Daily users compared to less frequent users find vape as or more satisfying and less dangerous than cigarettes, and are likelier to use non-cig-alike vaping products

Lynn T. Kozlowski *, D. Lynn Homish, Gregory G. Homish

University at Buffalo, State University of New York, School of Public Health and Health Professions, Department of Community Health and Health Behavior, Buffalo, NY, USA

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

We assessed the roles of perceived satisfaction and perceived danger and vaping-product-type as correlates of more frequent use of vaping products. In a baseline assessment of a longitudinal study of US Army Reserve/National Guard Soldiers and their partners (New York State, USA, 2014–2016), participants were asked about current use of vaping products (e-cigarettes) and perceived satisfaction and danger in comparison to cigarettes as well as type of product used. Fisher-exact tests and multiple ordinal logistic regressions were used. In multivariable and univariate models, more perceived satisfaction, less perceived danger, and use of non-cig-alike products were associated with more frequent use of vaping products ($ps < 0.05$, two-tailed). For self-selected, more frequent adult users, e-cigs can be at least as satisfying as cigarettes and often more satisfying and are perceived as less dangerous than cigarettes. Non-cig-alike products were more likely in daily users. Some concern that e-cigs are a gateway to cigarettes arises from assuming that e-cigs may not be as reinforcing and pleasurable as cigarettes. These results indicate that accurate perception of comparative risk and use of more effective-nicotine delivery product can produce for some users a highly-satisfying alternative to cigarettes.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The rising use of vaping products (i.e., e-cigarettes, electronic cigarettes and other vaping products) has been of great interest to public health authorities (Royal College of Physicians, 2016). Use of these products has increased dramatically (Miech et al., 2016). Among high school students in the United States, past 30 day use of e-cigarettes rose from 1.5% in 2011 to 16.0% in 2015 (Singh et al., 2016). One concern has been that vaping products could be serving as a gateway to the uptake of cigarette use (Bell and Keane, 2014; Kozlowski and Warner, 2017). This concern is supported by the belief that these products are not as satisfying to smokers as cigarettes and that cigarettes would provide a much more satisfying experience. One report of use of e-cigarettes by college students in New York State found that "enjoyment" was a strong correlate of daily use (Saddleson et al., 2016). We wanted to further explore the role of enjoyment or satisfaction from the product as a predictor of more frequent use. To do so, we employed direct comparative questions (Kozlowski et al., 2000; Kozlowski et al., 1989b) as an efficient technique for assessing how users compared the satisfaction they received from smoking cigarettes with the satisfaction from vaping products.

Though not without risk, e-cigarettes had been judged to be at least 90% less harmful than cigarettes (McNeill et al., 2015; Nutt et al., 2014). In contrast, a national survey showed that about 50% of adults report that e-cigarettes are at least as harmful as cigarettes (Kiviniemi and Kozlowski, 2015). Another national survey has found that from 2012 to 2015, the percentage of adults who reported e-cigarettes as less harmful than cigarettes has decreased, indicating a trend toward inaccurate beliefs being more common (Majeed et al., 2016). Given the widespread confusion about the dangers of vaping compared to cigarettes (Tan et al., 2016; Zulkifli et al., 2016), we also wanted to explore the relation of perceived danger to likelihood of use.

In addition to the differences in perception that might reasonably influence use of vaping products, we wanted to assess the role of product differences in influencing frequency of use. The nature of vaping products has been changing greatly, with evidence that the early, so called first generation devices that resembled cigarettes (also known as cig-alike products) generally deliver lower levels of nicotine to the user than new open systems with high-capacity batteries and electronic circuits linked to refillable atomizers (Farsalinos et al., 2014). To the extent

* Corresponding author at: Department of Community Health and Health Behavior, School of Public Health and Health Professions, University at Buffalo, 323 Kimball Tower, 3435 Main Street, Buffalo, NY 14214-8028, USA.
E-mail addresses: lk22@buffalo.edu (L.T. Kozlowski), dlhomish@buffalo.edu (D.L. Homish), ghomish@buffalo.edu (G.G. Homish).

nicotine delivery is a determinant of use, we expected that the second generation products would be more likely to be used by the more frequent users.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample

The Operation: SAFETY Study (Soldiers And Families Excelling Through the Years) is a longitudinal research study examining the health and well-being of U.S. Army Reserve/National Guard Soldiers and their partners ($N = 411$ couples). More details on the Operation: SAFETY project are available in Heavey et al. (2017). Participants used a self-guided, computer-assisted self-interview, conducted between August 2014 and January 2016, to respond to all questionnaires. Assessments take approximately 2–3 h and can occur at the University at Buffalo Center for Health Research or online via a secure assessment portal. This study is approved by the University at Buffalo Institutional Review Board and informed consent was obtained. For this report, we used a subsample of the overall sample based upon current use of e-cigarettes. There were 105 adults (53 males, 52 females) 18.5 to 44.9 years old (mean = 30.2; SD = 6.56). Race/ethnicity was predominately Non-Hispanic White (83%) with 8% Non-Hispanic Black, 6% Hispanic and 8% other. Participants were well educated with the majority having had some college experience (64%) or completion of a college degree (15%) while the remaining held high school diplomas (18%) or less education (3%).

