

## Review

# The evolution of lung transplantation for cystic fibrosis: A 2017 update

Gregory Snell <sup>a,\*</sup>, Anna Reed <sup>b</sup>, Marc Stern <sup>c</sup>, Denis Hadjiliadis <sup>d</sup><sup>a</sup> Alfred Hospital, Commercial Rd, Melbourne 3004, Australia<sup>b</sup> Harefield Hospital, Hill End Rd, Harefield UB96JH, United Kingdom<sup>c</sup> Hopital Foch, Rue Worth, Suresnes 92151, France<sup>d</sup> Perelman Hospital, Civic Center Blvd, Philadelphia 19104, USA

Received 28 November 2016; revised 29 June 2017; accepted 29 June 2017

Available online 12 July 2017

---

**Abstract**

Lung transplantation (LTx) is an established therapy for patients with end-stage cystic fibrosis (CF). Indeed, CF is the commonest indication for those aged < 50 years of age needing LTx. CF LTx is associated with a 45% 10 year survival - according to the world's largest registry. It is important all otherwise suitable CF patients with severe lung disease have a timely referral for discussion and consideration of the possibility of LTx. LTx discussions must carefully consider colonisation or infection with *Burkholderia cenocepacia*, *Mycobacterium abscessus* and *Scedosporium* - as good LTx outcomes cannot be guaranteed. A bridge to LTx with extra-corporeal lung support is a realistic option, but remains a relative contraindication to LTx. Improvements in LTx matching technology and post-operative management are steadily improving overall long-term outcomes, although chronic allograft rejection remains problematic. Expert multidisciplinary life-long post-LTx care remains the key to success.

© 2017 European Cystic Fibrosis Society. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Lung transplantation; Cystic fibrosis; Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; Immunosuppression

---

**Contents**

1. Introduction . . . . .	554
2. Overall picture and outcomes . . . . .	554
3. Pre-transplant . . . . .	555
3.1. LTx assessment issues . . . . .	555
3.1.1. Pneumothorax . . . . .	555
3.1.2. Life threatening haemoptysis . . . . .	556
3.1.3. Difficult to manage pathogens . . . . .	556
3.1.4. Aspergillus . . . . .	558

---

**Abbreviations:** LTx, lung transplantation; CF, cystic fibrosis; ECLS, extracorporeal life support; VV-ECMO or VA-ECMO, veno-venous or veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; DBD, donation-after-brain-death; ECD, extended criteria donors; DCD, donation-after-circulatory-death; HLA, human leucocyte antigen; DSA, donor specific antibodies; CLAD, chronic lung allograft dysfunction; PASP, pulmonary artery systolic pressure; mPAP, mean pulmonary artery pressure; NIV, non-invasive ventilation; WHO, World Health Organisation.

\* Corresponding author at: C/o 5th Floor, Alfred Hospital, Commercial Rd, Melbourne 3004, Australia.

E-mail addresses: [g.snell@alfred.org.au](mailto:g.snell@alfred.org.au) (G. Snell), [a.reed@rbht.nhs.uk](mailto:a.reed@rbht.nhs.uk) (A. Reed), [stern.houssaye@wanadoo.fr](mailto:stern.houssaye@wanadoo.fr) (M. Stern), [denis.hadjiliadis@uphs.upenn.edu](mailto:denis.hadjiliadis@uphs.upenn.edu) (D. Hadjiliadis).

3.1.5.	Diabetes mellitus . . . . .	558
3.1.6.	Education . . . . .	558
3.1.7.	Adherence . . . . .	558
3.1.8.	Fertility . . . . .	558
3.1.9.	Vaccination . . . . .	558
3.2.	LTx waiting-list care . . . . .	558
3.3.	Bridge to transplant . . . . .	558
4.	Peri-transplant . . . . .	559
4.1.	LTx donor issues . . . . .	559
4.1.1.	Ideal vs extended criteria donors . . . . .	559
4.1.2.	DBD vs donation-after-circulatory-death (DCD) donors . . . . .	559
4.1.3.	Ex-Vivo Lung Perfusion (EVLP) of donor lungs . . . . .	560
4.2.	Evolution of LTx surgical technique . . . . .	560
4.3.	LTx matching improvements . . . . .	560
4.4.	LTx early post-operative care issues . . . . .	560
4.4.1.	Gastrointestinal complications . . . . .	560
4.4.2.	Immunosuppression . . . . .	560
5.	LTx late post-transplant care issues . . . . .	560
5.1.	Acute rejection . . . . .	560
5.2.	Clad . . . . .	561
5.3.	Skin cancer . . . . .	561
5.4.	Bowel cancer . . . . .	561
6.	Emerging or controversial areas in CF LTx . . . . .	561
6.1.	Timing of LTx listing-predicting mortality is a moving target . . . . .	561
6.2.	Specific problematic microorganisms . . . . .	561
6.2.1.	Bacteria . . . . .	561
6.2.2.	Mycobacteria . . . . .	561
6.3.	Consideration of combined multi-organ transplants . . . . .	562
6.4.	Consideration of re-transplant . . . . .	562
6.5.	LTx in children . . . . .	562
7.	Conclusions . . . . .	562
Funding . . . . .		562
Conflicts of interest . . . . .		562
Authorship . . . . .		562
References . . . . .		562

---

## 1. Introduction

Lung transplantation (LTx) is an established management strategy for end-stage lung disease in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) - improving survival and quality-of-life [1]. LTx has evolved steadily since the first CF transplants in the 1980's - with pre-LTx assessments, timing of referral and wait-listing strategies, perioperative LTx management and long term follow-up all subject to rigorous scrutiny and subsequent refinement. There is a clear need to disseminate these LTx findings back to the CF centres to ensure a seamless transition of CF care. This review aims to provide an update of current practice and controversies.

## 2. Overall picture and outcomes

The number of LTx performed for all indications continues to increase linearly year by year, with a total of 51,000 ever performed world-wide [2]. Between 1995 and 2015 7419 LTx were performed for CF - representing some 16.2% of all LTx over that period. Of these CF LTx, 97% were bilateral and 3% single LTx [2].

As is illustrated in Fig. 1, (representing USA only data) the absolute number of CF LTx per year appears relatively static over recent years [3]. Although improvements in CF care may be partially explaining this picture, it is also still apparent that many patients with LTx eligibility criteria [4] are not even referred for LTx evaluation [5,6]. In one recent USA study examining why 35% of potentially eligible CF patients weren't referred, it was noted non-referrals were associated with the absence of medical insurance, lower education levels, older age and *Burkholderia cepacia* culture [5]. In another recent French study, 31% of CF deaths were potentially preventable with timely LTx referral [6].

In the USA in 2015, CF LTx represented a very significant 44% of LTx performed for those aged under 50 years of age, vs 1% of LTx for those aged above 50 years (Fig. 2) [3]. While most CF LTx are performed in North America and Europe, there is experience around the world, and notably recent outcomes are similar around the globe (Fig. 3) [7]. Globally the 10 year CF LTx survival over the period 1990–2013 was 45% [2], with a somewhat higher survival of 50% reported in the Canadian CF Registry over a similar 1988–2012 period [8].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5724495>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5724495>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)