

## Getting Published: A Primer on Manuscript Writing and the Editorial Process

Paul Graham Fisher, MD<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Denise M. Goodman, MD, MS<sup>5</sup>, and Sarah S. Long, MD<sup>6,7</sup>

cientific discovery is always a balance of tedium and exhilaration, and defeat and success, in which tenacity is as important as inspiration. These feelings can be just as true when you are publishing your work. Publishing in the scientific medical literature requires diligence and perseverance and can be as prone to pitfalls as is the research itself. Preparing and publishing an original article, however, need not fill you with dread. "Rules" of the process are codified to some extent, are not difficult to follow, and can be learned quite easily with a little guidance. Furthermore, organizing your thoughts and considering your study's results in the context of what is known and unknown can be liberating. Writing your manuscript can force you to contextualize the work in the greater arc of your professional development. Associate Editors from The Journal of Pediatrics presented the workshop, "Keys to successful manuscript writing, journal submission, peer review, and publication," at the Pediatric Academic Societies' meeting on May 1, 2016. We distill here a list of 12 key, take-home messages essential to manuscript writing, and as an introduction to peer review and the editorial process.

1. Establish early who are the authors and in what order. Although this might seem like something you would decide closer to the submission of your manuscript to a journal, authorship should be established at the outset of the project. Otherwise, disagreements often develop later when authors dispute the amount of their work or the importance of their role. The journal will not serve as an arbiter. Disagreements need to be resolved among the authors themselves or other arbiters whom the authors chose within their institutions or larger study group. The Committee on Publication Ethics (http://publicationethics.org/) provides useful information on resolution of authorship disputes, as well conflicts of interest, plagiarism, and other aspects of publication ethics.

The position of authors after the title is not capricious but should reflect the authentic contribution of each author. The first author usually performs most of the investigative work and writing. The last author usually is the senior contributor to the project. In addition, journals adhere to authorship requirements set by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICJME, www.icmje.org). All authors must fulfill all 4 of the following requirements: "(1) substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis or interpretation of data for the work; and (2) drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and (3) final approval of the version to be

published; and (4) agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work." You also should review carefully the guidelines of the intended journal for any specific authorship requirements.

Group authorship is becoming increasingly common, particularly in large, multisite clinical trials and other collaborative studies. The ICJME specifies further, "When submitting a manuscript authored by a group, the corresponding author should specify the group name if one exists, and clearly identify the group members who can take credit and responsibility for the work as authors." The group name should be included in the byline. Some or none of the individual group members also can be cited in the byline. Other authors or significant nonauthor collaborators not listed in the byline will still be associated with the paper in MEDLINE if there is a note linked to the byline stating clearly that the individual names are elsewhere in the paper. For instance, if the byline attributes authorship to Lady Madonna and the ABBYRD Trials Group, investigators Rita Meter, Maxwell Edison, and Colonel Mustard will also be cited by MEDLINE if there is a footnote or endnote associated with the byline and providing their specific names. Beyond authorship, acknowledgements are given to individuals who made substantive contributions to the study or manuscript but do not meet criteria for authorship.

2. Pay close attention to reporting guidelines and clinical trial registration. Almost all types of studies, such as randomized controlled trials, observational studies, quality improvement work, and meta-analyses, have specific reporting guidelines, available through the Equator Network (www.equator-network.org; Table I). These checklists provide useful roadmaps for study design, ensure that critical methodologic issues are recognized early, and provide a template for reporting results fully. All clinical trials involving patients must be registered at ClinicalTrials.gov or another approved registry.<sup>2</sup> A clinical trial is defined by the World Health Organization as "any research study that prospectively assigns human participants or groups of humans

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics; <sup>3</sup>Department of Neurosurgery; <sup>4</sup>Department of Human Biology, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA; <sup>5</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Division of Critical Care, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL; <sup>6</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Drexel University College of Medicine; and <sup>7</sup>Section of Infectious Diseases, St Christopher's Hospital for Children, Philadelphia, PA

P.F., D.G., and S.L. serve as Associate Editors for *The Journal of Pediatrics*.

Portions of this summary were presented as a workshop at the Pediatric Academic Societies Meeting, Baltimore, MD, May 1, 2016.

