



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Surgery Case Reports

journal homepage: www.casereports.com

Use of a long distally fixed intramedullary stem to treat a periprosthetic femoral fracture following total hip arthroplasty using a thrust plate hip prosthesis: A case report

Hiroyuki Hatanaka^a, Goro Motomura^{a,*}, Satoshi Ikemura^a, Kazuhiko Sonoda^a,
Yusuke Kubo^a, Takeshi Utsunomiya^a, Takuaki Yamamoto^b, Yasuharu Nakashima^a

^a Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Kyushu University, 3-1-1 Maidashi, Higashi-ku, Fukuoka 812-8582, Japan

^b Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Fukuoka University, 7-45-1 Nanakuma, 12 Jonan-ku, Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 16 January 2017

Received in revised form 30 May 2017

Accepted 4 June 2017

Available online 13 June 2017

Keywords:

Periprosthetic femoral fracture

Thrust plate prosthesis

Osteonecrosis

Femoral head

Total hip arthroplasty

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: The thrust plate hip prosthesis (TPP; Zimmer, Winterthur, Switzerland) is a hip prosthesis that is no longer in production. Few reports have focused on periprosthetic fractures following total hip arthroplasty (THA) with the use of a TPP.

PRESENTATION OF CASE: We report a 57-year-old woman with a periprosthetic femoral fracture 13 years after THA with the use of a TPP. A plain radiograph showed a displaced subtrochanteric fracture of the right femur just below the distal tip of the lateral plate without implant loosening. She underwent revision surgery with a long distally fixed intramedullary stem in conjunction with a plate and cable system. Three months after surgery, bone union was confirmed using radiography and the patient was clinically asymptomatic.

DISCUSSION: We encountered three major problems while planning surgical treatment, these being, discontinuation of the TPP system, loss of proximal femoral cancellous bone, and difficulties with the type of subtrochanteric fracture. After considering these problems, we planned revision surgery using a long distally fixed intramedullary stem in conjunction with a plate and cable system.

CONCLUSION: This case shows that sufficient implant preparation based on precise preoperative planning is necessary to obtain good clinical results for the surgical treatment of periprosthetic femoral fractures following THA with the use of a TPP.

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

A periprosthetic femoral fracture following total hip arthroplasty (THA) is recognized as a severe problem because treatment of such fractures is technically demanding and is associated with a high frequency of complications, a high mortality rate, and incomplete functional recovery [1]. A previous study reported a significant association between the implant design and the risk for periprosthetic fractures [2]. Several surgical treatment methods including revision only, open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) of the fracture, and revision combined with an ORIF are generally adopted according to the fracture patterns as classified by Vancouver categories [3].

In 1978, the thrust plate hip prosthesis (TPP; Zimmer, Winterthur, Switzerland) was developed based on the concept of proximal bone preservation. This prosthesis did not use an

intramedullary stem, which is its most characteristic feature [4]. Therefore, this prosthesis had been mainly used in younger patients with a high possibility of requiring revision THA because of the longer lifespan of these patients [4,5]. In previous studies, the clinical and radiological results following THA using the TPP were satisfactory [5–10]. However, few reports have focused on examined periprosthetic femoral fractures after THA performed with the TPP.

We recently experienced a case involving a periprosthetic femoral fracture following THA performed using the TPP. The patient's clinical course and detailed surgical treatment are discussed in this report. Written informed consent for publication of the case was obtained from the patient and this work has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [11].

2. Presentation of case

A 44-year-old woman (body mass index, 24.1 kg/m²) with alcohol-associated osteonecrosis of the right femoral head (stage 4, Association Research Circulation Osseous staging system [12])

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: goromoto@ortho.med.kyushu-u.ac.jp (G. Motomura).




Fig. 1. Initial (a) anteroposterior and (b) lateral radiographs of the right hip showed osteoarthritis with joint space narrowing and collapse of the weight-bearing surface of the femoral head (stage 4, Association Research Circulation Osseous [ARCO] staging system).




Fig. 2. (a) Anteroposterior and (b) lateral radiographs at the time of total hip arthroplasty (THA) with the thrust plate hip prosthesis (TPP). The insertion angle of the thrust plate to the femoral axis was 130° on the postoperative anteroposterior radiograph.

underwent THA with the use of a TPP at our hospital (Figs. 1 and 2). She had a history of alcohol consumption, but otherwise was healthy. The thrust plate was inserted at a femoral shaft-neck angle of 130° on an anteroposterior radiograph, which is reportedly the optimal insertion angle [13] (Fig. 2a). The thrust plate was placed in full contact with the medial cortical bone of the femoral head. The patient reported that her preoperative hip pain had resolved. Neither cup nor stem loosening was observed at annual clinical examinations.

Thirteen years after the THA, the patient slipped and fell to the floor and suffered a low energy trauma. She returned to our hospital where a plain radiograph showed a displaced subtrochanteric fracture of the right femur below the distal tip of the lateral plate without implant loosening (Fig. 3), which was classified as a type B1 fracture according to Vancouver categories [3]. The patient underwent revision combined with ORIF.

Intraoperatively, it was difficult to remove the TPP because of marked bone growth on the surface of the thrust plate. Thorough drilling around the thrust plate using a Kirschner wire was required to minimize the loss of cancellous bone at the proximal femur. Sufficient stability of the fracture sites was observed with the use of a long distally fixed intramedullary stem (K-MAX S-LOCK system; Japan Medical Materials, Osaka, Japan) in conjunction with a plate and cable system (Cable-Ready Greater Trochanteric Reattachment Plate; Zimmer, Warsaw, IN, USA) (Fig. 4). Partial weight-bearing walking was permitted 4 weeks after surgery, and full weight-

bearing walking was initiated 2 months later. Bone union was radiographically confirmed 3 months after surgery. At her 18-month follow-up, the patient was mostly asymptomatic and her Harris hip score was 92 points.

3. Discussion

The incidence of periprosthetic femoral fractures is increasing as a consequence of broadening of indications for THA by including greater numbers of younger and elderly patients. This has resulted in an increase in periprosthetic femoral fractures following THA. In patients with periprosthetic femoral fractures, it is more difficult to obtain complete functional recovery than when primary THA is performed, and there is a higher possibility of complications such as massive bleeding and infection [2]. Additionally, periprosthetic femoral fractures are associated with a high 1-year mortality rate, exceeding 10% [14]. With respect to the correlation between the type of implant and risk for, or location of, periprosthetic fractures, a study performed by the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register reported that the Charnley flanged prosthesis (Cobra design) and Exeter prosthesis (polished) had a higher risk for periprosthetic fractures than did the Lubinus prosthesis (anatomically shaped) [2]. Löwenhielm et al. [15] reported that the fracture location differs depending on the implant design; the Lubinus prosthesis was more closely associated with distal fractures, and the Charnley prosthesis was more closely associated with proximal fractures.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5732673>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/5732673>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)