



www.elsevier.com/locate/humpath

In this issue

Reevaluation of MAML2 fusion-negative mucoepidermoid carcinoma: a subgroup being actually hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma of the salivary gland with *EWSR1* translocation $^{\stackrel{\triangleright}{\sim},\stackrel{\triangleright}{\sim}\stackrel{\triangleright}{\sim}}$



Min-Shu Hsieh MD^{a,b}, Hsuang Wang MD^a, Yi-Hsuan Lee MD^a, Jeng-Yuh Ko MD, PhD^c, Yih-Leong Chang MD^{a,b,*}

Received 6 April 2016; revised 11 June 2016; accepted 29 June 2016

Keywords:

Hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma; EWSR1; ATF1;Mucoepidermoid carcinoma; MAML2

Summary Hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma (HCCC) is a rare salivary gland tumor with a specific EWSR1-ATF1 fusion gene and can have mucin production. Mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC) with a clear cell component is its morphologic mimic. Using MAML2 fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), a total of 49 MEC cases were separated into MAML2 fusion-positive (32 cases) and MAML2 fusion-negative groups (17 cases). This study used EWSR1 FISH to investigate MAML2 fusion-negative cases to identify previously unrecognized HCCC. Among 17 MAML2 fusion-negative cases, 3 had rearrangement of the EWSR1 gene and were reclassified as HCCC. Including 5 previously diagnosed HCCC cases, these 8 HCCC cases had a male-to-female ratio of 1:7, and most (7/8) tumors arose from oral minor salivary glands in the oral cavity (tongue base and palate). EWSR1-ATF1 fusion was confirmed by FISH in all 8 HCCC cases. The histologic features between genetically confirmed HCCC and MEC were compared. HCCC was significantly associated with minor salivary gland involvement, a discrepancy between low-grade cytology and intermediate- to highgrade histology using the MEC grading system, and absence of both epidermoid cells with abundant cytoplasm and goblet cells lining cysts or forming clusters. Clear cells and a hyalinized stroma were not specific for HCCC. HCCC may be erroneously classified as MEC because clear cells may be a minor histologic component and mucin production is not uncommon. Previously diagnosed MEC cases should be reevaluated, especially those arising from minor salivary glands or without MAML2 fusion. Careful histologic evaluation with supporting molecular testing can facilitate pathologic diagnoses.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

^aDepartment of Pathology, National Taiwan University Hospital and National Taiwan University College of Medicine, Taipei 10002, Taiwan

^bGraduate Institute of Pathology, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10002, Taiwan

^cDepartment of Otolaryngology, National Taiwan University Hospital and National Taiwan University College of Medicine, Taipei 10002, Taiwan

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by grants from the National Taiwan University Hospital (105-M3194).

^{*} Corresponding author: Department of Pathology, National Taiwan University Hospital, No 7, Chung-Shan South Rd, 100, Taipei 10002, Taiwan. E-mail address: ntuhylc@gmail.com (Y. -L. Chang).

1. Introduction

Hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma (HCCC) is a rare salivary gland tumor first described by Milchgrub et al [1] in 1994. HCCC most often arises from minor salivary glands in the head and neck, especially those of the palate and tongue [2]. HCCC also reportedly arises from submucosal glands of the bronchus, nasopharynx, and nasal cavity [2-6]. Morphologically, HCCC is characterized by small monomorphic cells with pale eosinophilic to clear cytoplasm, arranged in cords or nests in a hyalinized and fibrocellular stroma [1,5,7-10]. Antonescu et al [7] first identified a recurrent gene fusion of the Ewing sarcoma breakpoint region 1 (EWSR1) gene and the activating transcription factor 1 (ATF1) gene in HCCC. More than 80% of HCCC tumors harbor this unique EWSR1-ATF1 mutation, which distinguishes HCCC from other salivary gland tumors [7-9]. Identification of this specific EWSR1 gene rearrangement refined our knowledge of HCCC. Based on molecularly verified cases, HCCC mucin production is not uncommon and is no longer an exclusion criterion in pathologic diagnoses [5,8,9,11]. Antonescu et al [7] observed mucin in 10 (44%) of 23 HCCC tumors, and mucin distribution varied from focal to diffuse. Clinically, HCCC is considered a low-grade malignancy with excellent prognosis; nevertheless, a few HCCC patients developed delayed recurrence or distant metastasis [2,7,12].

