8 September 2017

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Please cite this article in press as: Yin S et al. Attentional control underlies the perceptual load effect: Evidence from voxel-wise degree centrality and resting-state functional connectivity. Neuroscience (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.08.055

Neuroscience xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

Δ

1

ATTENTIONAL CONTROL UNDERLIES THE PERCEPTUAL LOAD EFFECT: EVIDENCE FROM VOXEL-WISE DEGREE CENTRALITY AND **RESTING-STATE FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY**

SHOUHANG YIN.^{a1} LU LIU.^{a1} JINFENG TAN.^a 5 CODY DING, a,b DEZHONG YAO CAND ANTAO CHEN ** 6

7 ^a Key Laboratory of Cognition and Personality of Ministry of

8 Education, Faculty of Psychology, Southwest University, Chongqing, 9 China

^b Department of Educational Psychology, Research and Evaluation, 10 University of Missouri-St. Louis, MO, USA 11

12 ^c Key Laboratory for NeuroInformation of Ministry of

13

- Education, University of Electronic Science and Technology of 14 China, Chengdu 610054, China
- 15 Abstract-The fact that interference from peripheral distracting information can be reduced in high perceptual load tasks has been widely demonstrated in previous research. The modulation from the perceptual load is known as perceptual load effect (PLE). Previous functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies on perceptual load have reported the brain areas implicated in attentional control. To date, the contribution of attentional control to PLE and the relationship between the organization of functional connectivity and PLE are still poorly understood. In the present study, we used resting-state fMRI to explore the association between the voxel-wise degree centrality (DC) and PLE in an individual differences design and further investigated the potential resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) contributing to individual's PLE. DC-PLE correlation analysis revealed that PLE was positively associated with the right middle temporal visual area (MT)-one of dorsal attention network (DAN) nodes. Furthermore, the right MT functionally connected to the conventional DAN and the RSFCs between right MT and DAN nodes were also positively associated with individual difference in PLE. The results suggest an important role of attentional control in perceptual load tasks and provide novel insights into the understanding of the neural correlates underlying PLE. © 2017 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author. Address: Faculty of Psychology, Southwest University, BeiBei District, Chongqing, China.

E-mail address: xscat@swu.edu.cn (A. Chen).

These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abbreviations: ANOVA, analysis of variance; DAN, dorsal attention network; DC, degree centrality; DPABI, Data Processing & Analysis for Brain Imaging; FDR, false discovery rate; FEF, frontal eye field; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; IPS, intraparietal sulcus; MT, middle temporal visual area; PLE, perceptual load effect; ROI, regions of interest; RSFC, resting-state functional connectivity; RT, reaction times; SPL, superior parietal lobule.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.08.055

0306-4522/© 2017 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Key words: perceptual load effect (PLE), voxel-wise degree centrality, functional connectivity, attentional control.

> 16 17

INTRODUCTION

Selective attention is the ability to allocate limited 18 resources to valuable information while filtering out large 19 amounts of task-irrelevant ones. A key question is how 20 and when the irrelevant information is filtered out 21 (Murphy et al., 2016). Early versus late selection views 22 differ on this issue, creating a debate between proponents 23 of each view for a long time, and one issue of the discus-24 sions is the locus of selective attention. The perceptual 25 load theory provides a solution to this long-standing 26 debate. Perceptual load theory posits that the extent to 27 which distraction information can be critically perceived 28 depends on the information load required by the current 29 task (Lavie and Tsal, 1994; Lavie, 1995). According to this 30 theory, perception is a system with limited capacity and 31 can automatically process all stimuli until available 32 resources are diminished. In the low perceptual load task. 33 task-irrelevant distractors can be processed as it falls 34 within the capacity limit (Lavie, 2005, 2010). In the high 35 perceptual load task, all available resources are used by 36 relevant stimuli, and there are no additional resources 37 for processing task-irrelevant information (Lavie, 2005, 38 2010). The reduced interference effect from peripheral 39 irrelevant stimuli in high perceptual load tasks reflects 40 the modulation of perceptual load on irrelevant informa-41 tion perception. This modulation from the perceptual load 42 can play a major role in perceptual load effect (PLE). In 43 our previous study, we operationally defined PLE as the 44 decreased interference effect from peripheral distractors 45 when task load varied from low to high (Liu et al., 2015). 46

Behavioral studies with human subjects provided 47 considerable evidences about the reduced interference 48 effect induced by perceptual load (Lavie and Tsal, 1994; 49 Lavie and Cox, 1997; Rees et al., 1997). Previous func-50 tional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies 51 reported that the activation of brain regions processing 52 distractors decreased when perceptual load level was 53 high, but simultaneously the activation of brain regions 54 underlying attentional control increased (Yi et al., 2004; 55 Schwartz et al., 2005; Wei et al., 2013). The findings 56 may imply the involvement of attentional control in PLE 57 performance. However, studies paid little attention to the 58

121

122

140

S. Yin et al. / Neuroscience xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

2

role of attentional control in perceptual load task. Previous 59 studies about selective attention highlighted the important 60 role of attentional control during tasks performance 61 (Bavelier et al., 2012), which was mainly reflected in the 62 increased attentional control when the task became more 63 difficult (Kahneman, 1973). This evidence suggested that 64 the selective attention in high perceptual load tasks could 65 66 elicit stronger attentional control compared with low-load tasks. Accordingly, other than the reduced processing 67 resources allocated to peripheral distractors, attentional 68 control may also be associated with a reduced interfer-69 ence in high perceptual load tasks. 70

