



Attitudes of surgeons to the use of postoperative markers of the systemic inflammatory response following elective surgery



Ross D. Dolan^{*}, Stephen T. McSorley, Donald C. McMillan, Paul G. Horgan

Academic Unit of Surgery, School of Medicine, University of Glasgow, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Glasgow, UK

HIGHLIGHTS

- Shows that there is a widespread interest in the use of markers of the systemic inflammatory response in managing patients.
- This is particularly true when managing complications post operatively.
- There would seem to be scope for incorporation of markers of the SIR into formal post operative guidelines.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 March 2017
Received in revised form
16 July 2017
Accepted 17 July 2017

Keywords:

Inflammation
C-reactive protein
Elective surgery
Postoperative care
Minimal access surgery
Post-operative complications
Discharge planning

ABSTRACT

Background: Cancer is responsible for 7.6 million deaths worldwide and surgery is the primary modality of a curative outcome. Postoperative care is of considerable importance and it is against this backdrop that a questionnaire based study assessing the attitudes of surgeons to monitoring postoperative systemic inflammation was carried out.

Method: A Web based survey including 10 questions on the “attitudes of surgeons to the use of post-operative markers of the systemic inflammatory response following elective surgery” was distributed via email. Two cohorts were approached to participate in the survey. Cohort 1 consisted of 1092 surgeons on the “Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland (ACPGBI)” membership list. Cohort 2 consisted of 270 surgeons who had published in this field in the past as identified by two recent reviews. A reminder email was sent out 21 days after the initial email in both cases and the survey was closed after 42 days in both cases.

Result: In total 29 surgeons (2.7%) from cohort 1 and 40 surgeons (14.8%) from cohort 2 responded to the survey. The majority of responders were from Europe (77%), were colorectal specialists (64%) and were consultants (84%) and worked in teaching hospitals (54%) and used minimally invasive techniques (87%). The majority of responders measured CRP routinely in the post-operative period (85%) and used CRP to guide their decision making (91%) and believed that CRP monitoring should be incorporated into post-operative guidelines (81%).

Conclusion: Although there was a limited response the majority of surgeons surveyed measure the systemic inflammatory response following elective surgery and use CRP measurements together with clinical findings to guide postoperative care. The present results provide a baseline against which future surveys can be compared.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Worldwide cancer remains a significant problem with 12.7 million new cases being diagnosed in 2008. Globally cancer remains one of the leading causes of death and is responsible for 7.6

million deaths per year [1,2]. In the UK each year, there are approximately 331,000 new cases of cancer and over 50,000 deaths each year in the 35–64 age groups. Surgery is the primary modality of cure and therefore post-operative management is important in cancer care [3].

Although, outcomes are predominantly determined by cancer stage in patients with operable disease, post-operative complications are important in the disease progression [4,5]. In particular, it has been shown that anastomotic leaks have a negative impact on

^{*} Corresponding author. University of Glasgow, Academic Unit of Surgery, New Lister Building, Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Alexandra Parade, Glasgow, G4 0SF, UK.
E-mail address: Ross.Dolan@glasgow.ac.uk (R.D. Dolan).

both the short and long-term survival of patients with GI cancer [6,7]. The basis of this relationship is not clear, however it has been proposed that the magnitude of the post-op systemic inflammatory response [SIRS] is an important determining factor [4]. The magnitude of this SIRS response can be routinely quantified by serial C-reactive protein [CRP] concentration measurement in the post-operative period [8].

Two recent meta-analyses including over 2000 patients have reported the importance of postoperative serum CRP measurement in the early diagnosis of postoperative infective complications and anastomotic leaks in patients undergoing colorectal surgery [9,10]. Indeed, CRP thresholds have been reported as consistently predicting the development of post-operative infective complications and may be a guide to early discharge [10–12].

However, this raises the question as to how this information might be used in clinical practice. For example, McDermott and coworkers [2015] have proposed that a CRP <150 mg/L on days 3, 4 and 5, be used to indicate the likelihood of safe discharge post-operatively [11]. In a recent study in the Netherlands the use of serial CRP monitoring has been proposed as a trigger for determining whether or not a post-operative CT should be carried out to investigate the presence of a possible post-operative complication [13]. Also, it has been proposed that serial CRP monitoring may be used to determine the efficacy of ERAS protocols in the post-operative period [8].