2.2. Measures

Cigarette smoking was assessed using two questions: "In your entire life, have you ever smoked 100 cigarettes?" (Answers: Yes or No.) Those who said Yes were asked: "Do you currently smoke cigarettes? (Answers: No, I quit smoking or Yes, I currently smoke cigarettes.)

All participants were asked: "In your entire life, have you ever used an electronic cigarette, an e-cigarette, or vaping device (these battery-powered devices produce vapor, often with nicotine, instead of smoke)? There are many types of e-cigarettes. Some common brands include Smoking Everywhere, NJOY, Blu, Vapor King, Pax, and Firefly." (Answers: Yes or No.) Those who answered Yes were asked: "Do you currently use e-cigarettes or a vaping device?" (Answers: every day (Daily users = scored 3), some days (Not Daily users = 2), not at all (Triers = 1)).

Initially, only Daily users and Not Daily users of e-cigarettes were asked the following questions, but then the procedure was changed to ask these questions also of the Triers who were not using currently. This means that the true percentage of those who were not current users of e-cigarettes is under-estimated: 147 participants who were Triers were not asked the perceived satisfaction or perceived danger questions. These were the key questions:

Is your favorite e-cigarette or vaping device more or less satisfying than your favorite cigarette? Answers scored from 1 to 5, with 1) "My favorite e-cig or vaping device is much less satisfying than my favorite cigarette," [the sentences were written out in full, but the only wording change is indicated in the following], 2) "… a little less …", 3) "… about as… ", 4) "…a little more…", 5) …much more…".

Is your favorite e-cigarette or vaping device more or less dangerous for your health than your favorite cigarette? Answers scored 1 to 5, with 1) "My favorite e-cig or vaping device is much less dangerous than my favorite cigarette," [all sentences were written out in full, but the only wording change is indicated in the following], 2) "… a little less…", 3) "... about as… ", 4) "… a little more…", 5) …much more…".

The next question was open ended: "Name your favorite e-cig or vaping device (be as specific as you can)."

2.3. Scoring of type of vaping product used

The open-ended responses to type of product used were scored. For non-current users, most left it blank or said 'don't know', sometimes referring to the fact that they had just tried one once from a friend. Common products like Blu®, NJoy® were judged likeliest to be cig-a-likes. Scoring was done by looking at this question only with no reference to responses to other questions: 67 responses could be scored as to type. The score employed a two-level distinction: cig-alike (scored 1) or other than a cig-alike (including mods, tanks, vape pens) (scored 2). No questions were asked on nicotine use in the products or flavors.

2.4. Statistical analyses

Descriptive statistics and Fisher-exact tests (two-tailed) were used. For the Fisher-exact tests, satisfaction scores were recoded: 1 = about as satisfying/a little more satisfying/much more satisfying and 2 = less satisfying/a little less satisfying; danger scores were recoded: 1 = a little less dangerous/much less dangerous and 2 = about as dangerous/a little more dangerous/much more dangerous. Multivariable ordinal logistic regression analyses (Stata 13.1, StataCorp, 4905 Lakeway Drive, College Station, Texas 77845) were conducted to assess the association between regularity of vaping product usage (Triers = 1, Not Daily users = 2, and Daily users = 3) and a) perceived satisfaction from vaping in comparison to cigarettes (scored as indicated in the question above), b) perceived danger from vaping in comparison to cigarettes (scored as indicated in the question above), and 3) the type of vaping product used.

3. Results

3.1. Evidence of lack of bias in the samples of Triers who were or were not asked about perceptions

Since initially e-cigarette Triers were not asked about perceived satisfaction or perceived danger, we assessed if these individuals ($N = 147$) were different from those in this group who were asked the perception questions ($N = 61$). No statistically-significant differences were found in age, sex, or education (all $ps > 0.05$, two-tailed).

3.2. Perceptions of satisfaction

Fig. 1 shows the results in detail and shows that all daily users reported e-cigarettes as at least as satisfying as cigarettes, with 58% reporting vape as much more satisfying. Fisher exact tests (two-tailed) were done on recoded data. Satisfaction scores (recoded to e-cigarettes being about as satisfying as or more satisfying than cigarettes versus less satisfying than cigarettes) showed greater satisfaction in Daily users versus Not Daily users ($p = 0.001$) and Daily users versus Triers ($p < 0.001$); no difference between Not Daily users versus Triers = 0.17 (ns.); and greater satisfaction from e-cigarettes when comparing Any current use (Daily users + Not Daily users) versus Triers $p = 0.002$.

3.3. Perceptions of danger

Fig. 2 shows that perception of danger from e-cigarettes decreases as frequency of use increases. Fisher-exact tests were done on recoded data. Perceived danger was recoded to e-cigarettes being less dangerous than cigarettes versus as dangerous as or more dangerous than cigarettes. Daily users versus Not Daily users were not different ($p = 0.167$, (ns.)); Not Daily users were less likely to perceive danger than were Triers ($p = 0.02$); any current use (Daily users + Not Daily users) were less likely than Triers to perceive danger from e-cigarettes in comparison to cigarette ($p = 0.001$).