

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# **Preventive Medicine Reports**



journal homepage: http://ees.elsevier.com/pmedr

# Associations of objectively measured moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity and sedentary time with all-cause mortality in a population of adults at high risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus

Kishan Bakrania <sup>a,b,c,d,e,\*</sup>, Charlotte L. Edwardson <sup>b,c,d</sup>, Kamlesh Khunti <sup>b,c,e</sup>, Joseph Henson <sup>b,c,d</sup>, Emmanuel Stamatakis <sup>f,g</sup>, Mark Hamer <sup>d,h</sup>, Melanie J. Davies <sup>b,c,d</sup>, Thomas Yates <sup>b,c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Health Sciences, University of Leicester, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, Leicestershire, LE5 4PW, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> Diabetes Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, Leicestershire, LE5 4PW, United Kingdom

<sup>c</sup> Leicester Diabetes Centre, University Hospitals of Leicester, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, Leicestershire, LE5 4PW, United Kingdom

<sup>d</sup> National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Leicester-Loughborough Diet, Lifestyle and Physical Activity Biomedical Research Unit, Diabetes Research Centre, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, Leicestershire, LE5 4PW, United Kingdom

<sup>e</sup> National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care – East Midlands (CLAHRC – EM), Diabetes Research Centre, Leicester General Hospital, Leicester, Leicester, Leicestershire, LE5 4PW, United Kingdom

<sup>f</sup> Charles Perkins Center, Prevention Research Collaboration, School of Public Health, Sydney Medical School, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

<sup>g</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Institute of Epidemiology and Healthcare, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom

<sup>h</sup> School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 September 2016 Received in revised form 18 January 2017 Accepted 22 January 2017 Available online 26 January 2017

Keywords: Mortality Physical activity Moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity Sedentary Type 2 diabetes mellitus Cox proportional hazards regression

# ABSTRACT

The relationships of physical activity and sedentary time with all-cause mortality in those at high risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) are unexplored. To address this gap in knowledge, we examined the associations of objectively measured moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) and sedentary time with all-cause mortality in a population of adults at high risk of T2DM. In 2010–2011, 712 adults (Leicestershire, U.K.), identified as being at high risk of T2DM, consented to be followed up for mortality. MVPA and sedentary time were assessed by accelerometer; those with valid data ( $\geq 10$  hours of wear-time/day with  $\geq 4$  days of data) were included. Cox proportional hazards regression models, adjusted for potential confounders, were used to investigate the independent associations of MVPA and sedentary time with all-cause mortality. 683 participants (250 females (36.6%)) were included and during a mean follow-up period of 5.7 years, 26 deaths were registered. Every 10% increase in MVPA time/day was associated with a 5% lower risk of all-cause mortality [Hazard Ratio (HR): 0.95 (95% Confidence Interval (95% CI): 0.91, 0.98); p = 0.004]; indicating that for the average adult in this cohort undertaking approximately 27.5 minutes of MVPA/day, this benefit would be associated with only 2.75 additional minutes of MVPA/day. Conversely, sedentary time showed no association with all-cause mortality [HR (every 10-minute increase in sedentary time/day): 0.99 (95% Cl: 0.95, 1.03); p = 0.589]. These data support the importance of MVPA in adults at high risk of T2DM. The association between sedentary time and mortality in this population needs further investigation.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND licenses (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Diabetes is a leading health care burden nationally and internationally (NCD Risk Factor Collaboration, 2016). Therefore, the prevention of diabetes, particularly type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), is an identified

\* Corresponding author at: Diabetes Research Centre, University of Leicester, Leicester General Hospital, Gwendolen Road, Leicester, Leicestershire, LE5 4PW, United Kingdom. *E-mail addresses*: kb318@le.ac.uk (K. Bakrania), ce95@le.ac.uk (C.L. Edwardson), health care priority. Diabetes prevention has focused on the promotion of established health behaviours, including physical activity, with strong evidence of efficacy (Gillies et al., 2007). However, whilst the effects of promoting physical activity and other lifestyle factors on reducing the risk of T2DM are well-known in those at high risk of T2DM (defined as non-diabetic hyperglycaemia), the strength of association with allcause mortality is less clear. To our knowledge, only one study has quantified the associations between objectively measured physical activity and mortality/morbidity outcomes in those at high risk of T2DM (Yates et al., 2014), whilst no studies have examined associations with objectively measured sedentary time. The latter is important given the mounting evidence that sedentary behaviour, defined as sitting or

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2017.01.013

2211-3355/© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

kk22@le.ac.uk (K. Khunti), jjh18@le.ac.uk (J. Henson), emmanuel.stamatakis@sydney.edu.au (E. Stamatakis), m.hamer@lboro.ac.uk (M. Hamer), melanie.davies@uhl-tr.nhs.uk (M.J. Davies), ty20@le.ac.uk (T. Yates).

reclining with low energy expenditure, is associated with poor health and has been advocated as an important behavioural target in the prevention of diabetes (Henson et al., 2016).

This brief report quantifies the associations of objectively measured moderate-to-vigorous-intensity physical activity (MVPA) and sedentary time with all-cause mortality in a population of adults at high risk of T2DM recruited from primary care.

