

## Case Report

## Stuffing the nail: A simple technique for the extraction of a broken femoral nail

Jane Lovell\*, Damodaran Krishna, Eugene Gehr

*Hervey Bay Hospital, University of Queensland, Australia*

## ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*

Accepted 21 May 2017

Available online 30 May 2017

*Keywords:*

Femoral nail

Trauma

Nail extraction

Femoral fractures

Surgical techniques

## ABSTRACT

The extraction of broken femoral nails can be a challenging procedure and surgeons should be familiar with many different techniques. This paper demonstrates a case study and new, simple and cost effective technique for the removal of broken cannulated femoral nails. Our technique uses two guide wires of variable diameter and had several key points of differentiation from previous methods. Firstly, stuffing the nail with guide wires of greater total diameter than the cannula; secondly, reversing the second guide wire and finally, bending the tips of the wires. These innovations allow the technique to be used for narrow cannulated nails, superior purchase along the length of the nail, easy wire insertion and limited soft tissue damage. Our technique for the removal of broken femoral nails is quick, effective, cheap and easy to replicate and can be used by any generalist orthopaedic surgeon with basic equipment.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

Extraction of broken distal segment femoral nails in the setting of femoral fracture non-union can be a challenging procedure. Femoral shaft fractures treated with reamed intramedullary (IM) nailing have union rates of 97% to 100% [1] and have several biomechanical advantages over internal fixation with plates [2]. However, in the setting of non-union, the cyclic stress placed on the nail at the non-union focus can result in fracture of the IM nail. Due to implant fatigue, apparently intact nails can break on extraction, with 5 in 60 femoral nails in one study [3] broken at the time of removal.

Whilst intact IM nails are ideally extracted using implant specific threaded extraction bolts, this method requires intact proximal nail threading which is absent in broken femoral nails, making their removal often problematic. Many methods for removal of broken femoral nails have been previously described [1–10], including custom made and long hooks, proximal stacked wires, anterograde parapatellar exchange nailing, pin and nail wedging, smaller nails, multiple guide wires, vise grip pliers and high speed drills (Table 1). Whilst our method follows in the tradition of Middleton's multiple guide wires technique described in 1995 [10], there are several important differences. In this paper we present a classic case study and the simple, effective method used for the retrograde removal of the distal segment broken femoral nail. To our knowledge this technique has not been described before.

## Case presentation

A 65-year-old female patient was first admitted in February 2014 for a left femoral subtrochanteric stress fracture on a background history of osteopaenia, rheumatoid arthritis, previous bilateral Total Knee Replacements and a right neck of femur fracture

\* Corresponding author at: 8 Neave St, Hawthorn East, VIC 3123, Australia.

E-mail address: [jane.lovell@svha.org.au](mailto:jane.lovell@svha.org.au) (J. Lovell).

**Table 1**

A brief summary of the relative strengths and weaknesses of current key techniques.


Technique	Strengths	Weaknesses
Multiple guide wires (Middleton)	Can use distal buried nails, original wounds, imaging not necessary	Poor purchase, not for narrow nails
Custom long hooks (Acharya, Franklin, Zimmerman)	Can use distal buried nails, original wounds	Need large medullary para-implant conduit to pass hook wire, requires imaging
Corkscrew extractor (Wise)	Original wounds, simple	Specialised equipment, need good purchase in nail, not for distal buried nail
Proximal stacked wires (Weinrauch)	Narrow/solid nails, non-patent proximal threads, no imaging	Proximal lag screw holes must be intact and no distal failures
Parapatellar exchange nailing (Rodney)	Retrograde nails, can use solid nails	Additional wounds/scarring, potential damage proximal femur
Pin and nail wedging (Steinmann)	Distal buried hollow nails unreachable by extractor	Additional wounds/scarring, not adjustable to nail diameter, requires imaging
Nail to nail displacement (Haba, Sivannathan)	No requirement to open non-union site, solid nails	Broken fragment removed via parapatellar incision, additional wounds/scarring
Vise grip pliers (Yoslow)	Original wounds, simple	Poor purchase and strength of removal, specialised equipment, not for distal buried nails
High speed drills (Georgiadis)	Original wounds, can use on distal buried nails	Risk of tissue injury by drill, cost and access to equipment
Cerclage wire (Marwan)	Low cost and easy access to equipment, original wounds	Difficult to perform, wire slippage and obstruction

18 months prior treated with two cannulated screws. Three days later the patient underwent a left femoral open reduction and internal fixation with an intramedullary nail. The operation was uncomplicated and a Smith and Nephew 34 cm × 11.5 mm reconstruction nail was inserted with two proximal locking screws. Metastatic and metabolic causes of the fracture were excluded and the patient was discharged to weight bear as tolerated.

One year later, she was referred by her general practitioner to the Emergency Department following sudden left hip pain on twisting whilst washing the dishes. X ray imaging confirmed a broken left IM nail at the level of the second proximal locking screw in the setting of a non-united sub-trochanteric fracture. Review of imaging confirmed the non-union of the original fracture at the last outpatient follow up in December 2014 (Fig. 1). However, this was not addressed at the time and cyclic stress had now caused the nail to break. In March 2015 the patient underwent a successful Removal and Replacement of Broken Left Femoral Intramedullary Nail.

## Technique

On a supine traction bed two incisions are made over the subtrochanteric region and the greater trochanter over the original scars to allow removal of the two locking screws and the proximal broken segment of the nail. In this case, the nail in situ is a Smith and Nephew cannulated IM 34 cm × 11.5 mm nail with an internal diameter of 5.5 mm. Two long guide wires are selected



**Fig. 1.** A: Radiograph on admission March 2015 showing broken IM nail and femoral shaft fracture non-union B: follow up radiograph post exchange femoral nailing March 2015.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5713463>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5713463>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)