Determinant Effects of Average Fasting Plasma Glucose on Mortality in Diabetic End-Stage Renal Disease Patients on Maintenance Hemodialysis

Yi-Chun Lin^{1,2}, Yen-Chung Lin^{3,4,5}, Hsi-Hsien Chen⁴, Tzen-Wen Chen⁴, Chi-Cheng Hsu⁶ and Mai-Szu Wu^{4,5}

¹Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Department of Medicine, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; ²Faculty of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan; ³Graduate Institute of Clinical Medicine, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; ⁴Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; and ⁶Center for Health Policy Research and Development, National Health Research Institutes, Miaoli County, Taiwan

Introduction: Diabetic kidney disease is an increasingly frequent cause of end-stage renal disease. However, mixed results were shown between glycated hemoglobin and mortality.

Methods: We used the average fasting plasma glucose (FPG) levels to predict mortality rates in long-term hemodialysis patients. We enrolled 46,332 hemodialysis patients with diabetes mellitus, who were registered in the Taiwan Renal Registry Data System between January 2005 and December 2012. The patients were stratified based on the quartiles of average FPG levels measured for the first (1-year FPG) and third years (3-year FPG) of hemodialysis. Survival analysis was conducted via multivariable Cox regression.

Results: After the first year of hemodialysis, the mean FPG levels were 103.5 ± 14.5 , 144.7 ± 11.5 , 189.6 ± 15.2 , and 280.8 ± 1.2 mg/dl for the first, second, third, and fourth quartile, respectively. The Kaplan-Meier curve showed an incremental reduction in the survival as FPG levels increased (P < 0.0001). In the Cox regression model, the adjusted hazard ratios were 1.15 (95% Cl: 1.10-1.20), 1.30 (95% Cl: 1.25-1.36), and 1.45 (95% Cl: 1.39-1.51) for the pairwise comparisons between the first quartile and the second, third, and fourth quartile, respectively. Similar trends were observed by 3-year FPG. Patients whose FPG levels increased had a 22% increased risk (95% Cl: 1.16-1.29) for all-cause mortality compared with patients whose FPG levels decreased.

Discussion: Our results suggest that the average FPG levels are useful predictors of all-cause mortality in dialysis patients. In addition, an increasing trend in average FPG levels indicates poor survival.

Kidney Int Rep (2016) ■, ■-■; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2016.08.020 KEYWORDS: end-stage renal disease; fasting blood glucose; hemodialysis; mortality; renal replacement therapy © 2016 International Society of Nephrology. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

The incidence of diabetic kidney disease is rapidly increasing across the world.¹ Furthermore, diabetic kidney disease accounts for nearly half of the incident end-stage renal disease (ESRD) cases.^{2,3} Several landmark trials such as the Diabetes Control and Complication Trial⁴ with the Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications follow-up study,⁵ and the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study⁶ have shown that sustained, long-term, intensive glycemic control can reduce microvascular complications. How-ever, numerous observational studies examining the association between glycemic control and outcome in dialysis patients have reported mixed conclusions. In a cohort of 24,875 diabetic patients undergoing hemo-dialysis at Fresenius Medical Care facilities, no associ-ation between glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) and survival was observed during short-term follow-up;⁷ however, during a follow-up of 3 years, increased risk of mortality was found for patients with HbAlc levels of <48 mmol/mol or >97 mmol/mol (IFCC units).⁸ Q2 Similar results were reported in a larger cohort of 54,757 diabetic patients undergoing hemodialysis at

KREPORTS

KIReports.org

Correspondence: Mai-Szu Wu and Yen-Chung Lin, No.252, Wu-xing St. Taipei, Taiwan, ROC. E-mail: maiszuwu@gmail.com and yclin0229@tmu.edu.tw

Received 9 June 2016; revised 19 August 2016; accepted 28 August 2016; published online 9 August 2016

CLINICAL RESEARCH -

103 DaVita facilities; specifically, HbA1c levels of <42 104 mmol/mol or >64 mmol/mol (IFCC units) were associ-105 ated with an increased risk of mortality.⁹ At present, 106 clinical practice guidelines published by the Kidney 107 Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative and the Kidney 108 Disease: Improving Global Outcomes foundation 109 recommend that HbA1c levels be maintained over 53 110 mmol/mol (IFCC units) even in patients with advanced 111 chronic kidney disease and dialysis patients.¹⁰ In 112 Q3 Taiwan, blood tests are performed every month for 113 dialysis patients, and the reports are uploaded to the 114Taiwan Renal Registry Data System (TWRDS) quar-115 terly. However, multiple factors associated with ESRD 116 such as erythrocyte fragility and anemia may cause 117 divergent HbA1c levels. On the other hand, fasting 118 plasma glucose (FPG) levels are not affected by varia-119 tions in hematocrit or uremic toxin levels. Hence, we 120 propose that short-term (1-year) or long-term (3-year) 121 FPG-based indicators may serve as predictors for 122 mortality rates in hemodialysis patients. In this study, 123 we used data recorded between 2005 and 2012 in the 124 TWRDS to determine whether glycemic levels can be 125 used to predict all-cause mortality in diabetic patients 126 undergoing maintenance hemodialysis. 127