HCCC shares common morphologic features with mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC), because both tumors can have mucin and clear cells. The small HCCC monomorphic cells with pale eosinophilic cytoplasm are similar to intermediate MEC cells. Therefore, the differential diagnosis between HCCC and MEC sometimes can be very difficult [5,8,9,11]. With the discovery of characteristic fusion genes in salivary gland tumors, molecular tests can be a powerful tool [13].

The mastermind-like transcriptional coactivator 2 (*MAML2*) translocation is considered a specific genetic change in MEC that can be identified in 55% to 66% of cases [13-17]. This translocation is more commonly found in low- to intermediategrade MEC and is associated with a better clinical prognosis [13-17]. It has been proposed that MEC may be classified according to its *MAML2* fusion status as high grade; *MAML2* fusion—negative cases would actually not represent MECs but rather other carcinomas with overlapping morphologic features [18-20].

Antonescu et al [7] suggested that some "clear cell variant MEC" may be HCCC. We wanted to prove this hypothesis that some past diagnosed MEC cases are actually HCCC, especially those without *MAML2* translocation. Using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), we first identified MEC cases that were *MAML2* fusion negative. We then used *EWSR1* FISH to investigate these cases to identify previously unrecognized HCCC. We further compared clinicohistologic parameters and immunohistochemistry between molecularly confirmed cases of HCCC and MEC to find useful parameters to facilitate pathologists' selections of cases that receive confirmatory FISH testing.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Case selection

We searched the archives of the Department of Pathology at National Taiwan University Hospital and identified 49 cases with an original diagnosis of MEC and 5 HCCC cases that were surgically resected between 1999 and 2015. All cases had available formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded specimens. MEC cases were separated into MAML2 fusion-positive and MAML2 fusion–negative groups according to their MAML2 FISH results. MAML2 fusion-negative ones were selected for EWSR1 FISH testing. All 5 HCCC cases had EWSR1 gene translocation that was confirmed by EWSR1 FISH at our hospital. Two HCCC cases were initially diagnosed as clear cell variant MEC at other hospitals. The clinical information for each patient, including age, sex, tumor location, tumor size, lymph node status, and local recurrence or distant metastasis during clinical follow-up, was collected from medical records. Hematoxylin and eosin-stained slides were reviewed by 2 pathologists (M. S. H. and Y. H. L.). The proportion of clear cells in each tumor was recorded. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of National Taiwan University Hospital.

2.2. Detection of MAML2, EWSR1, and ATF1 gene translocations and EWSR1-ATF1 gene fusion by FISH

Commercial ZytoLight SPEC MAML2 Dual Color Break Apart Probe (Zytovision, Bremerhaven, Germany) and Vysis EWSR1 Dual Color Break Apart FISH Probe (Abbott Molecular, Des Plaines, IL) were used to assess MAML2 and EWRS1 gene translocation, respectively. Dual-color FISH to test ATF1 gene rearrangement was carried out using bacterial artificial chromosome FISH probes (Empire Genomics, Buffalo, NY) RP11-831J22, RP11-3M16, and RP11-73M17 labeled with Spectrum Orange, and RP11-139D22, RP11-1056L15, and RP11-368D17 labeled with Spectrum Green, which map to the centromere and telomere sides of the ATF1 gene, as previously described [7] (Supplementary Fig. S1). Dual-color FISH to confirm EWSR1-ATF1 fusion was carried out using bacterial artificial chromosome FISH probes (Empire Genomics) RP11-945 M21, RP11-965D15, and RP11-77 M13 labeled with Spectrum Orange, which map to the centromere side of the EWSR1 gene, and RP11-139D22, RP11-1056 L15, and RP11-368D17 labeled with Spectrum Green, which map to the telomere side of the ATF1 gene [7] (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Briefly, 4- μ m-thick paraffin-embedded tissue sections were deparaffinized in xylene (3 times, 10 minutes each), followed by two 5-minute washes in 100% ethanol. Sections were then treated with pretreatment reagent (Abbott Molecular) at 80°C for 30 to 50 minutes, after which sections were treated with protease mixed with a protease buffer. Sections were hybridized using specific FISH probes.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5716350

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5716350

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>