It is against this background that the present questionnaire based study was carried out. The aim was to assess the attitudes of surgeons to the use of serial post-operative CRP monitoring and its importance in their clinical decision making. In addition, to investigate the level of awareness of the postoperative CRP thresholds associated with the development of potential complications and to guide clinical decision making in the postoperative period including the decision to instigate a surgical, endoscopic or radiological intervention or in discharge planning. Finally, to assess the attitudes of surgeons to the incorporation of serial CRP monitoring into routine postoperative care protocols and guidelines.

2. Methods

A web based survey that included 10 questions on the “Attitudes of surgeons to the use of postoperative markers of the systemic inflammatory response following elective surgery” was as follows.

2.1. Survey questions

1. What is your surgical specialisation? Colorectal/Oesophago-gastric/Hepatobiliary/Urology/Thoracic/Other [please specify]
2. In what country are you based? *Open Text*
3. What is your grade at present? *Consultant/Trainee/Other*
4. Which of the following best describes the surgical unit in which you currently practice? *University Academic Unit/Teaching Hospital/Non-teaching Hospital/Other [please state]*
5. Does your unit currently use an “enhanced recovery” or “fast track” programme following elective surgery? *yes/no*
6. Do you currently perform any elective procedures using laparoscopic, robotic or other minimally invasive techniques? *yes/no*
7. Is C-reactive protein measured routinely in your patients during the postoperative period following elective colorectal surgery? *yes/no*
8. If you answered “Yes” to Q7: Do you currently use C-reactive protein [CRP] to guide decision making in the postoperative period [e.g. to trigger investigation of potential

complications or direct discharge]? If you answer “Yes”, please leave specific comments to elaborate. *yes/no*

9. Are you aware of any existing literature examining the relationship between the magnitude of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response, in particular as measured by C-reactive protein [CRP], and outcomes following surgery? If so, please comment. *yes/no*
10. Do you think that a measure of the postoperative systemic inflammatory response, such as C-reactive protein [CRP], should be included in postoperative care protocols or guidelines to guide postoperative decision making and/or discharge planning? *yes/no*

The survey was generated through the SurveyMonkey website [www.surveymonkey.com/, SurveyMonkey, Palo Alto, USA] and the access link emailed to the target cohort. The initial target cohort included surgeons who were part of the Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain and Ireland (ACPGBI) mailing list (n = 1092) however responses from this were few and so a further target cohort was selected from two recent meta-analysis [1,2] and by performing a literature search for articles using the keywords cancer, inflammation, CRP, postoperative care, minimal access surgery, post-operative complications and discharge planning up to the end of 2015 (n = 270). Once a comprehensive list of articles was obtained, the email addresses of the corresponding authors from each article formed the basis of the mailing list for distribution. These emails were then reviewed to ascertain the speciality of the authors to ensure that they were surgeons.

Once this was completed an email was sent out to the identified surgeons clearly stating that the role of the study was to assess the application of the systemic inflammatory response using CRP in the postoperative care of patients following surgical excisions of resectable cancers and that participation was on a voluntary basis. Software on the website ensured duplication of response from the same individual was not recorded. No incentives were used to promote or encourage participation.

The initial survey to cohort 1 was distributed in November 2015 and remained open for six weeks in total. Following a literature review the survey was sent out again to cohort 2 on 3rd June 2016 with a reminder sent out 21 days later. The survey remained open for 3 weeks and was closed on 15th July. Data were analysed and graphs of results were compiled using Microsoft Excel 2007 [Redmond, WA, USA].

3. Results

In total, 69 people completed the survey. There were 29 from cohort 1 (2.7%) and 40 from cohort 2 (14.8%) giving an overall response rate of 5.9%.

The response to survey question 1 is shown in Fig. 1 and 64% of respondents were colorectal surgeons, 12% were oesophagogastric surgeons and 14% were hepatobiliary surgeons. The response to question 2 is shown in Fig. 2 and 76% of respondents were from Europe, 13% from Asia and 7% from the Americas. The response to question 3 is shown in Fig. 3 and 84% of respondents were consultants and 12% were trainee surgeons.

The response to question 4 is shown in Fig. 4 and 95% of respondents worked in either a university academic unit or a teaching hospital. The response to question 5 is shown in Fig. 5 and 90% of respondents used an enhanced recovery/fast track programme following elective surgery. The response to question 6 is shown in Fig. 6 and 87% of respondents carried out elective surgery via laparoscopic, robotic or another minimally invasive techniques.

The response to question 7 is shown in Fig. 7 and 85% of respondents routinely used CRP in the monitoring of patients in the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5722856>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5722856>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)