71 In studying the relationship between attentional control and perceptual load. Torralbo and Beck (2008) 72 have proposed that the neglect of distracting information 73 resulted from the need to actively resolve competitive 74 interactions in visual cortex, accompanied by a greater 75 need for top-down biasing to identify the target. Studies 76 from fMRI and single-cell recordings revealed that when 77 stimuli were simultaneously presented in the same visual 78 field, their representations in the object recognition path-79 way interacted in a mutually competitive manner (Moran 80 81 and Desimone, 1985; Connor et al., 1997; Kastner et al., 1998; Beck and Kastner, 2005). In a high-load situ-82 83 ation, the greater competition impairs the representation 84 of the target and a strong top-down bias is required to 85 identify the target. Because of this strong top-down bias, 86 interference from distractors is reduced (Scalf et al., 2013). Thus, they stated that top-down bias in selective 87 attention was at the heart of the neural mechanisms 88 underlying PLE (Torralbo and Beck, 2008; Scalf et al., 89 2013). 90

Based on the results from these studies, we 91 hypothesize that the reduction of distraction effect in 92 high perceptual load depends on available perceptual 93 capacity as well as on attentional control. In the present 94 95 study, we conducted a data-driven analysis and 96 characterized neural correlates of PLE with network properties of the resting brain using the voxel-wise 97 degree centrality (DC) measures of resting-state fMRI 98 data and resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC). 99 Voxel-wise DC is a graph theory-based measurement at 100 the voxel level, and it represents the number of direct 101 connections for a given voxel with the rest of the whole-102 brain voxel (Buckner et al., 2009; Lohmann et al., 2010; 103 Zuo et al., 2012). The index of voxel-wise DC emphasizes 104 the impact and significance of a network at voxel level and 105 reflects the ability of brain network hubs in the network 106 information communication. Previous research has con-107 firmed that voxel-wise DC has a high sensitivity, speci-108 109 ficity, and test-retest reliability (Zuo and Xing, 2014) and it is increasingly used in exploring the neural corre-110 lates of psychiatric disorders (Di Martino et al., 2013; Li 111 et al., 2016) and cognitive activity (Markett et al., 2017). 112 The data we used in the present study partly come from 113 our previous study (Liu et al., 2015). However, the present 114 study focuses on the PLE-related voxel-wise DC, which 115 can provide novel insights into the PLE in different ways. 116 We hypothesize that PLE could be associated with DC in 117 regions that supported attentional control because per-118

ceptual load may affect task-irrelevant stimuli processing 119 via attentional control. 120

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Participants

Ninety-six students (30 males, 66 females, 18-25 years) 123 with normal or corrected vision from Southwest 124 University in China voluntarily participated in the current 125 study. No participant declared any history of 126 neurological or psychiatric illness. Two participant's data 127 were excluded from further analysis because of low 128 accuracy, and four participant's data that showed 129 excessive head motion during data pre-processing were 130 also excluded (>2 mm or 2°). This study was approved 131 by the Southwest University Human Ethics Committee 132 for the Brain Mapping Research. The participants 133 voluntarily participated in the study after being fully 134 informed about the nature and procedure of the 135 experiment. Before participating, each participant was 136 advised of the importance of protecting his or her 137 privacy. They received monetary compensation for 138 participation in the study. 139

Stimuli and procedure

Fig. 1 depicts the sequence of events in a trial. Each trial 141 started with the presentation of a black fixation cross in 142 the center of a gray screen for 600 ms. Then, the 143 search display was presented for 200 ms on the central 144 of a gray background. The search display in each trial 145 consisted of a letter circle, a peripheral salient distractor 146 letter presented to the left or right side of the circle: the 147 search target in letter circle was randomly displayed as 148 either X or N (Lavie and Cox, 1997). Subjects were 149 instructed to ignore the distractor during target search 150 and to respond as quickly and accurately as possible by 151 pressing "1" key on the keyboard for "X" and "2" key for "N" (or "1" key for "N", "2" key for "X" for the other half 152 153 participants). In the high-load condition, non-target letters 154 H. M. K. Z. and W randomly displayed in the circle (Lavie 155 and Cox, 1997), which also varied from trial to trial. In the 156 low-load condition, only the target was presented with 157 small black points placed at a non-target position. The 158 peripheral distractor letter could be incongruent with the 159 target response (the alternative target letter) or neutral 160 (either "T" or "L"). After the search display, there was a 161 blank grav screen for the response, which lasted for 162 1800 ms, followed by an additional 500-800-ms grav 163 blank screen appeared as the inter-trial interval. Each par-164 ticipant completed four blocks of pseudo-random experi-165 ment trials, with data in the first block removed as 166 practice trials. The remaining 288 trials in three experi-167 ment blocks were used for data analysis. With regard to 168 data collection, we first collected the resting-state fMRI 169 data before we started the behavior experiment. After 170 the completion of resting-state fMRI scanning, the partic-171 ipants were instructed to complete the perceptual load 172 tasks in a different room, including the practice block. 173

Please cite this article in press as: Yin S et al. Attentional control underlies the perceptual load effect: Evidence from voxel-wise degree centrality and resting-state functional connectivity. Neuroscience (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.08.055

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/5737354

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/5737354

Daneshyari.com