

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Schizophrenia Research: Cognition

SCHIZOPHRENIA RESEARCH: COGNITION PHILIP D. HARVEY, PHD.

#### journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scog

**Research Paper** 

# Using virtual reality to assess theory of mind subprocesses and error types in early and chronic schizophrenia



# Allana L. Canty<sup>a</sup>, David L. Neumann<sup>a</sup>, David H.K. Shum<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Applied Psychology, Menzies Health Institute Queensland, Griffith University, Australia

b Neuropsychology and Applied Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory, Key Laboratory of Mental Health, Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Theory of mind Mentalising error types Schizophrenia Virtual reality

# ABSTRACT

Individuals with schizophrenia often demonstrate theory of mind (ToM) impairment relative to healthy adults. However, the exact nature of this impairment (first- vs. second-order ToM and cognitive vs. affective ToM) and the extent to which ToM abilities deteriorate with illness chronicity is unclear. Furthermore, little is known about the relationships between clinical symptoms and ToM error types (overmentalising, reduced mentalising and no ToM) in early and chronic schizophrenia. This study examined the nature and types of ToM impairment in individuals with early (n = 26) and chronic schizophrenia (n = 32) using a novel virtual reality task. Clinical participants and demographically-matched controls were administered the Virtual Assessment of Mentalising Ability, which provides indices of first- and second-order cognitive and affective ToM, and quantifies three different types of mentalising errors (viz., overmentalising, reduced mentalising, and no ToM). Individuals with early schizophrenia performed significantly poorer than healthy controls on first-order affective and secondorder cognitive and affective ToM, but significantly higher than individuals with chronic schizophrenia on all ToM subscales. Whereas a lack of mental state concept was associated with negative symptoms, overmentalising was associated with positive symptoms. These findings suggest that ToM abilities selectively deteriorate with illness chronicity and error types are related to these individuals' presenting symptomology. An implication of the findings is that social-cognitive interventions for schizophrenia need to consider the nature, time course and symptomatology of the presenting patient.

# 1. Introduction

Theory of mind (ToM) is the ability to understand and predict other's mental states and behaviour (Mancuso et al., 2011). It consists of two neurobiologically dissociable subcomponents (Shamay-Tsoory et al., 2006; Shamay-Tsoory et al., 2004). Whereas cognitive ToM refers to the ability to make inferences about the beliefs and intentions of others, affective ToM requires an additional appreciation of another person's subjective emotional context to infer how they feel. As such, the latter may be more engaging than the former and requires additional neural processes. These two components can be divided into first-(i.e., inferring thoughts and feelings of another person) and secondorder (i.e., reasoning what another person thinks a third party is thinking or feeling) processes (Shamay-Tsoory et al., 2007). Compared to its first-order counterpart, the second-order component is more cognitively complex as it requires higher-level reasoning.

Researchers have distinguished different kinds of ToM errors (Fretland et al., 2015; Montag et al., 2011). 'Overmentalising' refers to the tendency to excessively attribute intentions or self-referential

meaning to others (Frith, 2004). 'Undermentalising' refers to the ways individuals can demonstrate diminished mentalising ability. Individuals can either lack the capacity to represent mental states, 'no ToM', or have difficulty applying social knowledge despite an intact capacity to represent mental states, 'reduced mentalising' (Montag et al., 2011).

Two systematic reviews (Brüne, 2005; Harrington et al., 2005), and a number of meta-analyses (Bora and Pantelis, 2013; Bora et al., 2009; Fett et al., 2011; Sprong et al., 2007) have shown that nearly all published studies report ToM impairment in early and chronic schizophrenia. Although there is evidence that individuals with schizophrenia perform poorly on ToM tasks, little is known about the trajectory of ToM impairments over the course of illness. Similar to other cognitive impairments, ToM impairments in individuals with schizophrenia are expected to deteriorate with increasing duration of illness, however, evidence is needed to confirm this finding. Green et al. (2011) explored the stability of first-order ToM ability across prodromal, early (viz., FEP), and chronic phases of schizophrenia. Although results indicated impairment at each stage of illness, there was no evidence of a deterioration or improvement over time. Bora and Pantelis (2013) found

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scog.2017.09.001

Received 19 June 2017; Received in revised form 14 September 2017; Accepted 15 September 2017 Available online 26 September 2017

2215-0013/ © 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: Griffith University, Mount Gravatt Campus, Queensland 4222, Australia.

E-mail addresses: a.canty@griffithuni.edu.au (A.L. Canty), d.neumann@griffith.edu.au (D.L. Neumann), d.shum@griffith.edu.au (D.H.K. Shum).

that first-order cognitive and affective ToM impairments in individuals with FEP were comparable to those of individuals with chronic schizophrenia.

Contrary to these results, recent findings suggest that impairments in first- and second-order ToM are differentially affected early in the course of illness. Ho et al. (2015) examined the extent to which firstand second-order cognitive and affective ToM are impaired in individuals with FEP and their unaffected siblings. Results indicated that individuals with FEP did not significantly differ from unaffected siblings and healthy controls in first-order cognitive ToM, but performed significantly poorer in first-order affective ToM, and second-order cognitive and affective ToM. These results suggest that first-order cognitive ToM may be preserved early in the course of schizophrenia. Ho et al. (2015) results highlight the importance of comprehensively assessing first- and second-order cognitive and affective ToM in both early and chronic stages of illness.