0022-3476/\$ - see front matter. © 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org10.1016/j.jpeds.2017.02.067

Table I.	Equator Network (www.equator-network.org	) re-
porting	guidelines for the most common clinical stu-	dies

Clinical study types	Guideline
Case reports	CARE
Diagnostic/prognostic studies	STARD
Observational studies	STROBE
Qualitative research	SRQR
Quality improvement studies	SQUIRE
Randomized trials	CONSORT
Systematic reviews/meta-analyses	PRISMA

to one or more health-related interventions to evaluate the effects on health outcomes. Clinical trials may also be referred to as interventional trials. Interventions include but are not restricted to drugs, cells and other biological products, surgical procedures, radiologic procedures, devices, behavioural treatments, process-of-care changes, preventive care, etc. This definition includes Phase I to Phase IV trials." Registration is required for single-center as well as multisite studies. Late or no registration in and of itself may be grounds for a journal to reject a manuscript without peer review.

3. Read and follow directions in the intended journal's guidelines for authors. Format your manuscript specifically according to the instructions to authors for the intended journal. If the journal wants the first section of the Abstract to be called "Objective," then clearly state an objective. If this journal ultimately declines to publish your work, and the next journal stipulates that the section is called "Background," write that. Cite references according to the journal's specifications for style (eg, 3 vs 6 authors, proper style for Web sites).

One of us once read a cover letter accompanying a manuscript thanking us for considering their work "for publication in [name of a competitor journal]." Clearly, the manuscript had had at least one rejection. Most journal editors do not mind being the second choice but do care whether authors are not attentive enough to details to change the format and cover letter accordingly. Such lapses make one wonder if the science was similarly performed carelessly. Be sure to disclose any conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise. The ICJME defines conflict of interest as "relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work." Overdisclosure is well advised, and the journal can decide ultimately whether the relationship poses a conflict or requires resolution. Finally, make sure that the manuscript and cover letter include all submission requirements, which vary from journal to journal. Some journals have a checklist.

4. Know and speak to your audience. This advice applies to both selecting the right journal and scripting your work. If your manuscript presents basic or animal science, be sure to select a journal focusing on laboratory science. For human studies in child health, aiming for a high-impact clinical journal in pediatrics or general medicine might

be desirable. For a clinical manuscript with a narrow focus, a subspecialty journal with a more restricted audience might be indicated. In the end, the topic and scope of the work must appeal and matter to the journal's readership. Your audience may be conversant with the methods you used but not the question you asked, or may be well aware of the scientific gap you are addressing but not familiar with the approach you used. Online tools such as the Journal/Author Name Estimator (http://jane.biosemantics.org) can help identify journals whose content matches your manuscript.

- 5. **Tell your story well and concisely.** You are ready to write. What is your message? Reporting science is like telling a story. Be original (but not too avant-garde) and develop within the manuscript a story line, akin to a short story with a plot, clear point(s), and denouement. Decide on the core material. Your report is not an epic and should not consider every facet of the topic at hand. Writing the Results (while simultaneously creating tables and figures) and Methods sections first, and then the Introduction and Discussion sections will often improve the flow of your final manuscript. The Introduction should not be an exhaustive literature review. Instead, the Introduction should be a brief preface, encompassing just 3 concepts and culminating in a hypothesis: (1) Why is the question important? (2) What key facts and current knowledge gaps are known? and (3) How is this manuscript going to address one of those knowledge gaps? It is always best to state a hypothesis (if you legitimately have one) or at the very least to state your aim.
- 6. Explain precisely how your study was conducted. Your Methods section should inform the reader sufficiently to be able to replicate your study. Lay out step-by-step how you addressed the question. Describe your techniques, starting with definitions of the study population including candidate patients and final study sample, then conduct of the study, interventions if appropriate, outcomes, and analysis. New techniques should be described, and previously published detailed methods can be referenced. The approval process for human or animal research must be reported. The details of informed consent must be provided, whether written or oral, or modified or waived.
- 7. Report your findings concisely and clearly. This is where the concept of telling your story with a clear narrative is key. The Results section should parallel the Methods section, unfold logically, and be easy to comprehend. You should use tables and figures to emphasize the takehome points while sparing unnecessary or redundant text. Conversely, tables and figures with legends should be able to be interpreted alone. Expectations for figures are especially high because quality software is available for graphics. Faulty graphics factor into the decision process regarding manuscript disposition. Figures should never be manipulated to make differences appear larger. A CONSORT flow diagram detailing the study subjects is obligatory for clinical trials and preferred for observational cohort studies. Do not provide extraneous find-

## Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5719146

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5719146

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>