## 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Design and population

Participants for this study were part of the Walking Away from Type 2 Diabetes trial (Yates et al., 2012). The trial consisted of adults at an increased risk of T2DM who were recruited in 2010-2011 through 10 primary care practices in Leicestershire, United Kingdom. Individuals (n = 833) with an increased risk of non-diabetic hyperglycaemia (defined as: impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and/or impaired fasting glycaemia (IFG)) or undiagnosed T2DM were identified for recruitment using the Leicester Risk Score (Yates et al., 2012). At baseline, participants were randomised to usual care or the three-hour Walking Away structured education programme with ongoing annual support (Yates et al., 2012). Participants were followed up at 12, 24 and 36 months. Over the 36 months, no overall difference was observed in levels of physical activity or sedentary behaviour between the two arms (Yates et al., 2016). This brief report uses the baseline data and includes the 712 adults within the cohort who consented to have their records followed-up for health status. All participants provided written informed consent and the study was approved by the Nottingham Research Ethics Committee, United Kingdom.

#### 2.2. Mortality data

Mortality data were obtained from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) via an application to the Health and Social Care Information Centre (HSCIC). All-cause mortality was defined as any death recorded between baseline and end of data linkage on 6 April 2016. All-cause mortality was coded as a binary variable representing censoring or death. For censored data, survival time (in days) was defined as the difference between the follow-up date (6 April 2016) and the date of baseline visit. For event data, survival time was defined as the difference between the date of data hand baseline visit.

## 2.3. Physical activity and sedentary time data

MVPA and sedentary time were measured using an ActiGraph GT3X accelerometer (ActiGraph Corporation, Pensacola, Florida, USA) which was worn on the right hip for seven consecutive days during waking hours. Accelerometer files were processed using KineSoft V3.3.76 (KineSoft, Loughborough, United Kingdom). The ActiGraph GT3X device was initialised to collect data using 15 seconds epochs, and files were reintegrated into one minute epochs. Accelerometer counts derived from the vertical axis were used to calculate the amount of time spent in sedentary behaviour (<100 cpm) and in MVPA (≥1952 cpm) (Freedson et al., 1998; Matthews et al., 2008). Non-wear time was defined as any periods of continuous zero counts for ≥60 consecutive minutes. Valid accelerometer data were defined as ≥10 hours of wear-time/day with ≥4 days of data. Participants who provided valid accelerometer data were retained for analysis. The average number of minutes/ valid day spent sedentary and in MVPA were calculated.

#### 2.4. Anthropometric, demographic and lifestyle data

The following data were also utilised: age (years), body mass index (BMI: kg/m<sup>2</sup>), ethnicity (white, non-white), sex (male, female), smoking status (non-smoker, smoker), medical history of cardiovascular disease

(myocardial infarction, heart failure, angina and/or stroke), blood pressure and/or cholesterol medication (ACE-inhibitors, alpha-blockers, angiotensin-II receptor antagonists, beta-blockers, calcium channel blockers, lipid lowering statins and/or lipid lowering fibrates), aspirin medication, and accelerometer wear-time. Body weight (Tanita TBE 611; Tanita, West Drayton, United Kingdom) and height were measured to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.5 cm, respectively. BMI was calculated as the weight (in kilograms) divided by the square of the height (in metres).

#### 2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using Stata/MP V14.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA). Data were analysed in August 2016.

Participant characteristics, stratified by mortality status, were tabulated. Categorical variables were presented as numbers and proportions, whereas continuous variables were summarised as means and standard deviations. A series of Cox proportional hazards regression models (with survival time in days) were used to investigate the independent associations of MVPA and sedentary time with all-cause mortality (Cox, 1972). MVPA time indicated a non-normal distribution; therefore, it was log-transformed to reduce the influence of skewed data. To ensure that the hazard ratios represented a 10% increase in MVPA time/ day, a log base of 1.1 (i.e.  $\log_{1.1}$  (MVPA time)) was used. Sedentary behaviour was presented as a 10-minute increase in sedentary time/day. Model 1 adjusted for: age, sex and smoking status. Model 2 further adjusted for sedentary time (for MVPA time analysis) and MVPA time (for sedentary time analysis). Model 3 further adjusted for BMI. Ethnicity, accelerometer wear-time, medical history of cardiovascular disease, blood pressure and/or cholesterol medication, and aspirin medication were also individually considered as covariates in the minimally adjusted model (i.e. Model 1). However, their inclusion did not affect the hazard ratios, direction of association or interpretation (significance/nonsignificance) of the models (see Supplementary Table S1). Therefore, in order to maintain an adequate ratio between the number of events and covariates in the model (Vittinghoff and McCulloch, 2007), the more parsimonious model was used.

The proportional hazards assumption of each model was assessed via: a) plotting the Schoenfeld residuals against time; and b) executing a formal post-hoc proportional hazards global test (Stata command: 'estat phtest'). All reported p-values were two-sided with p < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

# 2.6. Sensitivity analysis

Since smokers are generally more likely to be physically inactive in comparison to non-smokers, they could potentially modify the associations with all-cause mortality. Therefore, in order to assess the robustness and replicability of our findings, we repeated our main analysis (Models 1, 2 and 3) in the sample of non-smokers.

#### 3. Results

Of the 712 individuals who consented for data linkage, 683 participants [mean age (standard deviation (SD)) = 63.6 (7.8) years; mean BMI (SD) =  $32.0 (5.3) \text{ kg/m}^2$ ; 250 females (36.6%)] provided valid accelerometer data and were included for analysis. During a mean follow-up period of 5.7 years, 26 deaths were registered. Table 1 displays the characteristics of the included participants further stratified by mortality status.

In the maximally adjusted model (Model 3), every 10% increase in MVPA time/day was associated with a 5% lower risk of all-cause mortality [Hazard Ratio (HR): 0.95 (95% Confidence Interval (95% CI): 0.91, 0.98); p = 0.004]. Conversely, sedentary time showed no association with all-cause mortality [HR (every 10-minute increase in sedentary time/day): 0.99 (95% CI: 0.95, 1.03); p = 0.589]. The proportional Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5723785

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5723785

Daneshyari.com