessons and ideas from all the groups. In-person full-day meetings for learning and sharing involving all hospital teams were held toward the beginning and the end of the project. Additionally, hospitals were encouraged to share resources and discussion on a collaborative list-serv.

A key feature of the collaborative was the use of the CMQCC Maternal Data Center for data collection of structure, process, and outcome measures. The maternal data center is a rapid-cycle system that minimizes data collection burden, designed in partnership with state agencies. The data center receives and automatically links birth certificate and hospital discharge diagnosis data files on a monthly basis, 45 days after the end of every month. The data center was used to: (1) collect outcome measures, including a baseline of 48 months; (2) provide a user-friendly interface for structure and process measure collection; and (3) display monthly progress against others in the collaborative. During this study, 147 California hospitals were actively submitting monthly data to the maternal data center. In all, 99 were in the CPMS collaborative and 48 were not. Given long delays and difficulties in Q2 data collection among the 25 CPMS collaborative member hospitals not actively participating in the maternal data center, this report is based solely on those 147 hospitals actively reporting (Figure). An important role for the 48 [F1] noncollaborative comparison hospitals was to identify whether there were any widespread external trends that could account for changes in severe maternal morbidity.

Outcome measures were designed to be collected automatically using the 2 linked administrative data sets for all hospitals. This allowed for simultaneous and prospective collection of data from the noncollaborative comparison hospitals. A collaborative-specific interface was created in the maternal data center to allow hospital teams to easily enter dates for bundle completion and process measures. In addition, hospital teams could follow their individual progress and compare to other deidentified hospitals in the collaborative. Hospitals were divided for an additional analysis into those that had participated in an earlier hemorrhage CMQCC and those that had not.

We had extensive prior experience with validation of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) measure of severe maternal morbidity¹⁵ among Q3 California hospitals¹⁶ and its use for quality improvement projects.¹⁷ This measure is a collection of medical and surgical diagnosis and procedure codes that had an excess association with maternal death (Table 1). We also [T1] collaborated with the CDC to revise the definition of severe maternal morbidity to include International Statistical Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision

1.e2 American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology MONTH 2017

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5676257

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5676257

Daneshyari.com