Given the modern conceptualisation of error types, recent research has also started to examine the nature of ToM impairments in schizophrenia. Montag et al. (2011) found that individuals with chronic schizophrenia selected more undermentalising (i.e., both reduced mentalising and no ToM) responses, but not more overmentalising responses, than healthy controls. In addition, they found that positive symptoms were associated with overmentalising, and negative symptoms were associated with no ToM. Fretland et al. (2015) found that within the undermentalising domain, individuals with chronic schizophrenia performed more reduced mentalising than no ToM errors, suggesting that schizophrenia is characterized by accuracy problems rather than a lack of mental state concept. Similar to Montag et al. (2011), Fretland et al. (2015) also found that overmentalising was associated with positive symptoms. Although undermentalising error types were not associated with symptoms, the frequency of reduced mentalising errors showed a non-significant trend in associating with disorganisation. Few studies have investigated the full range of ToM error types in schizophrenia, which is likely due to a lack of psychometrically sound and ecologically valid measures that allow for a detailed assessment and analysis of aberrant mentalising styles.

The use of simulated social interaction paradigms, such as the Virtual Assessment of Mentalising Ability VAMA, (Canty et al., 2015), enhances the ecological validity of social cognitive assessment. The VAMA contextualises social cognitive content in an interactive, dynamic protocol, and adopts the first-person perspective of the test-taker within social scenarios. This format assesses online ToM processes which are representative of real-world abilities. Other advantages of the VAMA include the ability to provide parallel assessments of first- and second-order cognitive and affective ToM using a range of mental state modalities (e.g., false belief, faux pas, and sarcasm), provision for quantifying different types of mentalising errors, and evidence of reliability and validity.

# 1.1. Aims

The first aim of this study was to compare ToM impairments in individuals with early and chronic schizophrenia using the VAMA. It was hypothesised that (a) first-order ToM cognitive ToM would be intact whereas first-order affective ToM and second-order ToM processes would be impaired in individuals with early schizophrenia, and (b) both first- and second-order ToM processes would be significantly impaired in individuals with chronic schizophrenia. The second aim was to examine the relationships between clinical symptoms and specific ToM error types in early and chronic schizophrenia. It was hypothesised that (a) overmentalising would be most strongly associated with positive symptoms and undermentalising errors would be most strongly associated with disorganised/negative symptoms.

#### 2. Method

#### 2.1. Participants

All clinical participants had a DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) diagnosis of schizophrenia and were recruited from an early psychosis intervention or mental health rehabilitation program in Queensland, Australia. Clinical participants were stabilised on atypical antipsychotic medications for at least 1 month prior to participation.

The early schizophrenia group included 15 inpatients and 11 outpatients (13 males). All had experienced their first psychotic episode within two years of participating in this study. The chronic schizophrenia group included 15 inpatients and 18 outpatients (22 males). All had experienced multiple psychotic episodes and were within 5 to 15 years of illness.

All clinical participants understood English and exhibited no physical or language impairment. Individuals with schizoaffective, schizophreniform, and bipolar disorder, IQ < 70, or histories of neurological disorder or brain injury were excluded. Individuals were also excluded if there was evidence of alcohol and/or substance dependence in the past 6 months and if psychotic symptoms were drug induced.

Two groups of healthy adult controls, matched to clinical participants on age, gender, and education level were included in the study. Exclusion criteria included a previously identified DSM-5 diagnosis of a psychotic, mood, anxiety, or personality disorder, and current or past alcohol or substance dependence. Those who reported using psychotropic medication  $\leq 6$  months prior to participation, had histories of neurological disorder or brain injury, developmental disability, limited fluency in English, or a first-degree relative with a psychotic disorder were also excluded. All participants provided informed written consent before taking part in the study.

The demographic and clinical features of the clinical participants and controls are summarised in Table 1. The Early Schizophrenia Control Group (ES-Control, n = 26) was comparable to the early schizophrenia group with respect to age (t(50) = 0.74, p = 0.46), education level (t(50) = -1.21, p = 0.23) and estimated IQ (t(50) = 0.25, p = 0.81). The Chronic Schizophrenia Control Group (CS-Control, n = 33) was comparable to the chronic schizophrenia group with respect to age (t(64) = 1.79, p = 0.08) and education level (t(64)= 1.76, p = 0.08), but had a significantly higher estimated IQ (t(64)) = 3.69, p < 0.001). The gender ratio of each clinical group was identical to that of their control group. Individuals with early schizophrenia were significantly younger than individuals with chronic schizophrenia (t(39.85) = 5.09, p < 0.001). Individuals with early schizophrenia did not differ from individuals with chronic schizophrenia in terms of gender distribution ( $\chi^2(1) = 1.67, p = 0.29$ ), age of onset (t(57) = 1.10, p = 0.28), education level (t(57) = 1.80, p = 0.28)p = 0.07), or estimated IQ (t(57) = 1.98, p = 0.053).

#### 2.2. Measures

#### 2.2.1. Symptom assessment

Symptom severity was assessed with the PANSS (Kay et al., 1987). The positive (items P1, P3, P5, G9), negative (items N1, N2, N3, N4, N6, G7), and disorganised (items P2, N5, G11) factors were used (Fretland et al., 2015).

# 2.2.2. Theory of mind assessment

The VAMA assesses first- and second-order cognitive and affective ToM (10 items per scale) via a virtual interface that simulates the demands of real-life social interactions (Canty et al., 2015). It involves ongoing and ToM components. The former requires participants to navigate a virtual shopping centre and complete a list of errands. The latter involves responding to four multiple-choice questions each time a social interaction occurs between the test taker and his/her virtual Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5722783

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5722783

Daneshyari.com