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

This study was approved by the ethics committee of Taipei Medical University's institutional review board (number: N201507028), and was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The requirement for written informed consent was waived, as the data analysis was blinded to the patients' identification information.

137 The Taiwan Renal Registry Data System

138 The TWRDS was founded in 1987 for the accreditation 139 of dialysis therapy at medical facilities in Taiwan. To 140 receive reimbursements within the national health in-141 surance plan, all dialysis units were asked to provide 142 the relevant laboratory data for the patients who un-143 derwent dialysis at any of their facilities. In 1996, a 144self-developed software program, HOPE, was used for computerized data collection. Additional data were 145 gathered in 1997, and included information regarding 146 147 comorbidities such as hypertension, congestive heart 148 failure, left ventricular hypertrophy (defined as a 149 chest-to-thoracic ratio of >0.5 on plain film of the 150 chest), cerebral artery disease, and myocardial infarc-151 tion; rehabilitation status; Kt/V as residual renal func-152 tion plus hemodialysis dose; laboratory data with levels 153 of hematocrit, albumin, alkaline phosphatase, calcium 154 (Ca), phosphate (P), total cholesterol (TC), triglyceride 155 (TG), and intact parathyroid hormone; hepatitis sero-156 logical results; and the use of medication for the

157

158

159

160

161

162

management of hypertension and anemia.¹¹ Therefore, the data available in the TWRDS provide a robust foundation for ongoing quality control of dialysis practice at the national level.^{12–15}

Patient Enrollment

At the end of 2012, a total of 569 hemodialysis units 163 were registered in Taiwan, which submitted seasonal 164 and annual reports to the TWRDS. A total of 115,565 165 patients were registered in the TWRDS between 2005 166 and 2012. Only those patients who had received he-167 modialysis for more than 1 month were considered. 168 After excluding 4661 patients who had changed their 169 dialysis modality, the sample population consisted of 170 110,904 hemodialysis patients. Of these, 9232 patients 171 opted for peritoneal dialysis and 101,672 patients 172 (91.7%) opted for hemodialysis as their initial renal 173 replacement therapy modality. The following hemodi-174 alysis patients were excluded from our study: 52,370 175 (51.5%) nondiabetic patients, 1972 (1.9%) patients 176 whose records did not include glucose level measure-177 ments, and 998 (1%) patients who were either young 178 (<20 years) or extremely elderly (>90 years). There-179 fore, a total of 46,332 (45.6%) patients with diabetes 180 mellitus were included in this study (Figure 1). 181

The data from the Union Clinical Laboratory were 182 reported to the TWRDS via the Internet by special 183 nurses at the participating dialysis units. The 184 biochemical data, including FPG levels, were collected 185 every 3 months. In the context of our study, the 1-year 186 average FPG levels (1-year FPG) represent the mean 187 levels of FPG in the first year after the initiation of 188 hemodialysis, computed based on a maximum of 4 189 quarterly measurements. Similarly, the 3-year average 190 FPG levels (3-year FPG) represent the mean levels of 191 FPG in the first 3 years after the initiation of hemodi-192 alysis, computed based on a maximum of 12 quarterly 193 measurements. The patients were stratified based on 194 quartile limits of the distribution of 1- and 3-year FPG 195 values. Subsequently, the evolution of each patient was 196 evaluated as the change of status between the 1-year 197 average and the 3-year average with respect to the 198 patient's assignment to a specific FPG quartile. After 199 this analysis, each patient was further assigned to 200 either the "decrease group" (when their corresponding 201 3-year FPG quartile was inferior to their 1-year quar-202 tile) or the "increase group" (when their 3-year FPG 203 quartile was superior to their 1-year quartile). 204

The primary outcome measured in this study was the2053-year mortality rate in different quartiles of 1-year and2063-year FPG. Three-year mortality rate was also compared207between the FPG increase and decrease group. Patients208were identified as dead or lost to follow-up based on their209records of the national health insurance policy, which210

128

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5688399

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5688399

Daneshyari.com