



Cost savings in outpatient versus inpatient reduction mammaplasty



A. Carpelan a,b,*, S. Kauhanen a

Received 29 January 2016; accepted 17 May 2016

KEYWORDS

Reduction mammaplasty; Outpatient surgery; Cost savings; Complications **Summary** Background and aims: Reduction mammaplasties are increasingly performed as outpatient procedures. Cost savings are assumed, but published data on the subject are scarce. The aim of this study was to retrospectively determine the possible cost savings achieved by performing reduction mammaplasties as outpatient procedures.

Material and methods: Reduction mammaplasty was performed for 90 outpatients and 44 inpatients, with comparable health status. Demographic, surgical, and complication data were collected retrospectively. Data on the costs of the entire treatment process were acquired and statistical analyses performed.

Conclusions: Reduction mammaplasty performed as an outpatient procedure results in up to 18% cost savings compared with inpatient treatment.

© 2016 British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: anu.carpelan@fimnet.fi (A. Carpelan).

Introduction

Although it is often assumed that outpatient procedures are less expensive than inpatient procedures, published reports on the subject are scarce. Costs involved in cataract surgery have been shown to be 20% higher for inpatients. Day case surgery for Dupuytren's contracture has been shown to be associated with 18% cost savings compared with

^a Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Helsinki University Hospital Jorvi, Finland

^b Department of Digestive Surgery, University of Turku and Turku University Hospital, Finland

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Digestive Surgery, Turku University Hospital, P. O. Box 52, FI-20520 Turku, Finland. Tel.: \pm 358 23135093.

inpatient treatment.² In breast surgery, lumpectomy and mastectomy performed as outpatient procedures have been shown to result in cost savings of 75–78% compared with 2 or 3 days of hospitalization.³

To the best of our knowledge, studies specifically addressing the costs of outpatient reduction mammaplasties have not been published yet, although the issue has been briefly discussed in few previous articles. Buenaventura et al.4 reported cost savings of US \$1500-2500 (25-35%) per patient, but this was based on estimated average costs of a reduction mammaplasty surgery in different facilities and not on direct calculations at the level of specific patients. Davies et al.⁵ reported cost savings of 40-50% compared with the average costs of outpatient (n = 20) and inpatient (n = 20) procedures, but without specifying the parameters included in the costs (except for excluding surgeon's fee). Short et al.⁶ found that outpatient procedures are 30% less expensive than surgeries with a one-night hospital stay, although for longer stays the difference was even higher. However, in their study, the groups were not similar: the inpatients weighed more and had more complications, possibly increasing the costs of treatment. In addition, they did not specify the components of their cost analvsis. Nelson et al. reported on practice profiles of Canadian plastic surgeons in reducing breast size. They mentioned that the day surgery fee was US \$300 and the cost of a 24-h ward admission was US \$1173, resulting in a cost saving of 75%.

In the evaluation of the possible cost benefits of outpatient surgery, a number of different cost parameters have to be taken into account. In addition to the surgical procedure and stay in the outpatient unit or overnight in the hospital ward, the costs of pre- and postoperative planned and unplanned visits to the clinic and possible readmissions and reoperations have to be considered. This will reflect almost the costs of the entire process of surgical treatment of breast hypertrophy as an outpatient or inpatient procedure.

Outpatient breast reduction procedures were started in our university hospital outpatient unit in 2006. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the possible cost savings achieved by this change in practice.

Material and methods

Reduction mammaplasty patient records obtained from our university hospital outpatient unit were examined. The retrospective study covered a period between September 2006 and May 2009. Inclusion criteria were bilateral mammaplasties by either pedicled technique or free nipple grafting with the minimum resection weight of 400 g. Procedures done on males for gynecomastia were excluded from the study. During the study period, some of the patients who were originally selected to have an outpatient procedure were reassigned to be treated as inpatients for nonclinical reasons (e.g., waiting time for an outpatient surgery exceeding 6 months). These patients were then allocated to the inpatient comparison group. Patients who were operated in the operating room of the outpatient unit and admitted

overnight for social reasons (no adult to accompany the patient for the first 24 h postoperatively) were also transferred to the inpatient comparison group.

Medical records of all the eligible patients were reviewed and relevant demographic and surgical information were collected. All complications, reoperations, and unexpected hospital admissions and return visits together with their reasons were recorded. Complications were further defined as minor and major. Minor complications included delayed healing, superficial infections, and seromas, whereas major complications included wound dehiscence of >2 cm lasting for >4 weeks after the surgery, hematomas that required evacuation, deep infections requiring drainage or intravenous antibiotics, and nipple or fat necrosis.

In our university hospital, information about all costs of hospital treatment of individual patients are routinely stored in Ecomed[®] clinical patient administration database (Datawell Ltd., Espoo, Finland). In order to include all costs of the treatment process, data of each patient from the database were viewed from 12 months before the date of surgery to 18 months postoperatively. Data of all costs relating to the treatment of breast hypertrophy or possible postoperative complications were collected.

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS Statistics version 22.0 and R version 2.15.3. Chi square test, Fisher's exact test, and independent sample T-test were performed for univariate analysis as appropriate for each variable. A general linear model was built for univariate analysis between group effects. A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was performed to define demographic or surgical variables correlated with total cost, procedural cost, and ward expenditure. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

During the study period, 90 patients were treated as outpatients and 44 as inpatients. The demographic data as well as type of surgery performed were similar in the outpatient and inpatient groups (Table 1). Drains and prophylactic anticoagulant therapy were used more often in the inpatient group. The complication rate was higher for the inpatients and they also needed additional visits to dressing clinic and emergency polyclinic (Table 2).

The average total costs of the treatment process for the outpatients and inpatients were 4114 and $5039 \in$, respectively, with the difference being statistically significant (p=0.036). The maximum difference between the groups was in ward expenditure, but the costs were higher for the inpatients in all of the recorded cost categories (Table 3). The most expensive part of the process was the surgical procedure, including theater time. The general linear model showed that treatment group was a statistically significant reason for higher ward expenditures (p=0.01), but not total expenditure (p=0.082) or procedural cost (p=0.091). Multivariate analysis showed a significant correlation between expenditures and treatment group (p=0.014), Wilk's lambda (p=0.014), emergency room (ER) visit (p=0.001), Wilk's lambda (p=0.087), additional wound

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5715161

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5715161

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>